COMPUTER PROCESSING OF GEOLOGICAL DATA

D.T. Gittins

Department of Museum Studies Leicester University

1. Introduction

This paper describes two pilot projects into computer information processing of geological data, one concerned with collection management, and the other with regional recording of geological sites. Both projects used the generalised information processing package, INFOL 2, for the handling and processing of the information. Some similar applications in archaeology are considered, namely artifact registration, site recording and collection management. Finally, some ideas on the integration of information systems on a regional and national basis are put forward.

2. INFOL 2

INFOL 2 is a generalised information processing package, written in standard FORTRAN IV, and is designed especially for use by non-programmers. It operates using a comprehensive vocabulary of English instructions through three main phases of operation:

ESTABLISHMENT - Where the user defines the list of Items in the Records to be processed, states any validation criteria, and inputs the data (using numeric tags) to create the computer file.

UPDATE - Where the user retrieves single or groups of records, and can eliminate, add, modify or replace records, or items within records.

INTERROGATION - Where records are retrieved and are then outputted to the user. Retrieval facilities include free - text searching, as well as multiple retrieval criteria. The interactive mode is especially designed for use in this phase of processing. Output can either be automatic - relying on the package to format the output, or controled - where the user defines the output format. In both of these, there are instructions that allow the user to multiple sort, embedd output in text, define page headings and similarly control the output format.

In both of the phases, the user can gave instructions to embedd output in text, sort on more than one item, count values in items, derive simple statictics, generate an exchange file, specify titles and page headings and so on.

Other features of the package include the facility to attach FORTRAN subroutines at any stage during the program, and interrogate the file interactively with either VDU's or teletypes. The file structure can be redefined subsequently if the user desires.

3. Collection Management and Documentation Project

This was undertaken for the Fossil Mollusc Section of the British Museum (Natural History) and used INFOL 2 on a CDC 7600 at the University of London Computer Centre. The bulk of the processing was undertaken from a remote batch terminal at the Bedford College Computer Unit (Regent's Park, London), using only the most basic facilities - punch cards, batch input and line printer. In this case, we were attempting to see what could be achieved even where facilities, manpower and experience were restricted.

The material under study consisted of warious species of fossils collected from different locations in Natal, South Africa, and it formed part of the collections of the Palaeontology Department. Since no previous work had been done on it, the material was stored merely in the order in which it had been uncrated in 1971.

After discussion, the categories of information to be recorded were defined, and recording began using standard forms. The full contents of the computer record are listed opposite.

Two recording forms were developed: One for data about a single species of fossils, and the other for recording data that applied to a number of records (Normally stratigraphic age, sediment or store location). However, an interesting development occured; Once familiar with the tags and method of recording, it was easier for us to record the data onto simple lined paper, a format that was far easier to keypunch also.

Contents of the Computer Record:

1. Record Number

Country Number
 Country Name

4. Published Location

5. Field Location

6. Institution Housing the Material

7. Stratigraphic Age: Era

System Stage Section Bed

8. Store Location : Room

Cabinet Draw

9. Sediment: 12 Numerical Parameters

10. Sediment : Description

11. Taxonomy: Phylum

Class
Sub - Class
Order
Superfamily
Family
Genera

Species

12. No. of Open Pairs of Valves

13. No. of Closed Pairs of Valves

14. No. of Single Valves or Individuals

15. No. of Bored Valves

16. % of the Fauna

17. Fossilisation Condition

18. Biotic Inferences

19. Comments

20. Catalogue Number

21. Ecomorphy

22. Collection Details

23. Cataloguer

After input of the basic records on punch cards, followed by file updating using the second recording form, the data file was processed by INFOL 2. With the necessary instructions we were able to produce catalogues, indexes and special reports that were aimed to satisfy as far as possibleany demand for information about the collection.

The project generated the following output from the data on file using the processing system and the necessary instructions from the users;

A. Record Catalogue:

All the information for each record in sequential order of Record Number.

B. Indexes:

Indexes to the catalogue, ordered on different important items of information, namely:

Field Location
Published Location
% of the Fauna
Abundance
Record Number
Taxonomy (Genera & Species)
Stratigraphic Age
Store Location (Room, Cabinet, Draw)

C. Special Output:

Material in a special format or medium, or satisfying particular criteria;

Catalog - Cards
Microfilm Index of taxonomy
Automatic Counting of Genera
Lists of 'in situ' fossils
List of fossils with bored shells

As you can clearly see, even with only basic computer facilities we were able to achieve a level of documentation of the material far superior to that possible using conventional, manually - oriented techniques. A wider range of information, as well as the material itself, was rendered more readily available to both the curator, and the researcher.

4. Regional Geological Site Recording Project

This is a pilot project into computer information processing of geological site records within the Earth Sciences Section of Leicestershire County Museum. It was initiated towards the end of 1975, and is at present (January 1976) concerned with the transcription of data from the existing manual files.

The aim of the project is to formulate and evaluate the proposed system, to serve as the basis for an analysis and evaluation of a possibly more extensive, integrated county site recording scheme.

INFOL 2 will be implemented on the County Council's Univac 1106 computer, and punching will be undertaken by their staff using a standard input form.

We estimate that up to 700 site records will finally be processed, and while that may not appear a large number, we will be attempting to document the sites in great detail.

The contents of the computer records has been established, and in all there are 48 categories of information, both textual and numeric. A separate list and details of the recording categories is kept, and recording of the data from the existing manual files uses a simple lined input form, which is used for keypunching. Only a few simple rules govern the recording of data, and no detailed documents are required for the purpose.

We aim to explore the capabilities of both batch and interactive processing, batch providing catalogues, indexes and special reports to serve requirements that can be foreseen, and interactive to answer enquiries that could not be planned for. However, we would also like to explore the possibilities of substituting interactive facilities where batch might primarily have been considered.

Output will include a comprehensive main catalogue, with indexes of Institutions, grid reference, location, owners, planning authorities, special scientific sites, educational uses, type localities, threatened sites, potentially valuable locations, and so on. With the facilities that computer processing provides, it should be possible to meet a variety of demands from within the museum, planning departments, conservation groups, educational bodies, researchers, field units, national bodies and the general public.

Contents of the Computer Record:

Identification:

Computer Record No.
Museum Record No.
Institution
Section
Recorder
Nat. Grid Reference
Latitude and Londitude
Other Coordinates

Description:

Type of Site
Condition
Dimensions
Locality
Educational Use Grade
Type Locality Details
Site Description
History Description

Personnel:

Management Body Owner Tenant/Occupier Last Official Visits Actively Interested People Past Users

Status :

Planning Authorities Planning Status Conservation Status

Geology Features :

Stratigraphy
Petrology
Structures
Mineralogy
Palaeontology
Relationships
Geomorphology

General:

Re-Appraisal Date Threats Potential Access Category Restrictions Approach Route

Cross - References :

Maps
Plans or Charts
Transparencies
Negatives or Prints
Microfilm or Fiche
Collection information
Intra - Regional Files
Inter - Regional Files
Published Literature

5. Some Applications in Archaeology

The main applications that readily come to mind are in the fields of site excavation, collection management, and regional site recording, particularly artifact registration on excavation.

Excavation Directors should seriously contemplate the organisation of the excavation process towards subsequent computer processing and analysis. That is, artifact registration should be in a form that readily allows the data to be used as input to computer systems. Of course, this is more easily said than done, especially where no computer processing is available at the time of excavation.

However, there are at present a number of development programmes concerned with the automatic registration of artifacts, or the processing of artifact and site data, and once more widely implemented, it would be valuable to evaluate them in a variety of different field situations.

Information management systems would obviously benefit the archaeologist, whether research, collection or field - oriented. The greater availability of information is an obvious advantage. However, the documentation of existing collections is an extremely time - consuming process, and is merely a duplication of the time spent in excavation, where archaeological material is concerned. It would be obviated to a great extent if artifacts were computer - registered on excavation.

There are already existing information systems that handle regional archaeological site information, normally as a response to planning and development pressures. Even so, the examples are not numerous and this is another application that would repay further investigation.

6. Integrated Regional & National Information Systems

I would finally like to put forward the idea of an integration of both regional and national information systems. Is it possible, or desireable for future research and development to be oriented towards a sharing of the information gathered by field units, archaeological excavations, museums, researchers, and national bodies? Could we come to the point where Regional Information Processing and Analysis Units centralise information collection and dissemination? How might such a system be developed? Who should be envolved? How would they be organised?

Hopefully, these questions will be considered and explored by workers not only within an archaeological context, but from a broad scientific viewpoint as well.

| D.O.E. 1975 | Principles of Publication in Rescue Archaeology |
|---|--|
| Chenhall, R. G. 1975 | Museum Cataloguing in the Computer Age American Association for Stata and Local History |
| 1971 | The Archaeological Data Bank: A Progress Report COMPUTERS AND THE HUMANITIES 5(3): 159-169 |
| Clack, P. 1975 | The Information Retrieval System of the Northern Archaeological Survey COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN ARCHAEOLOGY 1975 |
| Cooper, J. A. 1975 | A National Scheme for the Documentation of Geological Sites BULLETIN OF THE GEOLOGICAL CURATORS GROUP 5:225-230 |
| Doran, J. E. & Hodson, F. R. 1975 | Computer - Based Archaeological Data Banks In: MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTERS IN ARCHAEOLOGY (Editors) PP. 317-330 |
| Jones, M. 1975 | The Role of the County Museum Service in Geological Site Recording NEWSLETTER OF THE GEOLOGICAL CURATORS GROUP 5:218-221 |
| Kenworthy, J.B. 1975 | The Fife Archaeological Index - A Computer Implementation COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN ARCHAEOLOGY 1975 |
| Leveque, F.H. 1975 | INFOL 2 Reference Manual DEPT. OF COMPUTING AND CONTROL, IMPERIAL COLLEGE, LONDO |

The Computer In Archaeology - A Critical Survey

COMPUTERS AND THE HUMANITIES 7(1):29-45

Whallon, R.

1972