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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Intracellular protein sorting: Vesicular traffic, secretion and endocytosis

A typical mammalian cell contains up to 10,000 different proteins at a given time point. A yeast cell in comparison contains 5,000 different kinds of proteins, which is only slightly less impressive. Most proteins reside in the cytosol. However, as many as up to half of the proteins produced in a typical cell are delivered to a particular cellular membrane, to the lumen of cellular organelles, or are secreted out of the cell. The intracellular protein sorting can be subdivided in two major pathways (Palade, 1975; Lodish et al., 2003): the cytoplasmic and the secretory pathway.
In the cytoplasmic pathway, the protein translation is initiated and completed on cytosolic ribosomes. Those proteins that contain no protein targeting sequence are released into the cytosol and remain there. Proteins with an organelle-specific targeting sequence are first released into the cytosol but are subsequently imported into mitochondria, peroxisomes or the nucleus.

In contrast, all proteins carrying an endoplasmic reticulcum (ER)-signal sequence are initially targeted to the ER membrane and enter the secretory pathway. These proteins not only include soluble and membrane proteins of the ER, but also resident proteins of the Golgi apparatus, of the endosomal system, of the lysosomes/vacuoles, plasma membrane proteins and proteins which are secreted from the cell (for simplicity collectively referred to as secretory proteins). The transport of the proteins from the ER to their final destinations along the secretory pathway is accomplished by small vesicles that bud from the membrane of one organelle and fuse with the membrane of the next organelle in the pathway (Fig. 1). The secretory pathway is essentially conserved from yeast to man and a hallmark of eukaryotic cells. This process is of major significance for the cellular protein metabolism. For example, $70 \%$ of the proteins synthesized by hepatocytes are secreted into the blood. Like pancreatic cells and glandular cells, these cells are specialized for secretion. However, every cell uses the secretory pathway to some extent. Extracellular matrix proteins constitute about $5 \%$ of the protein made by most cultured cells. Moreover, MHC class I-peptides, although generated in the cytosol, are moving with their receptor along the secretory pathway to reach the cell surface. The unicellular eukaryote Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been used now for more than two decades to elucidate


Figure 1: Overview of the most important vesicular traffic routes in a cell. The protein coat of the vesicular trafficking steps is indicated. COPII-coated vesicles mediate anterograde ER-Golgi transport, whereas COPIcoated vesicles mediate retrograde Golgi-ER as well as intra-Golgi transport. Clathrin/adaptor protein-coated vesicles travel from the trans-Golgi network to early and late endosomes as well as the vacuole/lysosome. Endocytosis is mediated by clathrin/AP-2-coated vesicles. The nature of the vesicle coat of secreted vesicles derived from the late endosome and the trans-Golgi network is not known. It has been proposed that the retromer complex is a vesicle coat involved in the retrieval pathway from late endosome to trans-Golgi network (dashed arrow; Pfeffer, 2001). Internal vesicles are depicted in the late endosome and represent multi-vesicular bodies. These internal vesicles are destined to the vacuole/lysosome for degradation.
protein and membrane traffic. Saccharomyces cerevisiae was the organism of choice because of its excellent genetic and biochemical amenability. It is particularly evident from landmark studies using Saccharomyces cerevisiae that secretion, which is protein and membrane flux, is an essential process to accomplish the vast expansion of the cell membrane surface that is needed for cell growth (Novick et al., 1980). Many bacterial toxins and viruses (e. g. cholera toxin, influenza virus A, simian virus 40) use the secretory pathway in the opposite direction to travel from the plasma membrane to the cytosol (Sandvig and van Deurs, 2002; Smith and Helenius, 2004).

In most eukaryotes, secretory proteins enter the ER by co-translational translocation through the Sec61 translocon complex. In yeast, however, some secretory proteins enter the ER lumen post-translationally. Glycosylation as well as formation of disulfide bonds, protein folding and assembly, and proteolytic cleavages occur in the ER before proteins leave the ER again. Secretory proteins are transported by anterograde COPII-coated vesicles to the Golgi apparatus where further processing of the proteins takes place. To balance this forward movement of cargo, organelle homeostasis requires the retrieval of transport machinery components and escaped ER-resident proteins by the action of vesicular transport carriers. Retrograde COPI-coated vesicles retrieve proteins and lipids from the Golgi back the ER. All of these steps are tightly regulated and balanced so that a large amount of cargo can flow through the secretory pathway without compromising the integrity and steady-state composition of the constituent organelles.
The secretory proteins eventually reach the trans-Golgi network by cisternal progression of the Golgi stacks (Pelham, 2001). The trans-Golgi network represents a major branch point of the secretory pathway and serves as sorting station. Thus, a protein can be loaded into different kinds of vesicles and thereby reach the plasma membrane, the endodomal system or the lysosome/vacuole. The endosomes also function in the endocytic pathway (hence the name) in which vesicles bud from the plasma membrane bringing membrane proteins and their bound ligands into the cell. Some proteins are transported to the lysosome/vacuole while others are recycled back to the cell surface. The membrane fluxes along these pathways are very large and rapid. A fibroblast kept in resting conditions in a tissue culture plate internalizes an amount of membrane equivalent to the whole surface area of the cell within one hour (Kirchhausen, 2000). Inside the cell, it often takes only seconds for a carrier vesicle to move from a donor membrane to an acceptor organelle. Despite these rapid and large fluxes, only a subset of the proteins and lipids in the donor membrane are taken up into the transport vesicle, effectively preventing the homogenization of the
membrane components and permitting membranous organelles to maintain distinct identities throughout the life of the cell.

### 1.2 Molecular mechanism of vesicular traffic

A common element of the secretory and endocytic pathway is the existence of many different small membrane-bounded and protein-coated vesicles that transport proteins and lipids from one organelle to another. These vesicles bud from the membrane of a donor organelle and eventually fuse with the membrane of an acceptor organelle. Despite the fact that most steps in the secretory and endocytic pathways employ different types of vesicles, the basic principles of vesicle formation and fusion are essentially conserved. Considerable progress has been made in understanding the molecular basis for membrane traffic (reviewed by Kirchhausen, 2000; Bonifacino and Glick, 2004). The best-studied vesicles are those that are clearly identifiable by their protein coats, namely COPII-, COPI-, and clathrin-coated vesicles. During the formation of a vesicle, a limited set of coat proteins carries out a programmed set of sequential interactions that lead to budding from the donor membrane, uncoating, fusion with the target membrane and recycling of the coat components (Fig. 2).

Budding is initiated by recruitment of a small GTPase of the Sar1/Arf family to a patch of donor membrane. Coat components from the cytosol are then able to bind to the membrane. Polymerization of the coat protein on the membrane leads to a curved lattice that drives the formation of a vesicle bud by adhering to the cytosolic face of the membrane. At the same time, coat proteins participate directly and indirectly to recruit soluble and membrane cargo proteins to the forming vesicle. Vesicle budding and cargo selection at different stages of the exocytic and endocytic pathways are meditated by different coats and different sorting signals. The coat components not only recruit cargo but also deform the membrane to form a bud. When the bud has reached a charateristic size (detemined by the coat), the vesicle is released by scission of the neck connecting the deeply invaginated membrane to the donor surface. Finally, during uncoating, the coat components are released so that membrane fusion can occur between the naked vesicle and the target organelle. It is believed that Rab GTPases control the docking of the vesicles on target membranes (reviewed by Zerial and McBride, 2001). Vesicle fusion is mediated by a complex process involving the pairing of cognate v - and t -SNARE proteins (reviewed by Jahn et al., 2003). In the following sections, the formation of different vesicles is discussed in more detail.


Figure 2: The common mechanism of vesicle budding and fusion (adapted from Bonifacino and Glick, 2004). Small GTPases of the Arf/Sarl family become associated with a donor membrane. In turn, they are able to recruit soluble coat components from the cytosol. Polymerization of coat proteins leads to membrane deformation and cargo incorporation. After scission of the vesicle from the donor membrane and shedding of the protein coat, the vesicle is ready to dock and fuse with an acceptor membrane (mediated by Rab GTPases and SNARE proteins).

### 1.3 Early stages of the secretory pathway

### 1.3.1 COPII-coated vesicles

COPII components and COPII-coated vesicles were originally discovered in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae using genetic approaches coupled with cell-free assays (reviewed by Springer et al., 1999; Barlowe, 2000). These vesicles, which also have mammalian counterparts, transport newly synthesized proteins destined for secretion from the ER to the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 1). Although clathrin-coated vesicles have been discovered first, the molecular understanding of vesicle formation is most advanced for COPII-coated vesicles. COPII vesicles ( $50-90 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter) can be reconstituted in vitro using a minimal system consisting of chemically defined liposomes, GMP-PNP (a non-hydrolyzable GTP analog) and the purified components Sarlp, Sec23p, Sec24p, Sec13p and Sec31p (Matsuoka et al., 1998). Furthermore, it has been shown that some COPII components have the ability to self-assemble into spherical particles in solution (Antonny et al., 2003). For some COPII proteins, structural details are known from crystal structures and/or electron microscopic studies (Lederkremer et al., 2001; Bi et al., 2002).

Formation of COPII-coated vesicles is triggered when Sec12p, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), catalyzes the exchange of bound GDP to GTP on the small GTPase Sarlp (Fig. 3). This exchange induces binding of Sarlp to the ER membrane followed by binding of the $\operatorname{Sec} 23 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Sec} 24 \mathrm{p}$ complex forming a membrane-proximal layer (Barlowe et al., 1993; Barlowe and Schekman, 1993). ER membranes with Sec23p/Sec24p and Sarlp-GTP can then recruit the Sec13p/Sec31p complex to complete the coat structure (Barlowe et al., 1994). The large protein Sec16p which is bound to the cytosolic side of the ER membrane interacts with Sec13p/Sec31p and Sec23p/Sec24p and organizes the other coat proteins, increasing the efficiency of coat polymerization (Espenshade et al., 1995; Supek et al., 2002). Completing the cycle, Sec23p acts as a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for Sarlp (Yoshihisa et al., 1993). It is thought, that after GTP hydrolysis, Sar1pGDP is released, leading to uncoating of the vesicle before fusion. In this model, the kinetics of GTP hydrolysis would have to be slower than the kinetics of vesicle budding. Alternatively, the polymeric nature of the coat could provide kinetic stability even in the absence of Sarlp-GTP.


Figure 3: The formation of COPII-coated vesicles (from Bonifacino and Glick, 2004). Sar1p is activated by the Sec 12 p and becomes membrane-associated at the ER. Sarlp-GTP is able to recruit the $\operatorname{Sec} 23 \mathrm{p} / \operatorname{Sec} 24$ p complex from the cytosol. This trimeric complex binds the Sec13p/Sec31p complex. Polymerization of the coat induces membrane deformation. Cargo is incorporated into the vesicle by direct and indirect binding to the coat components.

Most transmembrane cargo proteins bind directly to the COPII coat, whereas other transmembrane cargo proteins and most soluble cargo proteins bind indirectly via transmembrane export cargo receptors. The Sec $23 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Sec} 24 \mathrm{p}$ complex is the component responsible for cargo recognition but the sorting signals recognized are quite complex (Springer and Schekman, 1998; Barlowe, 2003). Among the sorting signals recognized are
di-acidic, di-basic and short hydrophobic sequences. Members of the p24 transmembrane family of export cargo receptors bind to Sec23p through a di-phenylalanine motif (Dominguez et al., 1998). Export cargo receptors leave the ER together with their ligands, unload their cargo and recycle back to the ER. The p24 family members Emp24p and Erv25p have been shown to recruit the GPI-anchored proteins Gas1p into COPII-coated vesicles (Muniz et al., 2000). There are two paralogs of Sec24p in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Lstlp and Iss1p). This diversification of COPII subunits probably endows the coat with the ability to sort different cargo proteins and to be differentially regulated (Roberg et al., 1999; Shimoni et al., 2000). In addition to recruiting the Sec23p/Sec24p complex, the GTP-bound form of Sarlp activates Sec23p/sec24p complex to bind SNARE proteins involved in the specificity of targeting and in the fusion of vesicles with acceptor membranes (Springer and Schekman, 1998). Sec24p has three different binding sites for ER-Golgi SNARE proteins: the A-site, the B-site and the Arg342-site, each recognizing different signals on different proteins (Miller et al., 2003; Mossessova et al., 2003). Apparently, Sec24p cannot bind assembled SNARE complexes but instead selects for the uncomplexed, fusion-competent forms of the SNAREs (Mossessova et al., 2003). Thus, vesicle fusion is mechanistically linked with vesicle budding.

### 1.3.2 COPI-coated vesicles

Proteins can be recycled to the ER from the cis-Golgi via a retrograde route. Such proteins include ER resident proteins that have escaped the ER retention and functional components of anterograde COPII vesicles like ER-Golgi SNARE proteins that return to participate in another round of COPII vesicle formation. Retrograde vesicles are coated with the COPI coat, which consists of the small GTPase Arf1 and the heptameric coatomer complex (reviewed by Wieland and Harter, 1999; Spang, 2002). Arf1 is closely related to Sar1 but unlike Sar1, which functions exclusively in COPII vesicle formation, Arf1 proteins have many effectors, including COPI and other coats. However, currently maybe best understood is the role of Arf1 in the formation of COPI vesicles, which mediate retrograde Golgi-ER as well as intra-Golgi transport (Fig. 1). Like for COPII vesicles, COPI vesicle formation can be reconstituted in vitro. It has been shown that Arflp, coatomer and GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ are sufficient to bud coated vesicles ( $40-70 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter) from chemically defined liposomes (Spang et al., 1998).
Similar to all members of the Ras protein superfamily, Arf1 undergoes cycles of activation and inactivation mediated by GEFs and GAPs. Upon Arfl-GEF mediated nucleotide
exchange from GDP to GTP (Chardin et al., 1996; Morinaga et al., 1996; Peyroche et al., 1996), Arf1 is recruited to and tightly associated with Golgi-membranes (Fig. 4). In turn, activated Arf1 recruits the large heptameric coatomer complex from the cytosol to the membrane via the $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-subunit (Zhao et al., 1999). Unlike in COPII vesicle formation, the membrane-proximal $(\beta \gamma \delta \zeta)$ and membrane-distal $\left(\alpha \beta{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon\right)$ subcomplexes bind simultaneously (Hara-Kuge et al., 1994). Binding of the coatomer complex to Arf1 and subsequent polymerization induces deformation of the membrane and finally vesicle budding.


Figure 4: The formation of COPI-coated vesicles (from Kirchhausen, 2000). Membrane-association of activated Arfl promotes recruitment of the coatomer complex from the cytosol. Polymerization of the coat induces membrane deformation and vesicle budding. Cargo proteins are incorporated into the vesicle by direct and indirect interactions with COPI components. After uncoating, the vesicle is ready to dock and fuse with a target membrane.

In a simple model, prior to fusion of vesicles with a target membrane, the coat dissociates in response to the Arfl-GAP stimulated GTP hydrolysis on Arf1 (Tanigawa et al., 1993). In this case Arf1 would act like a timer for vesicle formation similar to Sar1. Structural studies extended this idea with respect to cargo recruitment (Goldberg, 1999, 2000). The rate of GTP hydrolysis depends on association of Arf1 with both Arf1-GAP and coatomer.

It was shown that the GTP hydrolsis was inhibited by a peptide derived from a p24 cargo receptor protein. This lead to the speculation that in the absence of cargo, the rate of GTP hydrolysis is too rapid to allow for vesicle formation because coatomer dissociates prematurely. In contrast, when bound to cargo, p24 will inhibit the GAP activity. The slower rate of GTP hydrolysis on Arf1 would provide time for a vesicle to be formed. In this way, vesicle formation would be coupled to cargo incorporation.
Problems associated with the kinetic model and the structural data (obtained from a truncated version of human ARF1-GTP) provoked re-examination of the role of GTP hydrolysis (Szafer et al., 2000). Apparently, the GTPase cycle of controlled binding and hydrolysis of GTP is critical for Arf1 function. In contrast to the proposal that sorting is accomplished by cargo-dendent inhibition of Arf1-mediated GTP hydrolysis, some studies suggested that GTP is hydrolyzed in order to concentrate cargo before the vesicle is completely formed (Nickel et al., 1998; Lanoix et al., 1999; Malsam et al., 1999). A kinetic proof-reading mechanism for protein sorting has been proposed (Weiss and Nilsson, 2003). Support for this idea comes from two studies showing that Arfl-GAP is required for vesicle formation and is becoming an intrinsic part of the vesicle (Yang et al., 2002; Lewis et al., 2004), although also a conflicting study exists (Reinhard et al., 2003). Furthermore, it has been shown that Arfl-GAP activity is controlled by membrane curvature and therefore by the size of the vesicle (Bigay et al., 2003).
Although the precise mechanism of cargo sorting remains a matter of debate, the cargo sorting process happens concomitant with vesicle budding. The $\gamma$-subunit of coatomer has been shown to interact with membrane cargo proteins carrying the ER-retrieval motif $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{KXX}$ in their cytoplasmic domains (Cosson and Letourneur, 1994; Harter et al., 1996). The KDEL-receptor binds to soluble cargo bearing the ER-retrieval sequence KDEL in the lumenal part of the forming vesicles at the Golgi and binds to ARF-GAP (Aoe et al., 1999). Other examples for proteins that are sorted into the COPI vesicles are vSNAREs, which are part of the targeting and fusion machinery. Their incorporation is ensured by interaction with Arflp-GAP (Rein et al., 2002). The p24-family of cargo receptor proteins bind to both COPII and COPI through di-phenylalanine and di-basic motifs and cycle between ER and Golgi (Schimmoller et al., 1995; Stamnes et al., 1995; Sohn et al., 1996; reviewed by Kaiser, 2000). Whether they are essential for the vesicle formation itself remains to be shown (Bremser et al., 1999): At least in yeast, a knockout of the entire p24 family (eight members) does not have a severe consequence on the viability of the cell (Springer et al., 2000). Most importantly, p24 protein family members
form hetero- and homo-oligomeric complexes with each other (Dominguez et al., 1998; Fullekrug et al., 1999; Marzioch et al., 1999; Jenne et al., 2002). It has been suggested that the state of oligomerization regulates the interaction of the supposed cargo receptors with coat proteins and/or cargo proteins (Gommel et al., 1999). Multimerization of cargo receptors for transport seems to be a common theme: the COPI-binding putative cargo receptors Mst27p and Mst28p form at least dimeric complexes (Sandmann et al., 2003).

### 1.4 Later stages of the secretory pathway, endocytosis and sorting of internalized proteins

### 1.4.1 Clathrin-coated and related vesicles

Clathrin-coated vesicles ( $50-150 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter) are the most prominent carrier vesicles and were the first to be discovered (Roth and Porter, 1964; Pearse, 1975). The low-density lipoprotein receptor and many other plasma membrane proteins and their ligands are internalized by a clathrin-dependent pathway leading to endosomes (Fig. 1). In addition, clathrin-coated vesicles also bud from the trans-Golgi network and fuse with endosomes or lysosomes (Kirchhausen, 2000).
Clathrin coats are considerably more complex than COPII and COPI. Arf1-GTP and/or specific phosphoinositides (e. g. phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate) recruit a variety of clathrin "adaptors" from the cytosol to membranes (Fig. 5). Examples of clathrin adaptors are the heterotetrameric AP-1, AP-2, AP-3, AP-4 complexes and the monomeric GGA proteins. Adaptor proteins form a heterogenous membrane-proximal layer onto which clathrin is subsequently deposited as membrane-distal layer. Clathrin and clathrin-adaptor complexes can polymerize into spherical, cage-like structures in vitro indicating that these proteins have an intrinsic ability to deform membranes (Kirchhausen and Harrison, 1981; Ungewickell and Branton, 1981). However, clathrin-coated vesicle formation requires the additional action of regulatory and accessory proteins. Clathrin vesicle scission depends on dynamins (reviewed by Sever, 2002) and shedding of the coat requires the cytosolic chaperones Hsc70 and auxilin (Rothman and Schmid, 1986; Ungewickell et al., 1995). The reason for the need of a whole accessory machinery might be the involvement of clathrin in multiple post-Golgi sorting events, each requiring a specific set of adaptors and regulators.
Although it was shown that ARF1-GTP, tyrosine-based signals and phosphatidyl-inositol 4,5-bisphosphate constitute a minimal machinery to recruit the AP-1 complex to the membrane (Crottet et al., 2002), the complex process of clathrin-coated vesicle formation
prevented reconstitution in vitro from purified components. An exception are AP-3/clathrin-coated vesicles which were reconstituted from liposomes in vitro using a minimal machinery consisting of purified AP-3 complex, clathrin, ARF1 and GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ (Drake et al., 2000).


Figure 5: The key steps in formation of clathrin-coated vesicles (adapted from Kirchhausen, 2000). Arf1GTP and/or specific phosphoinositides recruit a variety of clathrin "adaptors" complexes (AP-1 or AP-2) from the cytosol to membranes. Clathrin and clathrin-adaptor complexes can polymerize into spherical, cagelike structures. Cargo recruitment is accomplished by direct and indirect binding to clathrin adaptor complexes. Vesicle scission depends on dynamins and shedding of the coat requires the cytosolic chaperones Hsc70 and auxilin.

AP-1 complexes and GGA proteins participate in clathrin-recruitment to the TGN and endosomes (reviewed by Boman, 2001; Boehm and Bonifacino, 2002; Bonifacino, 2004) (Fig. 1). In mammalian cells, ARF1 is required for both of these trafficking events and it physically interacts with AP-1 and the GGA proteins. In yeast, however, this view has been challenged. Although Arflp can interact with the GGA protein, it seems not to be essential for GGA mediated trafficking steps (Boman et al., 2002). AP-2 complexes are involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis at the plasma membrane (Fig. 1). Yeast cells use clathrin for endocytosis but attempts to implicate AP-2 in yeast endocytosis failed so far (Payne et al., 1988; Tan et al., 1993; Rad et al., 1995; reviewed by Baggett and

Wendland, 2001). In addition, clathrin-independent endocytosis exists in yeast. Although ARF1 has been reported to be involved in the recruitment of AP-2 to the endosomal membrane (West et al., 1997), this view has recently been challenged (Jones et al., 1999a) and the effects reported previously were probably indirect. AP-3 complexes mediate traffic from the TGN directly to the lysosome/vacuole bypassing the endodomal system (reviewed by Robinson and Bonifacino, 2001) (Fig. 1). Whether this step is dependent on clathrin in yeast remains unclear (Cowles et al., 1997). AP-4 complexes, which are absent in organisms such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Caenorhabditis elegans, and Drosophila melanogaster have not been extensively studied so far (Dell'Angelica et al., 1999; Hirst et al., 1999). Indirect evidence in mammalian cells suggests that they might be involved in a special trafficking pathway in the endosomal/lysosomal system (Aguilar et al., 2001).

The adaptor protein complexes bind to transmembrane cargo proteins by recognizing cytosolic sorting signals that contain either critical tyrosine, di-leucine residues or conjugated ubiquitin (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003). The tyrosine-based signal present in the LDL-receptor was indeed the first cytosolic sorting signal to be identified (Davis et al., 1986). Acidic clusters present in the transmembrane mannose-6-phosphate receptor ensure its incorporation in a forming vesicle. The mannose-6-phophate receptor itself binds soluble cargo proteins modified by mannose-6-phosphate which is a sorting signal for proteins destined to the lysosome. Thus, clathrin/adaptor protein coats, COPII-, and COPIcoats serve the same basic functions: cytosolic signal recognition and membrane deformation.

### 1.4.2 Endocytosis and endosomal sorting

All eukaryotic cells continually engage in endocytosis. The most well-understood endocytic process - receptor-mediated endocytosis - involves the internalization of receptors and their ligands by clathrin-coated pits. Many of the ligands are subsequently degraded in late endosomes or lysosomes, whereas many of the receptors are re-used up to several hundred times. Endosomes have a crucial role in coordinating vesicular transport between the TGN, the plasma membrane and the lysosomal/vacuolar organelles (Fig. 1). Collectively, endosomes comprise a system of heterogeneous compartments that have generally been characterized as 'early' or 'late' depending on the kinetics with which the compartments are loaded with endocytosed material. Furthermore, early endosomes and late endosomes can be distinguished on the basis of their morphological appearance (reviewed by Gruenberg, 2001; Pelham, 2002). For example, a subset of late endosomes
typically has a multivesicular appearance and is referred to as multi-vesicular body (MVB). Studies in multicellular organisms have revealed crucial roles for MVBs in seemingly distinct processes like growth-factor-receptor downregulation, antigenpresentation and retroviral budding (reviewed by Katzmann et al., 2002). However, Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been most crucial in the discovery of molecular players in the MVB-sorting pathway. It has been appreciated that endocytosed receptor proteins targeted to the vacuole for degradation were primarily associated with membrane fragments and small vesicles within the interior of the vacuole rather than the vacuole surface membrane. These observations suggested that endocytosed membrane proteins can be incorporated into specialized vesicles that form at the endosomal membrane. Although these vesicles are similar in size and appearance to transport vesicles, they differ in their topology. Transport vesicles bud outward from the surface of a donor membrane (into the cytosol) whereas vesicles within the endosome bud inward from the surface into the lumen (away from the cytosol). Eventually, the surface membrane of a MVB fuses with the membrane of the vacuole/lysosome and delivers its internal vesicles and the membrane proteins they contain into the interior of the vacuole/lysosome for degradation. Thus, the sorting of proteins in the endosomal membranes determines which ones will remain on the vacuole/lysosome surface (protected from degradation) and which ones will be incorporated into internal vesicles (and ultimately be degraded).
A particularly interesting example for the role of MVBs is the MHC class II-peptide presentation during immune response (Fig. 6). Immature dendritic cells package MHC class II molecules into lumenal vesicles of MVB-like compartments that are known as MHC class II compartments (MIICs). When the cell receives a maturation stimulus, the lumenal vesicles fuse with the limiting MIIC membrane, the MCH class II molecules are loaded with antigenic peptide and the MHC class II-peptide complexes are transported via secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane for presentation to naïve T cells (Kleijmeer et al., 2001). Surprisingly, the stimulation of dendritic cells can also cause the limiting membranes of MIICs to dock and fuse with the plasma membrane, which releases the lumenal vesicles of MIICs from the cell. These secreted vesicles, now termed exosomes, contain MHC molecules and co-stimulatory factors for T cells, but their precise physiological targets have yet to be elucidated (Zitvogel et al., 1998).


Figure 6: The role of multi-vesicular bodies in MHC class II-mediated immune response (adapted from Katzmann et al., 2002). MHC class II molecules in MIICs are loaded with antigenic peptides generated in the endosomal system. After fusion of internal vesicles with the MIIC membrane, traffic of MHC class II-peptide comlexes in secretory vesicles leads to peptide presentation at the cell surface. Alternatively, the antigenic stimulation of dendritic cells can cause the limiting membranes of MIICs to dock and fuse with the plasma membrane, which releases the lumenal vesicles of MIICs from the cell. These external vesicles are now termed exosomes.

### 1.5 The Arf GTPases: Structure and function

ADP-ribosylation factors (Arfs) are well-conserved, multi-functional small GTPases found in all eukaryotes. They constitute a major branch of the Ras superfamily of small GTPases. The prototypic member, Arf1, was first identified as a co-factor for cholera toxin-catalyzed ADP-ribosylation of the heterotrimeric $G$ protein $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s} \alpha}$ (Kahn and Gilman, 1984, 1986). Human and yeast Arfl proteins are $74 \%$ identical (Sewell and Kahn, 1988). This high degree of conservation has allowed studies on the function of Arf1 in both yeast and higher eukaryotes. Studies in yeast, mammalian cells and in vitro systems have implicated Arf1 proteins as crucial regulators of membrane traffic, organelle maintenance and actin cytoskeleton. (reviewed by Donaldson and Jackson, 2000; Randazzo et al., 2000; Spang, 2002; Nie et al., 2003).
In this study, the following nomenclature of Arf proteins is used: ARF1 refers to mammalian ARF1, whereas Arf1p refers to yeast Arflp. Arf1 refers to Arf1 proteins in general (in both yeast and higher eukaryotes).

Mammalian ARFs are divided into three classes based on primary structure: class I (ARF1, ARF2, and ARF3), class II (ARF4, ARF5) and class III (ARF6). Class I ARFs are involved in trafficking in the Golgi-ER and endosomal systems and their functions have been extensively studied. The class III ARF, ARF6, functions exclusively in the endosomal-plasma membrane system. ARF6 is involved in processes as diverse as endosomal recycling to the plasma membrane, regulated secretion, coordinating actin cytoskeleton at the plasma membrane and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$-mediated phagocytosis in macrophages. (Altschuler et al., 1999; Millar et al., 1999; Yang and Mueckler, 1999; Zhang et al., 1999). In contrast, virtually nothing is known about the class II ARFs. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, three Arf proteins fulfill all Arf functions. The class I Arfs Arf1p and Arf2p are functionally interchangeable ( $96 \%$ protein sequence identity), and at least one these proteins is required for viability. Arflp, though, constitutes $90 \%$ of Arfl activity in yeast cells (Stearns et al., 1990a). Yeast Arf3p probably corresponds to mammalian ARF6.
The effects of Arfs depend on their function as GTP-dependent switches. In analogy to other Ras-like proteins, the conformation of two regions of Arf, switch 1 and switch 2, differ between the GDP- and the GTP-bound forms (Amor et al., 1994; Greasley et al., 1995; Goldberg, 1998; Pasqualato et al., 2002) (Fig. 7A and B). Arf has two additional nucleotide-sensitive regions: the myristoylated $N$-terminal amphiphatic helix that associates with a hydrophobic cleft in Arf-GDP but is free to associate with lipids and potentially other proteins in Arf-GTP. And lastly, the interswitch domain that is retracted
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Figure 7: Arf as a nucleotide sensitive switch. (A) Primary structure of Arflp from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The myristoylated N-terminal helix is underlined. The consensus GTP-binding motifs conserved among Ras superfamily GTPases are shown in boxes. Switch 1 is shown in red, switch 2 in green and the $\alpha$ helix 3 in blue. (B) A ribbon representation of crystal structures of human full-length ARF1 bound to GDP, human truncated ARF1 bound to a GTP analog in comparison to GTP-analog-bound Ras (from Goldberg, 1998). The N-terminal helix of ARF1-GDP is colored in red, bound nucleotide is colored white, with phosphorus atoms pink; $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions are drawn as magenta spheres. (C) The GTPase cylce of Arf1.
and forms a pocket to accommodate the myristoylated N-terminal helix in Arf-GDP. These four surfaces on Arf presumably form the interface for nucleotide-dependent association with other proteins. The carboxyl-terminus and the $\alpha$-helix 3 have also been implicated in protein-protein interactions (Goldberg, 1999; Takeya et al., 2000; Gommel et al., 2001). As all members of the Ras superfamily of GTPases, Arf1 proteins undergo cycles of activation and inactivation mediated by Arf1-GEFs and Arf1-GAPs (reviewed by Jackson and Casanova, 2000; Randazzo and Hirsch, 2004) (Fig. 7 C). In the GDP-bound state, Arf1 can weakly associate with membranes via the myristoyl moiety of the N-terminus. Upon nucleotide exchange, Arf1 undergoes a conformational change leading to insertion of hydrophobic residues into the membrane and tightly associates with the membrane (Antonny et al., 1997). In the membrane-bound, activated form, Arf1 can interact with downstream effectors until it is inactivated again by GTP hydrolysis. Consistent with the multiple sites of action and range of effects, Arf1-GTP interacts with diverse groups of proteins.

Arfl has a number of biochemical activities that can be measured in vitro. These include the ability to recruit certain coat proteins to membranes (coatomer, AP-1, AP-3, AP-4, GGAs) (Serafini et al., 1991; Donaldson et al., 1992; Palmer et al., 1993; Stamnes and Rothman, 1993; Traub et al., 1993; Ooi et al., 1998; Puertollano et al., 2001). The cofactor activity for bacterial ADP-ribosylating toxins (Kahn and Gilman, 1986; Lee et al., 1991), the activation of phospholipase D (Brown et al., 1993) and the stimulation of phospholipids kinases (Godi et al., 1999; Honda et al., 1999; Jones et al., 2000; Skippen et al., 2002) complement the so far known biochemical activities of Arf1.

The cellular functions of ARF1, however, which can be observed on a phenotypical level in mammalian cells, involve the regulation of many steps of membrane traffic and the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Arflp has been implicated as regulator of a large number of cellular functions including vesicular traffic, mitotic growth, entry into the cell-cycle, maintenance of organelle morphology, sporulation and actin cytoskeleton regulation (Stearns et al., 1990a; Stearns et al., 1990b; Ireland et al., 1994; Kahn et al., 1995; Gaynor et al., 1998; Rudge et al., 1998; Blader et al., 1999; Fucini et al., 2000).

### 1.6 Interactors of Arf1

The means by which the biochemical activities of Arf1 mediate these diverse cellular functions are not completely understood. The guanine nucleotide-bound state of Arf1 is controlled by GEFs and GAPs. Many proteins regulating Arf1 activity and proteins presumably acting as effectors have been identified and the number is still growing (reviewed by Donaldson and Jackson, 2000; Randazzo et al., 2000; Spang, 2002; Nie et al., 2003). Consistent with the multiple sites of action, diverse groups of proteins have been identified.

### 1.6.1 Arf1-regulators: Arf1-GEFs and Arf1-GAPs

These regulatory proteins are conserved throughout evolution. Both reside in the cytosol and need to be recruited to the site of action on the membrane.

All Arf-GEFs contain a Sec7 domain comprising the catalytic site (reviewed by Jackson and Casanova, 2000). A subclass of GEFs and GAPs contain a pleckstrin homology domain ( PH ) which interacts with phosphoinositides in the membrane. In yeast, three Arflp-GEFs with established functions have been identified: Gea1p, Gea2p and Sec7p. Gealp and Gea2p have overlapping functions in retrograde transport from the Golgi to the ER, while Sec7p has been implicated in vesicle formation from the trans-Golgi (Franzusoff et al., 1992; Spang et al., 2001). The cellular role of the fourth Sec7-domain containing protein, Sytlp, is still unclear but it might act at the post-Golgi level (Jones et al., 1999c). Which of the GEFs mediates the other Arflp-dependent transport steps, remains to be established.

The main characteristic of Arf-GAPs is the zinc finger domain which is involved in GTPase activation. Sixteen mammalian ARF-GAPs have been identified thus far as well as several proteins containing the Arf-GAP motif but without demonstrated Arf-GAP activity (reviewed by Randazzo and Hirsch, 2004). Arf-GAPs have been categorized into three groups: Arf-GAP1 type, Git type, and AZAP type. The diversity in domain organization in GAPs in higher eukaryores might allow their recruitment to different sites of action. For example, ARAPs (from the AZAP type) have been shown recently to represent a family of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate-dependent ARF-GAPs that regulate ARF-, Rhoand Cdc24-dependent cell activities (Krugmann et al., 2002; Miura et al., 2002). Thus, three small GTPases, which are thought to play roles in maintaining Golgi structure, seem to be regulated via the same family of effectors.

Arflp-GAPs outnumber the Arflp-GEFs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. At least six putative Arflp-GAPs are present in the yeast genome. Three of which are thus far characterized: Gcs1p and Glo3p have overlapping functions in retrograde transport from the Golgi to the ER (Poon et al., 1999). Age2p can be replaced by Gcs1p in the endocytic pathway (Poon et al., 2001). In addition, Gcs1p influences actin polymerization dynamics in yeast (Blader et al., 1999).

### 1.6.2 Vesicle coat proteins and adaptors

Vesicle coat proteins are central to the models explaining the regulatory role of Arfs in membrane traffic. Arf1-dependent coats include clathrin/AP-1, clathrin/AP-3, clathrin/AP4, clathrin/GGA1/2/3 and coatomer. In each case, Arf1 binds the coat directly (Donaldson et al., 1992; Palmer et al., 1993; Ooi et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 1999; Boehm et al., 2001). For coatomer, AP-1 and AP-3 binding, the switch 1 domain was mapped as the interaction site by cross-linking studies (Zhao et al., 1999; Austin et al., 2002). For GGA binding, switch 1 and switch 2 have both been implicated by mutational analysis (Puertollano et al., 2001; Jacques et al., 2002).

### 1.6.3 Proteins interacting with Arf1-GDP

In addition to ARF1-GEFs, ARF1-GDP has been shown to interact with members of the p24 family of transmembrane cargo receptors such as p23. In biochemical studies, a peptide corresponding to the cytosplasmic domain of p 23 bound to the carboxy-terminal 22 amino acids of ARF1-GDP but not to ARF1-GTP (Gommel et al., 2001). This is in agreement with studies in live cells using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (Majoul et al., 2001). In one model (Gommel et al., 2001), ARF1-GDP is recruited to membranes by binding p23 prior to activation of ARF1 mediated by ARF1-GEF to a site of relative cargo enrichment. As mentioned before, however, this model cannot provide a universal explanation of Arf activation (Springer et al., 2000).

### 1.6.4 Phospholipid-metabolizing enzymes

Arf-GTP binds to and activates phosphatidyl-inositol kinases and phospholipase D (PLD), leading to the production of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) and phosphatidic acid (PA), respectively. PIP2 and PA are likely to contribute to the effects of Arfs in both actin and membrane remodeling. Nonetheless, the relationship between Arfs and lipids is first complex and second only poorly understood.

ARF1 can stimulate phospholipase D in vitro and in vivo in mammalian cells (Brown et al., 1993). PA, produced by ARF1-activated PLD, has first been implicated to be directly involved in the recruitment of coat proteins (Ktistakis et al., 1996; West et al., 1997). However, it is now firmly established that activation of PLD does not serve as the sole mechanism by which ARF1 regulates the recruitment of coat proteins (Stamnes et al., 1998; Jones et al., 1999b; Kuai et al., 2000). In addition, phospholipase D is not activated by Arflp in yeast (Rudge et al., 1998) and is at least not required for retrograde transport (Spang and Schekman, 1998).
Although a role for PIP2 in membrane traffic is likely, its precise role remains to be determined. Phospholipids have long been known to regulate the actin cytoskeleton (Lassing and Lindberg, 1985). An intriguing concept has been proposed in which Arf1dependent changes in the actin cytoskeleton are linked to membrane traffic (Lorra and Huttner, 1999).

### 1.6.5 Other effectors

Arfaptin2 (and related proteins) bind to both the actin cytoskeleton regulator Rac of the Rho family bound to either GDP or GTP as well as to ARF1-GTP. Rac and ARF1-GTP binding are mutually exclusive. It has been proposed that Arfaptin2 acts to sequester Rac until activated ARF1 bind Arfaptin2. The displaced Rac is then free to be activated and to interact with Rac effectors (Tarricone et al., 2001). These results suggest that Rho family and Arf family protein function is coordinated within the cell.
Arfophilin is an example of an effector binding to class II and class III ARFs at the same time. Thus, the same cellular event could be influenced by two different ARF isozymes simultaneously (Shin et al., 2001). However, the function of Arfophilin is unclear.
Some other effectors have been identified, although their significance remains obscure. As mentioned above, Arfs are able to bind to cholera toxin and other bacterial toxins and are required as co-factor for the ADP-ribosylation of G-protein subunits (Kahn and Gilman, 1986; Lee et al., 1991). This feature distinguishes Arf proteins from the closely related Arl proteins (Arf-like proteins). Arf proteins bind to mitotic kinesin-like protein 1 (MKLP1) in a GTP-dependent fashion (Boman et al., 1999). Furthermore, class I Arfs interact with PICK1 (protein interacting with C-kinase) (Takeya et al., 2000).
Although some circumstantial evidence exists for the role of these effectors, the molecular function of these interaction partners is far from being clear.

### 1.7 Aim of this study

The small GTPase Arflp is a crucial regulator of vesicle formation in many steps of the secretory pathway in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In addition, there is growing evidence of Arflp being involved in actin cytoskeleton rearrangements as well as in lipid metabolism. The variety of already known regulators and effectors of Arflp is, however, still insufficient to explain the multiple functions of the same molecule at different cellular locations. Furthermore, it is likely that new Arflp-dependent pathways await discovery in both yeast and mammals which are not necessarily conserved.

It is the aim of this study to identify new interactors of the small GTPase Arflp in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The subsequent characterization of the newly identified interactors and the elucidation of the pathways involved should lead to new insights concerning unexpected Arfp-dependent pathways and shed more light on vesicle formation and concomitant cargo protein sorting.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Instrumentation

Analytical balance BL310
Axiocam MRm
Axioplan 2 epi-fluorescence microscope
Cooling centrifuge 5417 R
Cooling centrifuge 5810 R
Cooling centrifuge RC-5B
Developer machine
Dissection scope
Dynal MPC-S magnetic separation rack
Electrophoresis chamber B1A
Electrophoresis chamber SE250
Electroporation Gene Pulser
FPLC Äkta Prime
Fraction collector 2110
Gel dryer GD 2000
Gel shaker 3020
Heatable magnetic stirrer Ikamag RCT
Heating block
Incubators
Incubators
Laboratory blender
Light microscope Axioskop 2 plus
PCR-machine PTC-100
pH-meter pH 330
Power supply EPS 601
Power supply Power Pac 200
Rotator Labquake
Rotor 50.2 Ti
Rotor GS3
Rotor SS34
Rotor SW28
Rotor SW50.1
Semi-dry transfer cell
Shaking incubators Innova 4400 / 4430
Shaking incubators Unitron
Sonifier B12
Spectrophotometer DU 640
Stratalinker 1800 UV Crosslinker
Thermomixer Compact
Ultracentrifuge T-2060
UV-transilluminator
Vortexer Vortex Genie 2
Water treatment system Milli-Q
Waterbath Julabo
Wet blot tank TE22

Sartorius<br>Zeiss<br>Zeiss<br>Eppendorf<br>Eppendorf<br>Sorvall<br>Agfa<br>Singer<br>Dynal Biotech<br>Owl<br>Amersham Bioscience<br>Biorad<br>Amersham Bioscience<br>Biorad<br>Amersham Bioscience<br>GFL<br>Ika Labortechnik Grant Boekel Heraeus<br>Memmert<br>Waring<br>Zeiss<br>MJ Research, Inc.<br>WTW<br>Amersham Bioscience<br>Biorad<br>Barnstead/Thermolyne<br>Beckman<br>Kendro<br>Kendro<br>Beckman<br>Beckman<br>Biorad<br>New Brunswick Scientific<br>Branson Sonic Power Company<br>Beckman<br>Stratagene<br>Eppendorf<br>Centrikon<br>MWG-Biotech<br>Scientific Industries<br>Millipore<br>Bioblock Scientific<br>Amersham Bioscience

### 2.2 Chemicals

All standard chemicals were obtained from Sigma, Roth or Merck.

| 1 kb DNA-Ladder | $15615-016$ | Invitrogen |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 30\% Acrylamide stock solution with $0.8 \%$ Bisacrylamid | 3029.1 | Roth |
| 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) | D-9542 | Sigma |
| Alkaline phosphatase from calf intestine | 713023 | Roche |
| Bacto-Agar granulated | 214510 | Difco |


| Bacto-Peptone | 211830 | Difco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bacto-Tryptone | 211701 | Difco |
| Bacto-Yeast extract | 212730 | Difco |
| Bacto-Yeast nitrogen base w/ amino acids and ammonium sulfate | 233520 | Difco |
| Bacto-Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids | 291920 | Difco |
| Benchmark Protein Ladder Prestained | 10748-010 | Invitrogen |
| Benchmark Protein Ladder | 10747-012 | Invitrogen |
| Brillant Blue G250 | 35050 | Serva |
| Brillant Blue R250 | 35051 | Serva |
| Calcofluor white dye | F-3543 | Sigma |
| Citifluor | R1320 | Plano |
| Complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors | 1836170 | Roche |
| Desoxynucleosid-5'-triphosphate (dNTPs) for PCR | 1969064 | Roche |
| Dextrose | 0155-17-4 | Difco |
| Digoxigenin-11-UTP | 1209256 | Roche |
| Dimethylpimelimidate (DMP) | 21667 | Pierce |
| DNase I, RNase-free | 776785 | Roche |
| ECL advance kit | RPN 2135 | Amersham Bioscience |
| ECL kit | RPN 2106 | Amersham Bioscience |
| ECL+ kit | RPN 2133 | Amersham Bioscience |
| Ficoll 400 | 17-0400-02 | Amersham Bioscience |
| Guanosine-5'-diphosphat (GDP) | G-7127 | Sigma |
| Guanosine-5'-triphosphat (GTP) | G-8877 | Sigma |
| Guanosine-5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate) (GTP $\gamma$ S ) | G-8634 | Sigma |
| Heparin | H-3393 | Sigma |
| Horse serum, heat-inactivated | 26050-070 | Invitrogen |
| Leupeptin | L-8511 | Sigma |
| Metaphor agarose | 850.184 | Biozym |
| Non-fat dry milk powder |  | Frema |
| Nycodenz | D-2158 | Sigma |
| Oligonucleotide-primers |  | MWG-Biotech |
| Oligonucleotide-primers |  | Qiagen |
| Pellet Paint co-precipitant | 69049-3 | Merck |
| Pepstatin A | P-5318 | Sigma |
| Phenol/Chloroform/Isoamylalkohol (PCI) | 15593-031 | Invitrogen |
| Protein A magnetic beads | S1425S | NEB |
| Protein A-sepharose CL-4B | 17-0780-01 | Amersham Bioscience |
| Protein G agarose | 1243233 | Roche |
| Restriction enzymes |  | NEB |
| Restriction enzymes |  | Roche |
| Rhodamine-Phalloidine | R415 | MoBiTec |
| RNase A | 109142 | Roche |
| RNase | 109126 | Roche |
| RNasin | R2511 | Promega |
| RQ1-RNase-free DNase | M6101 | Promega |
| Salmon sperm-DNA | 85346022-39 | Roche |
| Seakem LE agarose | 840.004 | Biozym |
| Taq DNA polymerase ( $5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) | 1418432 | Roche |
| Yeast tRNA | 109495 | Roche |

### 2.3 Materials

| Centriprep YM-10 | 4304 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dialysis bag Spectrapor 12 - 14.000 | 132700 |
| Diethylaminoethane (DEAE) Sephacel | $17-0500-01$ |
| Electroporation cuvettes | 24704640 |
| Gel blotting paper | 426890 |
| Hybond N+ nitrocellulose membrane | RPN 203B |
| Hyperfilm ECL | RPN 3114K |
| N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow | $17-0906-01$ |
| Nickel-Nitrilotetra-actetic acid agarose (Ni-NTA) | 1000632 |

Centriprep YM-10 $12-14.000-132$
Dialysis bag Spectrapor 12 - 14.000
Electroporation cuvettes
Gel blotting paper

+ nitrocellulose membrane

N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow
Nickel-Nitrilotetra-actetic acid agarose (Ni-NTA)
1000632

Amicon
Spectrum
Amersham Bioscience Fischer
Schleicher \& Schuell Amersham Bioscience Amersham Bioscience Amersham Bioscience Qiagen

| Nitrocellulose membrane Protran $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 10401196 | Schleicher \& Schuell |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Polyvinylidenfluorid (PVDF)-membrane $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $162-0177$ | Biorad |
| Sephacryl S-100 High Resolution | $17-0612-01$ | Amersham Bioscience |
| Ultralink Iodacetyl resin | 53155 | Pierce |

### 2.4 Kits

| AlkPhos Direct labeling module | RPN3680 | Amersham Bioscience |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| BCA protein assay kit | 23227 | Pierce |
| Biorad DC protein assay reagents | $500-0116$ | Biorad |
| Biorad protein assay kit | $500-0001$ | Biorad |
| CDP-Star detection reagent | RPN3682 | Amersham Bioscience |
| Expand High Fidelity PCR system | 1732641 | Roche |
| HNPP fluorescent detection kit | 1758888 | Roche |
| MEGAscript T7 kit | 1334 | Ambion |
| OneStep RT-PCR kit | 210210 | Qiagen |
| pET100D-TOPO expression kit | K100-01 | Invitrogen |
| pTrcHis-TOPO TA expression kit | K4410-01 | Invitrogen |
| Qiagen HiSpeed plasmid midi kit | 12643 | Qiagen |
| Qiagen plasmid mini kit | 12123 | Qiagen |
| QIAquick gel extraction kit | 28704 | Qiagen |
| QIAquick PCR purification kit | 28106 | Qiagen |
| Rapid DNA ligation kit | 1635379 | Roche |
| RNeasy mini kit | 74104 | Qiagen |

### 2.5 Media

All media were autoclaved at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Water from the Millipore Milli Q water treatment system was used exclusively. Standard yeast media were used (Sherman, 1991).

LBamp:

YPD:

YPD-G418:

10 g Bacto-tryptone
5 g yeast-extract
10 g NaCl
20 g Bacto-agar (Difco)
ad 11 with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
1 ml 1000 x Ampicillin was added after autoclaving

20 g Bacto-peptone
10 g yeast extract
20 g dextrose
20 g Bacto-agar (Difco)
ad $11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
20 g Bacto-peptone
10 g yeast extract
20 g dextrose
20 g Bacto-agar (Difco)
ad $11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
After cooling to approximately $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 10 ml 100 x G418 was added.
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOC-Medium: } & 5 \mathrm{~g} \text { yeast extract } \\ & 20 \mathrm{~g} \text { Bacto-peptone } \\ & 20 \mathrm{~g} \text { dextrose } \\ & 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} \\ & 2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl} \\ & 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} \\ 4\end{array}\right)$

## Calcofluor white plates:

5-FOA-plates:
a) 6.7 g Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids 1.0 g yeast extract 20 g dextrose 1x HC-selection mixture ad 0.51 with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
b) 20 g Bacto-agar (Difco) ad 0.41 with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
a) and b) were mixed after autoclaving 100 ml 10 \% MES-buffer pH 6.0 were added, Calcofluor white stock solution was added dropwise to 0.1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ final.
0.34 g Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids
0.05 g 5-Fluoroorotic acid

1 g dextrose
1x HC-selection mixture
$5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
filter sterilized mix with $4 \%$ Bacto-agar $\left(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

### 2.6 Commonly used solutions and buffers

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ filtered by Millipore Milli Q water treatment system was used exclusively for all solutions and buffers.

1000x ampicillin:
1000x carbenicillin:
150x IPTG:
50x lysozym:
250x kanamycin:
Myristic acid:

1000x pepstatin A/leupeptin:
100x PMSF:
$100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, filter sterilized
$100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, filter sterilized
150 mM , filter sterilized
$50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$
$10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, filter sterilized
125 mM Na-myristate Dissolved by heating in the microwave and filter sterilized while still warm. each $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in DMSO
0.1 M in isopropanol

| 10x HC-selection mixture | $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ adenine hemisulfate <br> $0.35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ uracil $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-tryptophan <br> $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-histidine- HCl <br> $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-leucine <br> $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-lysine -HCl <br> $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-methionine <br> $0.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-tyrosine <br> $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-isoleucine <br> $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-phenylalanine <br> $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-glutamic acid <br> $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-threonine <br> $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-aspartic acid <br> $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-valine <br> $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-serine <br> $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-arginine- HCl autoclaved without the components to select for |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6x loading buffer for agarose gel-electrophoresis: | $0.25 \%$ bromphenolblue $0.25 \%$ xylencyanole 30\% glycerol |
| B88-buffer: | 20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 6.8 <br> 250 mM sorbitol <br> 150 mM KAc <br> $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ <br> filter sterilized |
| 5x Laemmli-buffer: | 62.5 mM Tris/ HCl pH 6.8 <br> $5 \% \beta$-mercaptoethanol <br> 10\% glycerol <br> 2\% SDS <br> $0.0025 \%$ bromphenolblue |
| STE-Puffer: | 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0 <br> $25 \%$ sucrose <br> 40 mM EDTA <br> autoclaved |
| 50x TAE-buffer: | 2 M Tris/HAc pH 7.7 <br> 5 mM EDTA |
| 20x TBS: | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \mathrm{~g} \text { Tris/ } \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4 \\ & 160 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl} \\ & \text { ad } 1 \mathrm{l} \text { with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |


| 20x PBS | $\begin{aligned} & 46.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & 4.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4} \\ & 175.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} \\ & 44.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl} \\ & \text { ad } 11 \text { with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| TBST: | TBS with 0.1\% Tween-20 |
| TE: | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{mM} \text { Tris } / \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0 \\ & 1 \mathrm{mM} \text { EDTA } \end{aligned}$ |
| 100x G418: | $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Geneticin, filter sterilized |
| Calcofluor white stock: | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ NaOH added dropwise to dissolve |
| 50x Denhardt's reagent: | 10 g Ficoll type 400 <br> 10 g BSA fraction V 10 g polyvinylpyrrolidone ad 11 with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ filter sterilized, stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 20x SSC | 3 M NaCl <br> 0.3 M Na-citrate/ NaOH pH <br> 7.0 |

### 2.7 Strains, plasmids, antibodies, oligonucleotide primers

Table 1: Plasmids used

| Plasmid | Description | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pUG6 | loxP-Kanamycin cassette | Johannes Hegemann |
| pUG27 | loxP-HIS5 cassette | Johannes Hegemann |
| pUG72 | loxP-URA3 cassette | Johannes Hegemann |
| pUG73 | loxP-LEU2 cassette | Johannes Hegemann |
| pSH63 | Cre-recombinase, TRP1 | Johannes Hegemann |
| pSH47 | Cre-recombinase, URA3 | Johannes Hegemann |
| pBS-3GFP-TRP1 | 3GFP-TRP1 | John Cooper |
| pYM-3GFP-TRP1 | 3GFP-TRP1 template | This study |
| pEG203 | Bait two hybrid plasmid | Rainer Duden |
| pEG203- ${ }^{\text {N } 17-A r f 1-Q 71 L ~}$ | Bait two hybrid plasmid | Rainer Duden |
| pJG4-5 | Prey two hybrid plasmid | Rainer Duden |
| pJG4-5-PAB1 | Prey two hybrid plasmid | This study |
| pJG4-5-PUB1 | Prey two hybrid plasmid | This study |
| pJG4-5-GLO3 | Prey two hybrid plasmid | Rainer Duden |
| pUSE-SEC7-GFP-URA | SEC7-GFP tagging | Ben Glick |
| pDH3 | CFP-KAN template | Yeast Resource Center |
| pDH5 | YFP-HIS5 template | Yeast Resource Center |
| pRJ-2GFP-TRP1 | 2GFP tagging | Ralf Jansen |
| pCY204 | YCp50-HO-endonuclease | Andreas Mayer |
| pUG35 | CEN URA3 METprom-GFP | Johannes Hegemann |
| pU6H3VSV | 6His-3VSV template | Dieter Gallwitz |
| pUGLys2 | LoxP-LYS2 cassette | Steven Oliver |
| pRJ-ASH1-myc9 | URA3 | Dieter Gallwitz |
| pYM1-pYM12 | Template tagging plasmide | Elmar Schiebel |
| pG14-MS2-GFP | GFP-MS2 | Pascal Chartrand |
| YEP lac195-Lz-MS2-ASH1 3'UTR | ASH1-MS2-binding sites | Pascal Chartrand |
| YEP lac195-Lz-MS2-ADH II | ADH1-MS2-binding sites | Pascal Chartrand |
| pRS315 | CEN LEU2 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| pRS425 | $2 \mu$ LEU2 | Phil Hieter |
| pCRP12-CHS3-GFP | CHS3-GFP (CEN HIS3) | Valdivia et al., 2002 |
| pRS426-CHS7 | CHS7 ( $2 \mu$ URA3) | Trilla et al., 1999 |
| pRS315-CHS6 | CHS6 (CEN LEU2) | This study |
| pRS425-CHS6 | CHS6 ( $2 \mu$ LEU2) | This study |
| pRS315-BUD7 | BUD7 (CEN LEU2) | This study |
| pRS425-BUD7 | BUD7 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{LEU} 2$ ) | This study |
| pRS315-YMR237w | YMR237w (CEN LEU2) | This study |
| PRS425-YMR237w | YMR237w ( $2 \mu$ LEU2) | This study |
| pRS315-YKR027w | YKR027w (CEN LEU2) | This study |
| PRS425-YKR027w | YKR027w ( $2 \mu$ LEU2) | This study |
| YEpGFP*-BUD8F | GFP-BUD8 ( $2 \mu$ LEU2) | Schenkman et al., 2002 |
| YEpGFP*-BUD9 | GFP-BUD9 ( $2 \mu$ URA3) | Schenkman et al., 2002 |
| pKU76 | TAT2-GFP (CEN URA3) | Umebayashi and Nakano, 2003 |
| pUG35-FUS1-GFP | FUS1-GFP (CEN URA3) | This study |
| p424-GPD | ( $2 \mu \mathrm{TRP} 1$ ) | Mumberg et al., 1995 |

Table 2: E. coli expression strains

| Strain | Plasmid | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M15 | pREP4; pQE30- N $17-$ Arflp-Q71L-His 6 | Rainer Duden |
| M15 |  | Rainer Duden |
| M15 | pREP4; $\Delta$ N17-wt-Arflp-His ${ }_{6}$ | Rainer Duden |
| BL21 (DE3) | wt-Arflp; Nmtlp | Richard Kahn |
| BL21 (DE3) Star | pET100D-His ${ }_{6}$-Pablp | This study |
| BL21 (DE3) Star | pET100D-His ${ }_{6}$-Chs5p | This study |
| BL21 Codon Plus | pTrc-His-TOPO-Bfrlp | This study |

Table 3: Antibodies used.

| Antibodies | Dilution (WB) | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rabbit anti-coatomer, polyclonal | $1: 1.000 ;$ IP | Randy Schekman |
| Rabbit anti-Gea2p, polyclonal | $1: 1.000$ | Anne Spang |
| Rabbit anti-Glo3p, polyclonal | $1: 1.000$ | Pak Poon |
| Rabbit anti-Arflp, polyclonal | $1: 1.000 ;$ IP | Anne Spang |
| Rabbit anti-Emp47p, polyclonal | $1: 1.000$ | Stefan Schröder-Köhne |
| Rabbit anti-Betlp, polyclonal | $1: 1.000$ | Randy Schekman |
| Mouse anti-HA-epitope, monoclonal HA.11 | $1: 1.000 ;$ IP | Eurogentec |
| Mouse anti-HA-epitope, monoclonal HA-7 | $1: 1.000$ IF | Sigma |
| Mouse anti-myc-epitope, monoclonal 9E10 | $1: 1.000 \mathrm{WB} ; 1: 1000$ IF | Sigma |
| Mouse anti-GFP, monoclonal | $1: 1.000$ | Abcam |
| Sheep anti GFP, polyclonal | IP | Michael Knop |
| Rabbit anti-GFP, polyclonal purified | $1: 1.000 \mathrm{WB} ; 1: 400$ IF; IP | Torrey Pines |
| Rabbit anti-AU5 epitope, polyclonal purified | $1: 1.000 \mathrm{WB} ;$ IP | Abcam |
| Mouse anti-Pab1p, monoclonal | $1: 2.000$ | Alan Sachs |
| Goat anti-rabbit-HRP | $1: 25.000-1: 100.000$ | Pierce |
| Goat anti-mouse-HRP, polyclonal purified | $1: 25.000-1: 100.000$ | Pierce |
| Rabbit anti-Pab1p, polyclonal, affinity purified | $1: 250$ | This study |
| Rabbit anti-Chs5p, polyclonal, | IP | This study |
| Rabbit anti-Chs5p, polyclonal, affinity purified | $1: 500$ | Robert Gauss |
| Rabbit anti-Arflp, polyclonal, affinity purified | $1: 1.000 ;$ IP | This study |
| Rabbit IgG, purified | IP-control | Dianova |
| Goat anti-mouse-Cy3 | $1: 400$ IF | Jackson ImmunoRes. ImmunoRes. |
| Donkey anti-rabbit-FITC | $1: 200$ IF |  |
| Sheep anti-digoxigenin-AP Fab-fragments | $1: 5.000$ in situ hybridization |  |

WB western blot, IF immunofluorescence, IP immunoprecipitation.

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 00 I^{d o s} \nabla \\ a^{-}-8 \mathrm{I} \varepsilon S \forall \lambda \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| syvjsoyne |  | $1.19{ }_{\nabla}$ |
| syvosoyna |  |  |
| มyVวSoyne |  |  |
| syvisoyne |  | ItLṫg |
| иวsuer ${ }^{\text {y }}$ y |  | s8sury |
| sपers 'V |  | ゅtezsvi |
| ouryen V |  | эzعG-Itus |
| иешурчея 'у |  | zIEISSy |
| иешурче 'у |  | I8ZXSy |
| иеиурчэs 'у |  | lioinsy |
| иеиурчэs 'у | I-8Ioss 6I9-ts! 4 nLVW | ILISSy |
| иешурчг 'у | $619-t s$ Y DLVW | 8tIXSy |
| xpnis stul |  | i-8idin |
| xpmıs sب̧L |  | i-LISIN |
| xpmis stul |  | l-ildin |
| spmis spyl |  | I-0idn |
| оиеצ'n ' V |  | i-8idin |
| оив身 $\cdot \mathrm{V}$ |  | I-LISXN |
|  |  | I-IISXN |
| оиеצ'n 'V |  | I-0idn |
| \{ipnis ṣy |  | d-6szsva |
| xpnis stul |  | d-6szsva |
| xpmis sبqu |  | g-6czsvo |
| xpmis sبqu |  | V-6szsvo |
| kpnis ș̣ |  | d-8czsvo |
| xpmis sicl |  | -8-8czsvi |
| xpmıs şlul |  | g-8szsvo |
| xpmis sبqu |  | V -85zSVA |
| xpmis sبqu |  | 8EZSVA |
| kpmis șu L |  | szzsva |
| Rpnis sty | Id LLu-vH9- Ity | zizsva |
| \{pnis sty |  | teqsva |
| xpmis s!cu |  | Ltisva |
| Kpmis stul |  | izesva |
| s!upeo ' |  | 8tiog |
| $\mathrm{uoO}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ d |  | ${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{VI}-\mathrm{COEm}$ |
| гә! ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ d |  | 00 ¢HdX |
| иешэрч' 'у |  | 09 $\mathrm{d}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 20.nos | ${ }^{\text {аКหоиә }}$ | u!phis |


| Table 5: Yeast strains used in chapter 3.3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Genotype | Reference |
| YPH499 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YPH500 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trp1 ura 3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YPH501 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YA S431 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S572 |  | This study |
| YA S571 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 ARF1::HIS3MX6 | This study |
| YA S321 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trp1 ura 3 ARF1:: HIS3MX6 | This study |
| YA S525 | MAT $\alpha_{\text {ade }} 2$ his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH2 $:$ KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S430 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 hys trp 1 ura 3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-2a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S563-2 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha_{\text {ade } 2 \text { his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) }}$ | This study |
| YA S563-2aMFT | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::loxP pSH63 (TRP1) | This study |
| YA S563-3a | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) | This study |
| YA S563-3 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S563-4a | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 BCH2::KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-4 $\alpha$ |  | This study |
| YA S563-5a | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-5 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-6a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) | This study |
| YA S563-6 $\alpha$ |  | This study |
| YA S563-7a | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-7 $\alpha$ |  | This study |
| YA S563-8a | MAT a ade 2 his 3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-8 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH1 : HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-9a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tp1 ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2 $\because: K$ KN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-10a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-10 $\alpha$ |  | This study |
| YA S5 63-11a | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-11 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 BCH2 $::$ KAN (Tn903) BCH1 $:: H$ HS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-12a | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-12 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2 ::KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YA S563-13a | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2 $\because: K$ (Tn (T003) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| $\text { YA S563-13 } \alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trp1 ura 3 BUD $7::$ LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2 $\because: K A N$ (Tn903) BCH1 $\because: H I S 5$ (S. pombe) | This study |


| YA S563-14 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YA S563-15a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH1 ::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-15 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-16a | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S563-16 $\alpha$ | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7 $\because:$ LE U2 (K.lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1 ::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S776 | MAT a/ $\alpha_{\text {ade }} /$ ade 2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 LYS2/lys 2 TRP1/trp1 ura3/ura3 CHS5:: LEU2 (K. lactis)/CHS5:: LEU2 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S778 | MAT a/ $\alpha_{\text {ade } 2 / a d e 2 ~ h i s 3 / h i s 3 ~ l e u 2 / l e u 2 ~ l y s 2 / l y s ~}^{2}$ trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 CHS6: URA3 (K. lactis)/CHS6: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S779 |  | This study |
| YA S780 | MAT $/ \alpha_{\text {ade }} /$ ade 2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys 2 trp $1 / \operatorname{trp} 1$ ura3/ura3 BCH2::KAN (Tn903)/BCH2: KAN (Tn903) | This study |
| YAS781 | MATa/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade 2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp 1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BCH1 : HIS5 (S. pombe)/BCH1: HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S793 | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS5:: LEU2 (K. lactis) ARF1::HIS3MX6 | This study |
| YA S794 | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) ARF1:: HIS3MX6 | This study |
| YAS795 | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7: LEU2 (K.lactis) ARF1 $\because$ HIS3MX6 | This study |
| YA S796 | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura 3 BCH2: 2 KAN (Tn903) ARF1 $: ~ H I S 3 M X 6$ | This study |
| YA S797 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys 2 trp 1 ura3 BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) ARF1::KANMX6 | This study |
| YA S564 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD8::BUD8-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD8::BUD8-6HATRP1 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S785 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD8::BUD8-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD8::BUD8 -6HATRP1 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S565 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD9::BUD9-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD9::BUD9-6HATRP1 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YAS789 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD9::BUD9-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD9::BUD9-6HATRP1 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S849 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD8::BUD8-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD8: $:$ BUD8-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S850 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD8::BUD8-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD8::BUD8-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis) BUD7::LE U2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S855 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD9::BUD9-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD9::BUD9-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S856 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD9::BUD9-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD9::BUD9-3GFP-TRP1 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S823 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys 2 trp 1/trp1 ura3/ura3 RAX2: RAX2-GFP-KANMX6/ RAX2 $\because$ RAX2-GFP-KANMX6 | This study |
| YA S827 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 RAX2 $\because$ RAX2-GFP-KANMX6/RAX2 $\because$ RAX2-GFP-KANMX6 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: URA3 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S862 | MAT a ade 2 his 3 leu2 lys 2 trp1 ura 3 GAP1: 1 GAP1-GFP (KANMX6) | This study |
| YA S863 | MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) GAP1 : GAP1-GFP (KANMX6) | This study |


| Table 6: Yeast strains used in chapter 3.4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Genotype | Reference |
| YPH499 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YPH500 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trp 1 ura 3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YPH501 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 |
| YAS325 | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS5::CHS5-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YAS839 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS5::CHS5-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) | This study |
| YA S328 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YAS606 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YAS594 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-Prot A-KanMX6 | This study |
| YA S595 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his 3 leu2 lys 2 trpl ura 3 CHS6::CHS6-GST-KanMX6 | This study |
| YA S596 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu 2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-yEGFP-KanMX6 | This study |
| YAS597 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-6His-3VSV-KanMX6 | This study |
| YA S335 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7: B UD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YAS576 |  | This study |
| YAS697 | MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp 1/trp1 ura3/ura3 | This study |
|  | BUD7 $\because: B$ UD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis)/BUD7 $:$ BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) |  |
| YAS339 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BCH1::BCH1-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YAS844 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::BCH1-3myc-His 3 MX6 | This study |
| YA S861 | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 BCH1 $\because: B C H 1-3 H A-H i s 3 M X 6$ | This study |
| YA S598 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 BCH1 $:$ BCH1-GST-KanMX6 | This study |
| YA S599 | MAT $\alpha_{\text {ade } 2 \text { his } 3 \text { leu2 lys2 trp1 ura } 3 \text { BCH1 }:: B C H 1-y E G F P-K a n M X 6 ~}^{\text {- }}$ | This study |
| YA S600 | MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::BCH1-6His-3VSV-KanMX6 | This study |
| YA S659 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::BCH1-2AU5-LEU2 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S589 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu 2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BCH2 $:$ : BCH2-9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) | This study |
| YA S603 | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BCH2::BCH2-3HA-His 3 MX6 | This study |
| YA S333 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS6::CHS6-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YA S379 | MAT a ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7: $\mathrm{BUD7} 7$-9myc- TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YA S380 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BCH1 $\because: B C H 1-9 m y c-T R P 1$ (K. lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) | Robert Gauss |
| YA S582 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 BCH2::BCH2-9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) | This study |
| YA S653 | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS6::CHS6-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis) BCH2 $\because:$ KAN (Tn903) | This study |

MAT a ade 2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7::BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe) MAT a ade2 his 3 leu 2 l BCH2::KAN (Tn903)

MATa ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tpp1 ura3 BCH2::BCH2 -9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7:: LE U2 (K.lactis)
BCH1 $::$ HIS5 (S. pombe)
MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3CHS6 $::$ CHS6 $4_{c-9 m y c-T R P 1 ~(K . ~ l a c t i s) ~}^{\text {a }}$ )
MATa ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tpp1 ura3 BCH2::BCH2 -9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BUD7:: LE U2 (K.lactis)
BCH1 $::$ HIS5 (S. pombe)
MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3CHS6 $::$ CHS6 $4_{c-9 m y c-T R P 1 ~(K . ~ l a c t i s) ~}^{\text {a }}$ )
 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3BUD7::BUD74c-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis)
MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7::BUD74c-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7::BUD74C-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis)
MAT a/ ade2/ade2 his3/his 3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trpl/trp1 ura3/ura 3 BUD7 $\because: B$

9 -
MAT $\alpha$ ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys 2 trpl ura 3 BCH1 $\because: B C H 1 \Delta_{C-3 H A-H i s 3 M X 6 ~}$
MAT $\alpha$ ade2 his 3 leu 2 lys2 trp1 ura 3 BCH2 $:: B C H 2 \Delta_{C}$ - 9 myc-TRP1 (K lactis)
MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trpl ura3 BCH2:::BCHD 4 c-9myc-TRP1 (K lactis)
MATa ade2 his 3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7::B UD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS6::CHS6-yEGFP-KanMX6

MAT a ade2 his 3 leu 2 lys2 trp 1 ura3BUD7::BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS6::CHS6-yEGFP-KanMX
: $:$ BCHI -2AU5 LEU2 (K. lactis)
MAT a ade2 his3 leu 2 lys 2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7:::BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) BCH2 $::$ BCH2-3HA-His3MX6 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 CHS6::URA3 (K. lactis) BCH2::KAN (Tn903) MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 BCH1::HIS5 (S. pombe)

MAT a/ $\alpha$ ade2/ade2 his3/his3 leu2/leu2 lys2/lys2 trp1/trp1 ura3/ura3 BUD7::LEU2 (K.lactis)/BUD7:: MAT a ade2 his3 leu 2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 CHS5::CHS5-6HA-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys2 trp 1 ura 3 CHS6:: CHS6-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his 3 leu 2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BUD7::BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MATa ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tpp ura3 BCH1::BCH1-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tp1 ura3 BCH2::BCH2-9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 tpp 1 ura3 CHS6:::CHS6-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS5:::LEU2 (K. lactis) SEC7:::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3 BUD7::BUD7-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MATa ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH1::BCH1-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3 BCH2:::BCH2-9myc-TRP1 (K lactis) CHS5::LEU2 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 lys2 trp 1 ura3CHS6::CHS64c-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3 MAT a ade 2 his 3 leu 2 lys2 trp 1 ura3BUD7::BUD74c-9myc-TRP1 (K. lactis) SEC7::SEC7-GFP-URA3
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YA S837

| Table 7: Oligonucleotide primers used in chapter 3.2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Sequence | Purpose |
| MT-A3 | TATGAAGGTTTGGAATGGTTAAGTAACAGTTTGAAAAACTCAACT CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | ARF1-tagging, PYM |
| MT-A4 | TTCATTTAGTTTATACAAGCGTATTTGATCCATATTCTA GAATTT ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | ARF1-tagging, PYM |
| MT-A16 | TATGAAGGTTTGGAATGGTTAAGTAACAGTTTGAAAAACTCAACT GGTCGACGGATCCCCGGG | ARF1-tagging, pDH |
| MT-A17 | TTCATTTAGTTTATACAAGCGTATTTGATCCATATTCTAGAATTT ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | ARF1-tagging, pDH |
| MT-A26 | TATGAGTCTTTCAAAAAGGA GCAAGAACAACAAACTGAGCAAGCT CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | PAB1-tagging, PYM |
| MT-A27 | GATGATAAGTTTGTTGAGTAGGGAAGTAGGTGATTACATAGAGCA ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | PAB1-tagging, PYM |
| MT-A18 | TATGAGTCTTTCAAAAAGGAGCAAGAACAACAAACTGAGCAAGCT GGTCGACGGATCCCCGGG | PAB1-tagging, pDH |
| MYO4-tag-F | TTAGCTACTGTCAGTAAAATTATAAAATTAGACAGAAAA tcc ggt tct gct gct agt | MYO4-tagging with 2GFP |
| MYO4-tag-R | ATACATATATACATATATGGGCGTATATTTACTTTGTTC tta cct cga ggc cag aag act | MYO4-tagging with 2GFP |
| MT-A19 | GATGATAAGTTTGTTGAGTAGGGAAGTAGGTGATTACATAGAGCA ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | PAB1-tagging, pDH |
| MT-A41 | GGAAAAGGATGCTGATGGAA | PAB1-tagging control |
| MT038 | CCAGCCCAAATACAAGCAAT | MYO4-tagging control |
| MT039 | GGTCAGAAAAGCCATGTGGT | MYO4-tagging control |
| MT-A5 | TTGAAGGTATAAGAAAGAACTCAAACAGGTTTAATAGAATTAAAA CGTACGCTG CAGGTCGAC | ARF1-deletion |
| MT-A6 | TTCATTTAGTTTATACAAGCGTATTTGATCCATATTCTAGAATTT ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | ARF1-deletion |
| MT-A7 | CGAATTGAGCGTTTCTGACA | ARF1-deletion and tagging control |
| MT-A8 | TTGGCTGGTGATCGTCAATA | ARF1-deletion and tagging control |
| MT-A12 | ATT CCG GAA TTC gct gat att act gat aag aca | Two-hybrid-cloning PAB1 |
| MT-A13 | TAT CCG CTC GAG tta agc ttg ctc agt ttg ttg | Two-hybrid-cloning PAB1 |
| MT-A14 | ATT CCG GAA TTC tct gaa aat aac gaa gaa caa | Two-hybrid-cloning PUB1 |
| MT-A15 | TAT CCG CTC GAG tct tca taa tat tta ttg ttg | Two-hybrid-cloning PUB1 |
| MT-A33 | ATGTGTCGCCAA TTCTTTCC | ASH1 RT-PCR |
| MT-A34 | TGGTGAATTGCCTGGTGTTA | ASH1 RT-PCR |
| MT-A35 | AGCTTTTGCCAGATGGTGAC | IST2 RT-PCR |
| MT-A36 | TAGTGGCAGCATCGTCTTTG | IST2 RT-PCR |
| MT-A37 | gccetaatgcaaggtcaaaa | SIC1 RT-PCR |
| MT-A38 | gttcgaattgggaggtgcta | SIC1 RT-PCR |
| MT-A39 | aaacgttgatgacaccgtga | ADH1 RT-PCR |
| MT-A40 | gacggtggtgaaggtaagga | ADH1 RT-PCR |
| MT022 | AGAGTTGCCCCAGAAGAACA | ACT1 RT-PCR |
| MT023 | GGCTTGGATGGAAACGTAGA | ACT1 RT-PCR |

## ATGGCTTGGGAAGATGTCAG GCGTCAATACCTTGCGAAAT CTTAACTTCCGGCCACTTGA GCAACCACCTTGGCAATAGT CCAGCTGAGCTTTTGAATCC TCTGGTGTGGCTCTGTCTTG tTTTCCTATCGCTCCTGTCC GTGGAGGGAGATGGAGATGA ACGAATCCCACGGTAAGTTG GACAAGCCGACAACCTTGAT <br> CACC GCTGATATTACTGATAAGACAGCTGAA AAAAACGTTTGCATTTTGTCA <br> MT024 <br> MTO25 MTO26 MTO27 MT030 MT031 MT-A31 MT-A32 MT113 MT114 MT115 MT116 MT-A22 MT-A23

TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACTTCGAAATGGG CGAAAATA
TAACACCAGGCAATTCACCA

| Table 8: General oligonucleotide primers |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Designation | Sequence | Purpose |
| KAN\&HIS-Primer | TGGGCCTCCATGTCGCTGG | pYM-tagging control |
| TRP-Primer | GCTATTCATCCAGCAGGCCTC | pYM-tagging control |
| 1MAT | AGT CAC ATC AAG ATC GTT TAT GG | Mating type PCR |
| 2MAT-alpha | GCA CGG AATATG GGA CTA CTT CG | Mating type PCR |
| 3MAT-a | ACT CCA CTT CAA GTA AGA GTT TG | Mating type PCR |

Table 9: Oligonucleotide primers used in chapter 3.3 Designation Sequence

| Designation | Sequence | Purpose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RG084 | GCGTAGATGCTAAATGTTATCGCGGTTTAGCTTGCATGTTACGTTCCAGCTGAAGCTTCGTACGTGC | CHS5 deletion, pUG |
| RG085 | GCTTGGCGGCTACTGAGTACCCCTCTCAAGAAAATGAAGTGATCGCATAGGCCAACTAGTGGATC | CHS5 deletion, pUG |
| MT042 | TTTCTTAAGCTGTTGGTGCAAAAAAGGATTACATCTATTGCCCTT CAG CTG AAG CTT CGTACG C | CHS6 deletion, pUG |
| MT043 | TCCAACCGTAGTGGTTATATAATAATACTAAGAGCACCGTTTTGT GCA TAG GCC ACTAGT GGA TCT G | CHS6 deletion, pUG |
| MT-A9 | TGAGC GCAAAAAAAT AAAGAACTAA GGAAGAAGAG CTTCCCTCAG CAG CTG AAG CTT CGT ACG C | BUD7 deletion, pUG |
| MT-A10 | TCGAAACTTTGGTCAGACTCATATCTTGAATAACCACACTTAAAC GCA TAG GCC ACT AGT GGA TCT G | BUD7 deletion, pUG |
| RG060 | CTCAATTCATCTTCTTGAGAGCACTTTCCGCCAGCTGAAGCTTCGTACGTGCAGG T | YMR237w deletion, pUG |
| RG061 | GGGTTATACTCAGTTTCGCTTACGCGGATCATAGGCCAACTAGTGGATCTGATA | YMR237w deletion, pUG |
| RG092 | GTATAAGTAGTAAAGTACAG TTAACAGATCAATTGGCCTC GAGGAATCCAGCTGAAGCTTCGTACGTGC | YKR027w deletion, pUG |
| RG093 | GGATATTACCCGCGCTAAAGTATTAGCATTATCGCCGTAAATTTGCATAGGCCAACTAGTG GATC | YKR027w deletion, pUG |
| MT-A5 | TTGAAGGTATAAGAAAGAACTCAAACAGGTTTAATAGAATTAAAA CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | ARF1 deletion, pYM |
| MT-A6 | TTCATTTAGTTTATACAAGCGTATTTGATCCATATTCTAG AATTT ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | ARF1 deletion, PYM |
| RG088 | CGGTCGGCCCTTCAAGTTCTCC | CHS5 deletion control |
| RG089 | CGTTTTCGTAGAGCGCGACG G | CHS5 deletion control |
| RG090 | GGTACTCCCTAGCACCCCAAGC | CHS6 deletion control |
| RG091 | CCCTTATCAAGCAGATCTGG | CHS6 deletion control |
| MT-A11 | AGCGTCACGTGAACACATTC | BUD7 deletion control |
| RG046 | AATCGTTCACGCTGGATCAT | BUD7 deletion control |
| RG078 | GCCGGCAGTGGATTAGGAGT | YMR237w deletion control |
| RG079 | GAATTAACGCTGTCGCATCAC | YMR237w deletion control |
| RG096 | GGTTTCCGAGGCATTGTTACACCG | YKR027w deletion control |
| RG098 | GCTAAAGTATTAGCATTATCGCCG | YKR027w deletion control |
| MT-A7 | CGAATTGAGCGTTTCTGACA | ARF1 deletion control |
| MT-A8 | TTGGCTGGTGATCGTCAATA | ARF1 deletion control |
| MT044 | ATGGCCGGTATTGCTATTGGATTTGGTGTGGGTATAACACGTGAA CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | BUD8 tagging, PYM |
| MT045 | AACAGTTTTTTATTTTTTATCCTATTTGATGAATGATACAGTTTC ATCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG | BUD8 tagging, PYM |
| MT046 | TTTGCTAGCATAGGAATAGG ATTTGGTGTGGGAATAATAAGAGAG CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | BUD9 tagging, PYM |
| MT047 | ATAGAGAGTAGCAGGAAATCTTCGACGAGTAAGTCCAGCATGGAG ATCGATGAATTCGA GCTCG | BUD9 tagging, PYM |
| MT048 | GTGGTTCCGGATGTTTTGTC | BUD8 tagging control |
| MT049 | CGCTATGGCCACTGAAAAAT | BUD8 tagging control |

TCCTCAAAGGGTTTGAATGG BUD9 tagging control BUD9 tagging control RAX1 tagging, pYM RAX1 tagging, pYM RAX2 tagging, PYM RAX2 tagging, pYM RAX1 tagging control RAX1 tagging control RAX2 tagging control RAX2 tagging control GAP1 tagging, pYM GAP1 tagging, pYM GAP1 tagging control GAP1 tagging control
CHS6 cloning in pRS

CHS6 cloning in pRS315 and 425 CHS6 cloning in pRS315 and 425 BUD7 cloning in pRS315 and 425 BUD7 cloning in pRS315 and 425

YMR237w cloning in pRS315 and 425 YMR237w cloning in pRS315 and 425 YKR027w cloning in pRS315 and 425 YKR027w cloning in pRS315 and 425 FUS1 cloning in pUG35

FUS1 cloning in pUG35
CHS5 pET100-D cloning
CHS5 PET100-D cloning

GCCGCATTCACAGTTATTTTCAGCTGTGTTCCCGGCCGTCGTGTA CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC AATATGCGGTGCACAGGTGTTTTTATAGGGGGGTGATGGATTACA ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG GAAATGCTTGATACCGTCCCACCCGAAAAACTTATGAAGTTTGTC CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC TGTTCATTATTTTAAGTAGTTATATATTATATAATACAACCCCGA ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG CGATCACGTGCCAATGATAC tTCCGCGAGAGGTGATAAGT AACCGCGAATTGATGAGAAT CATTTTTCATGGCTTCACCA

ATGGCCACAAAGCCAAGATGGTATAGAATCTGGAATTTCTGGTGT CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC TGATTATCTAAAAAATAAAGTCTTTTTTTGTCGTTGTTCG ATTCA ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG AAGCTTTTCATCCCAGCAGA AATGCGGGGAAATCATATTG

TCCC CCC GGG GAAGGACAAG GTGCCAGGTA GGA CCG CGG CCTTACCCTCAGTCCATCCA TCCC CCC GGG GTGGTTCTCTTGGTCGGGTA

GGA CCG CGG GAAGCGTATCGCCAATTTTT TCCC CCC GGG CCGGCAGTGGATTAGGAGTA GGA CCG CGG CCCGTTTCTTGTTAATTGATTTC TCCC CCC GGG TTCACAATGGAACCCAACAA GGA CCG CGG TCGCCGTAAATTTGTCCATT GG ACTAGT TTCCATGGCAAGTTCCTACC CCC AAGCTT GTCGTATTCTTGGAGACAGTCA CACC TCTTCAGTTGATGTACTGTTAACAGTAGGT
GGAACTCATTGAAGGCATCC MT050 MT051
MT091 MT092 MT093 MT094 은 MT096 MT097 MT098 MT105 MT107 ${ }^{\circ}$ ${ }^{-1}$ MT002 MT003 MT004 MT005 $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ MT007 MT008 MT056
2
MT-A20
Table 10: Oligonucleotide_primers used in chapter 3.4 Designation Sequence

| Designation | Sequence | Purpose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RG066 | AATAAGAAGAAGAATAAGAAGAATAAGAAGAAA GGGAAAAAGAAACGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Chs5p tagging, pYM |
| RG067 | AAAAAATAAACG TGCGTCGTGGAACTCATTGAAGGCATCCATTAAA TCGATGAATTCGAG CTCG | Chs5p tagging, pYM |
| RG068 | GCCATGCTTGCGTGGATAGCCGACCTAGATCACACAGTACAACCTCGTACGCTGCAGGTC GAC | Chs6p tagging, pYM |
| RG069 | TCCAACCGTAGTGGTTATATAATAATACTAAGAGCACCGTTTTGTATCGATGAATTCGAG CTCG | Chs6p tagging, pYM |
| RG070 | TTGCTCAATTTCTTCACTACTTGCACCATTGGATGCTACG ATGCACGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Bud7p tagging, pYM |
| RG071 | ATTTTTTTTGGATTATATATACGTATTAA TG TCTTTTTATCGTATATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | Bud7p tagging, pYM |
| RG072 | ATTCTAAATTTTCTGAAGAATTTCA CGAA TGACACTTTCG ATAATCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Bch1p tagging, pYM |
| RG073 | TTAATTGATTTCTTTCACCTTTTTATTGATTGTATTCATCTTTTTATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | Bch1p tagging, pY M |
| RG094 | CGCCCTCTTCCAGATCTTCCTTCCACTATCAAACCTCTGG CAGACCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Bch2p tagging, pYM |
| RG095 | CACA CACAG TATATA TATATAGATTCATTAAA TCAATTTGATCAGATCGATGAATTCGAG CTCG | Bch2p tagging, pYM |
| MT064 | GCCATGCTTGCGTGGATAGCCGACCTAGATCACACAGTACAACCT TCC CAC CAC СА T САT CAT CAC | Chs6p tagging, pU6H3VSV; MT043 |
| MT065 | ATTCTAAA TTTTCTGAAGAATTTCACGAATGACACTTTCGATAAT CAC CAC САT CAT CAT CAC | Bch1p tagging, pU6H3VSV |
| MT066 | TTAATTGATTTCTTTCACCTTTTTATTGATTGTATTCATCTTTTT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT | Bch1p tagging, pU6H3VSV |
| MT075 | ATTCTAAATTTTCTGAAGAA TTTCACGAATGACACTTTCGATAAT ACTGATTTTTTATCTAAAA GGT ACTGATTTTTTATCTAAAA TAA CAG CTG AAG CTT CGT ACG C | Bch1p tagging with 2xAU5; pUG73; MT066 |
| MT077 | CAACCTTCCATAGGAGACGAAATCATGGTCATGATCGATGCCATG CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Chs6p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT078 | TGTTCAAGGTTGTACTGTGTGATCTAGGTCGGCTATCCACGCAAG ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | Chs6p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT089 | TCAAGATATCCAGAAACTGTTCTCAACTTGGTGCAGGAGAATTTG CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Bud7p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT090 | TTATGCATCGTAGCATCCAATGGTGCAAGTAGTGAAGAAATTGAG ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | Bud7p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT079 | TCTAGATTTTCTGACCCAGTAGCCCAATTGATTGACGATA ACATT CGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC | Bch1p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT080 | CTAATTA TCGAAAGTGTCATTCGTGAAATTCTTCAGAAAA TTTAG ATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG | Bch1p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT087 | TCTCCTTACGGGCAAGCTGG CATCACTTCGGTGATAGATTATATG CGTACGCTG CAGGTCGAC | Bch2p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| MT088 | ATAGGCTAGGCAGGCTTCATTCCTATTTTTAGAGAGGCATTCAAG ATCGATGAATTCGA GCTCG | Bch2p, c-terminal deletion + tagging, pYM |
| RG041 | GAGGCCTCTGCTTCTCTTGA | Chs5p tagging control |
| RG042 | CGGCAAAAATAACGGGTAAA | Chs5p tagging control |
| RG043 | CAGCGGATTAGAGTGGGAAC | Chs6p tagging control |
| RG044 | TTTGCGTACCTTTCCCAAAT | Chs6p tagging control |
| RG045 | GCGAGGAAACTGCTGGAATA | Bud7p tagging control |
| RG046 | AATCGTTCACGCTGGATCAT | Bud7p tagging control |
| RG047 | GGGAATTATTCGGCCTTTGT | Bch1p tagging control |
| RG048 | GTTTCGCTTTACGCGGATTA | Bch1p tagging control |
| RG097 | CCCGATGCAGTAGCGTGTCTACG | Bch2p tagging control |
| RG098 | GCTAAAGTATTAGCATTATCGCCG | Bch2p tagging control |

### 2.8 Biochemical Methods

### 2.8.1 Determination of yeast cell density

$1 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ corresponds to $2.75 \cdot 10^{7}$ yeast cells per ml on the spectrophotometer DU 640 (Beckman). For $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ measurements, cells were diluted to yield an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of no more than 0.5 . Unless otherwise indicated, logarithmically growing cells were harvested at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of no more than 0.5 .

### 2.8.2 Preparation of yeast total cell extract

$2 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of yeast cells were harvested and resuspended in $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ x Laemmli-buffer $\left(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, including 1 mM PMSF). Approximately $120 \mu \mathrm{l}$ glass beads were added. After vigorous vortexing for 5 min , samples were incubated for 5 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 1 min of vortexing. Cell debris and glass beads were sedimented ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh reaction tube. For subsequent analysis by SDSPAGE and immunoblotting, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the lysate were used.

For comparison of protein expression levels in different mutants grown at non-permissive temperature, the following procedure was used: $2 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ cells were resuspended in $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ lysis buffer and lysed by vortexing for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with roughly $120 \mu \mathrm{l}$ glass beads. The crude lysate obtained after settling of the beads was centrifuged for 10 min at $20,000 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant contained soluble proteins. The lysates were normalized to equal total protein concentration using the Biorad protein assay.

## Lysis buffer

50 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.5
1 mM EDTA
50 mM DTT
PMSF, Pepstatin A, Leupeptin

### 2.8.3 Preparation of yeast cytosol

Yeast cytosol was prepared as described before (Spang and Schekman, 1998). Usually, 31 of mid-logarithmic liquid culture $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}\right.$ between 1.0 and 1.5) were harvested ( 5 min , $5,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{GS} 3$-rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed once in water. The cell pellet was resuspended in 2 ml B88 and slowly pipetted into liquid nitrogen to allow small beads to form. Cell beads were either stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or immediately processed. Lysis of cells occurred under liquid nitrogen in a mortar (placed on dry ice) in which the cells were ground for approximately 30 min . Alternatively, a blender was used for 15 min . The resulting fine powder was
thawed in an ice/water bath and supplemented with the same volume of B88. After a preclearing step ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 8,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, GS3-rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), the lysate was spun at $100,000 \mathrm{~g}(60$ $\mathrm{min}, 39,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Ti 50.2 -rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The supernatant was carefully collected avoiding the lipid layer on top. The protein concentration was measured by Biorad protein assay. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.8.4 Preparation of enriched Golgi membranes from yeast

For the preparation of enriched Golgi-membranes from yeast (Spang and Schekman, 1998), cells were grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of no more than 0.5 (early to mid-logarithmic growth phase). Usually, 31 of liquid culture were harvested ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 5,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{GS} 3$-rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed once in water. The cell pellet was resuspended in 2 ml B88 and slowly pipetted into liquid nitrogen to allow small cell beads to form. Cells were either stored at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or immediately processed. Lysis of cells occurred under liquid nitrogen in a mortar (placed on dry ice) in which the cells were ground for approximately 30 min . The resulting fine powder was thawed in an ice/water bath and supplemented with the same volume of B88 (supplemented with 1 mM DTT and 1 mM PMSF). The lysate was pre-cleared by centrifugation with $3,000 \mathrm{~g}\left(2 \mathrm{~min}, 5,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SS} 34-\right.$ rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The supernatant was collected and centrifuged twice at $27,000 \mathrm{~g}\left(15 \mathrm{~min}, 15,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SS} 34-r o t o r, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The ER-free supernatant was loaded on a $60 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ sucrose cushion (in 20 mM HEPES/KOH $\mathrm{pH} 6.8)$ and spun at $100,000 \mathrm{~g}\left(30 \mathrm{~min}, 25,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SW} 28\right.$-rotor, $\left.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The membranes were carefully collected at the interface and diluted again to the initial volume before ultracentrifugation in order to wash away cytosolic contaminants. The ultra-centrifugation step was repeated and the enriched Golgi-membranes were collected at the interface. The protein concentration was determined by the Biorad DC protein assay. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.8.5 Protein determination

The determination of protein concentrations of detergent-free solutions were performed using the Biorad protein assay, which is based on the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). Bovine $\gamma$-globulin served as protein standard. For detergent-containing solutions, the detergent-compatible Biorad DC protein assay was used which is based on the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951). In this case, bovine serum albumin served as standard. For relative determination of peptide amounts in order to estimate peptide coupling efficiencies, the BCA-asssay from Piece was used which is based on the BCA-method
(Smith et al., 1985). All determinations were performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

### 2.8.6 Trichloro acetic acid precipitation

TCA-precipitations of proteins were conducted essentially as described (Bensadoun and Weinstein, 1976). $1 / 10$ volume of $100 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ TCA $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was added to the ice-cold protein solution. After incubation on ice for 30 min , the sample was centrifuged for 15 min (14.000 rpm, Eppendorf $\left.5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The pellet was washed with 1 ml acetone $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and sedimented again. After aspiration of the acetone, the pellet was dried for approximately 5 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dissolved in a smaller volume of 100 mM Tris pH 8.0 .

### 2.8.7 SDS-PAGE

For the discontinuous, denaturing SDS-polyacrylamide-gel-electrophoresis (Davis, 1964; Ornstein, 1964; Laemmli, 1970), both mini-gels ( $8 \mathrm{~cm} \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.075 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) as well as large gels ( $14.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ with $0.8 \%$ agarose used for sealing) were used. In both instances, the stacking gel was $4 \%$. The percentage of the separation gel was chosen according to the analytical problem. The protein samples to be analyzed were complemented by $1 / 2$ volume of $5 x$ Laemmli-buffer, incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$ and spun shortly. The separation was performed at constant current ( 25 mA for mini-gels, 50 mA for large gels). Examples of standard gel compositions are given.

Table 11: Composition of $12.5 \%$ SDS-mini-gels, sufficient for 13 gels.

|  | $12.5 \%$ separation gel | $4 \%$ stacking gel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acrylamide $29.2 \% /$ Bisacrylamide $0.8 \%$ | 25 ml | 5 ml |
| $1.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ | 15 ml | --- |
| $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris/HCl} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{6.8}$ | --- | $7,5 \mathrm{ml}$ |
| $10 \%$ SDS | $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 19.5 ml | 17.1 ml |
| TEMED | $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $36 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $10 \%$ APS | $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $240 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

Table 12: Composition of large $10 \%$ SDS-gels, sufficient for 2 gels.

|  | $10 \%$ separation gel | $4 \%$ stacking gel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acrylamide $29.2 \% /$ Bisacrylamide $0.8 \%$ | 12 ml | 1.25 ml |
| 1.5 M Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ | 9 ml | --- |
| $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{6.8}$ | --- | 1.9 ml |
| $10 \%$ SDS | $180 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $37.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 14.7 ml | 4.3 ml |
| TEMED | $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $9 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $10 \%$ APS | $180 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

## SDS-PAGE running buffer

25 mM Tris
192 mM glycine
0.1\% SDS

### 2.8.8 Coomassie-Blue staining of polyacrylamide gels

Polyacrylamide gels were routinely stained after electrophoresis for 15 min in Coomassiestaining solution at room temperature (Meyer and Lamberts, 1965). Destaining of the gels was usually performed overnight in destaining solution at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The gels were equilibrated in water and dried for documentation.

Coomassie-staining solution
7.5\% acetic acid

50\% methanol
0.25\% Serva Brillant Blue R250

Destaining solution
7.5\% acetic acid
$50 \%$ methanol

### 2.8.9 Colloidal Cooomassie-Blue staining of polyacrylamide gels according to Fairbanks

For improved sensitivity, especially if protein bands were to be cut out for protein identification by mass spectrometry, the polyacrylamide gels were stained using the Fairbanks method (Fairbanks et al., 1971). The gels were agitated gently for 1 h in destaining solution. Staining was performed overnight in solution A. The destaining was accomplished by stepwise incubation for 1 h in solution $\mathrm{B}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ in solution C and finally by incubation in solution D until the background was completely removed.

## Solution A

$25 \%$ isopropanol
$10 \%$ acetic acid
$0.05 \%$ Serva Brillant Blue G250

## Solution C

$10 \%$ actetic acid
$0.002 \%$ Serva Brillant Blue G250

## Solution B

$25 \%$ isopropanol
$10 \%$ acetic acid
0.005\% Serva Brillant Blue G250

Solution D
$10 \%$ acetic acid

Destaining solution
7.5\% acetic acid
$50 \%$ methanol

### 2.8.10 Silver staining of polyacrylamide gels

The staining was performed essentially as described (Blum et al., 1987). The different steps are listed in table 13.

Table 13: Silver staining procedure

| Step | Solution* | Time scale |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fixation | $70 \%$ MetOH, $0,037 \% \mathrm{HCHO}$ | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Washing step | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Sensitizing | $0,02 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 1 min |
| Washing step | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Silver staining | $0.1 \% \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ | $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Washing step | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Developing | $3 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0.0004 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 0.037 \% \mathrm{HCHO}$ | $3-10 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Stop | $10 \% \mathrm{HAc}^{2}$ | 10 min |

*except for $70 \%$ MetOH, the $2 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$-stock solution, and the $3 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, everything was prepared freshly.

### 2.8.11 Blue Native PAGE

The Blue Native PAGE was performed as described before (Schagger and von Jagow, 1991; Schagger, 2001). The separation of proteins occurred with the aid of $4-20.5 \%$ large gradient polyacrylamide gels. The gels were cast with the help of a gradient mixer. The compositions of gradient gels and buffers used are given in table 14.

To prepare yeast lysates, $10 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of yeast cells were incubated in 2 ml DTT-buffer ( 10 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 9.4,10 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT) for 5 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The buffer was replaced by 2 ml SP-buffer ( $76 \%$ YPD, 0.7 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.5 ) and supplemented with 30 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ Zymolyase T-20 $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$. The cells were spheroplasted for 40 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The
spheroplasts were sedimented ( $3 \mathrm{~min}, 1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and lysed in 0.5 ml BNP-solubilization buffer. After solublization for 3 min at RT, the lysate was cleared by centrifugation (10 $\mathrm{min}, 14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $25 \mu \mathrm{l} 50 \%$ glycerol were added to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant . The samples were immediately loaded onto a $4-20.5 \%$ Blue Native gradient gel. $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample were loaded and BSA ( $66 \mathrm{kDa}, 132 \mathrm{kDa}$ ), Apoferritin ( 443 kDa ) and Thyroglobin ( 667 kDa ) served as molecular weight markers. Electrophoresis was performed initially for 75 min at $100 \mathrm{~V} / 15 \mathrm{~mA}$, and then for further 165 min at $500 \mathrm{~V} / 15$ mA (both steps at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The gel was briefly washed in electrode buffer and the proteins were transferred to a PVDF-membrane using the semi-dry method (at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~V}$ for 150 min or overnight). The membrane was processed as usual for immunoblots except that unspecific binding sites were blocked for at least 12 h in $5 \%$ non-fat milk in TBS.

Table 14: Composition of a $4 \%-20.5 \%$ Blue native polyacrylamide gradient gel.

|  | Sample gel | Gradient separation gel |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4 \% \mathrm{~T}$ | $4 \% \mathrm{~T}$ | $20.5 \% \mathrm{~T}$ |
| AB-Mix | 0.33 ml | 0.92 ml | 3.75 ml |
| 3 x gel buffer | 1.33 ml | 3.67 ml | 3 ml |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.33 ml | 6.4 ml | - |
| $50 \%$ glycerol |  |  | 2.25 ml |
| TEMED | $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| 10\% APS* | $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $4 \mu 1$ |
|  | 4 ml | 11 ml | 9 ml |

* prepared freshly

AB-Mix (49.5\% T, 3\% C)
$48 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ acrylamide
$1.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ bisacrylamide
filtered

3x gel buffer
75 mM imidazole/ HCl pH 7.0
1.5 M 6-aminohexanoic acid

SB cathode buffer
50 mM Tricine
7.5 mM imidazole
(resulting pH is around 7.0)
$0.002 \%$ Serva blue G250

Electrode buffer (for semi-dry transfer)<br>25 mM tricine<br>7.5 mM imidazole<br>(resulting pH is around 7.0)

## Anode buffer

25 mM imidazole/ HCl pH 7.0

### 2.8.12 Immunoblots

Generally, proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose or PVDF membranes, depending on the analytical problem. The transfer was usually carried out using the wet-blot method (Towbin et al., 1979). Alternatively, for low molecular weight proteins (< 80 kDa ), the faster semi-dry method was employed (Kyhse-Andersen, 1984). In either method, a sandwich is assembled consisting of the gel, the membrane and 3 gel blotting papers on each side. The proteins are electro-transferred in transfer buffer (wet-blot: 3 h at RT or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~V} / 250 \mathrm{~mA}$; semi-dry: 45 min at RT , $15 \mathrm{~V} / 2 \mathrm{~A}$ ).
After the transfer, the nitrocellulose was stained in Ponceau S solution for 1 min (optionally). The background was removed by washes in water. Complete destaining was accomplished by incubation in TBS. The PVDF membrane was stained in colloidal Coomassie-solution for 5 min . The background was removed by washes in destain solution. Complete destaining was obtained by incubation in methanol.
Unspecific binding sites were blocked by incubation for 1 h in $5 \%$ milk (non-fat milk powder in TBS; $0.02 \% \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ). The membrane was decorated with primary antibodies diluted usually in $5 \%$ milk for 1 h at RT or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The membrane was washed in TBST ( $3 \times$ brief washes, $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The secondary antibody coupled to horseradish-peroxidase was diluted in TBST and incubated for 1 h at RT. After repeated washes in TBST, the signals were detected using either the ECL, ECL+ or ECL advance system (Amersham Bioscience) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The chemoluminescence was reported on ECL hyperfilms employing different exposure times.

## Transfer buffer:

25 mM Tris
192 mM glycine
$0.25 \%$ SDS
$20 \%$ methanol

### 2.8.13 Purification of recombinant Arf1p proteins with His $\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{6}}$-tag from $E$. coli

For the purification of $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-\operatorname{Arf1p}, \Delta \mathrm{N} 17-A r f 1 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Q} 71 \mathrm{~L}$ or $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-A r f 1 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{T} 31 \mathrm{~N}$, E. coli stocks were freshly streaked out. 50 ml of LBamp were inoculated with a single colony and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1.51 LBamp were inoculated with the overnight culture and after 2 h of growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG. After incubation for additional 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cells were harvested ( $10 \mathrm{~min} 6,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, GS-3 rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed in STE-buffer ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 10,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SS} 34$-rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The cell pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The cell pellet was thawed on ice and resuspended in 20 ml STE-buffer. Lysozyme was added to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ final concentration and the suspension was gently agitated for 15 min at RT. After addition of 8 ml of cold Triton buffer, the cells were sonified with several 15 s pulses and intermittent incubations on ice. The lysis was checked under the microscope and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation ( $15 \mathrm{~min}, 20,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SS} 34,4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). 2.5 ml of pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA-agarose slurry ( 30 min in 20 ml binding buffer at RT) were added to the supernatant and agitated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Ni-NTA-agarose was sedimented ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 4,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5810 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and washed with $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ binding buffer. The last suspension was transferred to a Polyprep column (Biorad). The proteins were eluted with $10 \times 1 \mathrm{ml}$ elution buffer. Fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomasie-blue staining, protein-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed twice (2.5 1 dialysis buffer, at least 6 h each). The protein concentration was determined and aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Triton buffer

50 mM Tris/ HCl pH 8.0
$0.2 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$
100 mM MgCl 2

## Dialysis buffer

20 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.4
1 mM EDTA
100 mM NaCl
1 mM DTT
$2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$

## Binding buffer

$20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HEPES} / \mathrm{NaOH} \mathrm{pH} 7.4$
1 mM MgCl 2
1 mM DTT
200 mM KCl
20 mM imidazole

## Elution buffer

20 mM HEPES/ NaOH pH 7.4
1 mM MgCl 2
1 mM DTT
200 mM KCl
500 mM imidazole

### 2.8.14 Purification of other recombinant His $_{6}$-tagged proteins from $E$. coli

Chs5p and Bfrlp were purified from E. coli under denaturing conditions in 8 M urea. The proteins were purified by Ni-NTA (Qiagen) using protocols provided by the manufacturer. Pablp was purified from E. coli under native conditions as described previously (Deardorff and Sachs, 1997).

### 2.8.15 Purification of recombinant wild-type Arf1p protein from E. coli

The purification of recombinant myristoylated Arflp from E. coli was performed as described before with minor modifications (Randazzo et al., 1992). An E. coli strain cotransformed with plasmids for Arflp and Nmtlp ( N -myristoyl-transferase 1) was used. The glycerol stock was streaked out on LB 0.5 x kan $/ 0.5 \mathrm{x}$ carb and grown overnight. 40 ml LBkan/carb were inoculated and grown for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.75. 1.5 1 LBkan/carb were inoculated with the pre-culture. After $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the culture reached an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.5 . At this point, protein expression was induced by 1 mM IPTG and 2.4 ml 125 mM myristic acid were added. After additional incubation for $3-5 \mathrm{~h}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cells were harvested at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ between 1 and $2\left(10 \mathrm{~min} 6,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{GS}-3\right.$ rotor, $\left.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, washed in STE-buffer, and sedimented ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 10,000 \mathrm{rpm}, \mathrm{SS} 34-$ rotor, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The cell pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the cell pellet was thawed on ice and resuspended in 30 ml STE-buffer with protease inhibitors (PMSF, pepstatin A, leupeptin). Lysozyme was added to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ final concentration and the suspension was gently agitated for 15 min at RT. After addition of 12 ml of cold Tritonbuffer, the cells were sonified with several 15 s pulses intermittent by incubations on ice.
The lysate was centrifuged at $100,000 \mathrm{~g}$ centrifugation ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, 39,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Ti 50.2 -rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The supernatant was loaded on a 50 ml DEAE-Sephacel ion-exchange chromatography column, which was equilibrated in DEAE-buffer. The column was washed with 50 ml DEAE-buffer. The flow-through was fractionated (110 drops, approximately 3 ml ). Five $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the fractions were added to $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Biorad protein assay reagent on a micro-titer-plate, the protein peak was visualized by the color shift of the Bradford reagent. Protein containing fractions were pooled. The column was regenerated with 50 ml 2 M NaCl , and subsequent washes in $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$. The column was stored in $20 \%$ ethanol. Particles in the protein pool were removed by centrifugation at $100,000 \mathrm{~g}(30 \mathrm{~min}$, $39,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Ti 50.2 -rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The supernatant was concentrated using a Centriprep YM10 (approximately $8 \mathrm{~h}, 4,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5810 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to less than 10 ml .

The protein solution was loaded on a gel filtration column ( 230 ml Sephacryl S-100), which was pre-equilibrated with dialysis buffer, and developed with dialysis buffer. Three ml fractions were collected overnight at a flow-rate of $0.4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. The column was regenerated by 2 column volumes of dialysis buffer. For storage, $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ was added to $0.02 \%$. The fractions of the gel filtration were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassieblue staining. Fractions that contained appropriate protein amounts of Arflp were pooled and concentrated to less than 5 ml using a Centriprep YM-10. After determination of protein concentration, aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

DEAE-Puffer:<br>20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4<br>50 mM NaCl<br>1 mM EDTA<br>1 mM DTT

Triton buffer
50 mM Tris/ HCl pH 8.0
$0.2 \%$ Triton X-100
100 mM MgCl 2

## Dialysis buffer

20 mM HEPES/ NaOH pH 7.4
1 mM EDTA
100 mM NaCl
1 mM DTT
2 mM MgCl 2

### 2.8.16 Preparation of affinity material

Proteins were covalently coupled to column material using NHS-Agarose (Amersham Bioscience). The carboxyl groups of the column material (with aminohexanoic acid as spacer) were activated by N -hydroxysuccinimid and reacted with amino groups of the proteins forming amide bonds. Coupling, blocking of non-reacted groups and washing was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The coupling efficiency was estimated by measuring the protein concentration in the flow-through.
Peptides were covalently coupled to Ultralink Iodacetyl resin (Pierce). The terminal iodacetyl group of a 15 atom spacer reacted with sulfhydryl groups forming a thioether linkage. Coupling, blocking of non-reacted groups and washing was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The coupling efficiency was estimated by measuring the absorbances of the peptides in the BCA-assay before and after coupling.

### 2.8.17 Differential Arf1p-affinity chromatography

Recombinant $\Delta$ N17-Arflp-Q71L and $\Delta$ N17-Arflp-T31N were expressed in E. coli. Fifty mg of each protein were covalently coupled to 1.2 ml NHS-activated sepharose 4 fast flow
(Amersham Bioscience). For the affinity chromatography, the columns were preequilibrated with 10 ml NE buffer. The nucleotide exchange was performed in 3 ml NE buffer with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the corresponding nucleotide for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (GTP for Arflp-Q71L, GDP for Arflp-T31N). The control column without protein was treated in the same way as the Arflp-Q71L-column. The columns were washed with 10 ml NS buffer. Yeast cytosol $(125 \mathrm{mg})$ was allowed to bind for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The columns were washed with 60 ml NS buffer ( $+0.1 \%$ Triton X-100) and subsequently with 10 ml pre-warmed exchange buffer containing $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GXP. Proteins were eluted using $4 \times 1 \mathrm{ml}$ exchange buffer containing $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GX'P (GDP for Arflp-Q71L, GTP for Arflp-T31N). For the first elution, the columns were incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas for the following elution steps 10 min were used. The samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining and immunoblotting. For protein identification by mass spectrometry, the elutions were TCAprecipitated and separated on a large $10 \%$ SDS-poyacrylamide gel and stained by the Fairbanks method. Protein bands that were clearly enriched in one or the other eluate were cut out and subjected to mass spectrometric analysis.

Nucleotide exchange buffer (NE)<br>25 mM HEPES pH 7.7<br>100 mM NaCl<br>1 mM EDTA<br>$0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$<br>0.1 \% Na-cholate

Nucleotide stabilization buffer (NS)<br>20 mM HEPES pH 7.4<br>100 mM NaCl<br>1 mM DTT<br>1 mM EDTA<br>2 mM MgCl 2<br>$10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GXP

### 2.8.18 Affinity purification of immunoglobulins

Affinity purifications of immunoglobulins were carried out essentially as described previously (Harlow and Lane, 1988). Although the purification was performed at RT, all solutions were kept on ice. Ten ml of the antiserum were thawed on ice and diluted with 10 ml PBS. The solution was filtered through a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ membrane and passed three times over the appropriate affinity column (antigen covalently coupled to the resin). The column was washed with 20 ml Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ and $20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$. The first elution of the antibodies was carried out with 100 mM glycine $\mathrm{pH} 2.5 .10 \times 1 \mathrm{ml}$ fractions were collected in reaction tubes containing $100 \mathrm{mM} T r i s / \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$. The elution was performed rapidly and care was taken as to immediately neutralize the elutions. The column was washed with 10 ml 100 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.5 . The second elution of highaffinity antibodies was carried out with $10 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{ml} 4.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. The column was regenerated by washes with TBS and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $0.02 \% \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$.

Appropriate fractions of the glycine and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ elutions were pooled separately and dialyzed twice against PBS ( $2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{l}$, at least 6 h each $)$. The protein concentration was determined. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The quality and titers of the affinity purified antibodies were tested in immunoblots, in immunoprecipitations and in immunofluorescence.

### 2.8.19 In-gel digestion and mass spectrometric identification of proteins

The in-gel digestions were carried out by Jörn Dengjel. Mass spectrometric analysis of the trypric digests were performed by Jörn Dengjel and Markus Schirle. Both are present and past members of the AG Rammensee, University of Tübingen.
In-gel tryptic digestions were performed as described previously (Shevchenko et al., 1996) and modified as outlined below. Briefly, protein bands were excised from gels, fully destained, and digested for 3 h with porcine trypsin (sequencing grade, modified; Promega, Madison, WI) at a concentration of $67 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.1 , at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Before peptide mass mapping and sequencing of tryptic fragments by tandem mass spectrometry, peptide mixtures were extracted from gels by $1 \%$ formic acid followed by two changes of $50 \%$ acetonitrile. The combined extracts were vacuum-dried until only 1 $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was left, and the peptides were purified by ZipTip according to the manufacturer's instructions (Millipore, Bedford, MA). MALDI-time of flight (TOF) analysis from the matrix $\alpha$-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid/nitrocellulose prepared on the target by using the fast evaporation method (Arnott et al., 1998) was performed on a Bruker Reflex III (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a $\mathrm{N}_{2} 337$-nm laser and gridless pulsed ion extraction. Sequence verifications of some fragments were performed by nanoelectrospray tandem mass spectrometry on either a Q-Tof I mass spectrometer (Micromass, Manchester, England) or a QStar Pulsar i Qqoa Tof mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems-MDS Sciex, Weiterstadt, Germany) equipped with a nanoflow electrospray ionization source. Gold-coated glass capillary nanoflow needles were obtained from Protana (Odense, Denmark) (type medium NanoES spray capillaries). Database searches (NCBInr, nonredundant protein database) were done using the MASCOT software (Perkins et al., 1999).

### 2.8.20 In vitro Golgi-budding assay

### 2.8.20.1 With purified coat components

The Golgi budding assay was performed as described by Spang and Schekman (1998) with minor modifications. For the Golgi budding reactions, membranes were incubated with 0.1 mM GTP or GTP $\gamma$ S, coatomer ( $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ which was available in the laboratory), and wild-type, myristoylated $\operatorname{Arflp}(25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a total volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$. After chilling on ice for 5 min , the samples were loaded on a Ficoll-sucrose gradient consisting of $0.3 \mathrm{ml} 60 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ sucrose, $0.8 \mathrm{ml} 7.5 \%, 1 \mathrm{ml} 5,4$, and $3 \%$ and $0.8 \mathrm{ml} 2 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) Ficoll in $15 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ sucrose in 20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 6.8, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$. The vesicles were separated from the Golgi apparatus by centrifugation for 2 h at $35,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ (SW50.1 rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fractions ( $400 \mu$ l) were collected from the top. Fractions 4-6 of the gradient were pooled, mixed with an equal volume of $80 \%(w / v)$ Nycodenz in B88*, and overlaid with $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $35,25,20$, and $15 \%$ and $400 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Nycodenz in B88*. Gradients were centrifuged for 16 h at $40,000 \mathrm{rpm}\left(\mathrm{SW} 50.1\right.$ rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fractions ( $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were collected from the top, TCA precipitated, and analyzed by immunoblot.


### 2.8.20.2 With cytosol

For the Golgi budding reactions using cytosol, $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Golgi membranes were incubated with 0.2 mM GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}, 75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cytosol and $10 \mu \mathrm{I}$ ATP-regeneration system at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a total volume of $240 \mu$ l. After chilling on ice and dilution with $160 \mu \mathrm{l} 888$, the samples were loaded on a Ficoll-sucrose gradient consisting of $0.3 \mathrm{ml} 60 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ sucrose, 0.8 ml $7.5 \%, 1 \mathrm{ml} 5,4$, and $3 \%$ and $0.8 \mathrm{ml} 2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ Ficoll in $15 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ sucrose in 20 mM HEPES/KOH pH $6.8,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$. Vesicles were separated from the Golgi apparatus by centrifugation for 2 h at $35,000 \mathrm{rpm}\left(\mathrm{SW} 50.1\right.$ rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fractions ( $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were
collected from the top. Fractions 4-6 of the gradients were pooled, mixed with an equal volume of $80 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Nycodenz in B88*, and overlaid with $1.6 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{30} \mathrm{\%} 0.6 \mathrm{ml} 20 \$,$% and$ $0.6 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Nycodenz in B88*. The gradients were centrifuged for 16 h at 40,000 rpm (SW50.1 rotor, $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fractions ( $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for fractions 1-10 and 1.2 ml for fractions 11 and 12) were collected from the top, precipitated by TCA, resolved on SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblot.

### 2.8.21 Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed essentially as described previously (Harlow and Lane, 1988). To prepare yeast lysates for co-immuno-precipitations, $10 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of logarithmically growing yeast cells were incubated in 2 ml DTT-buffer ( 10 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 9.4,10 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT) for 5 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The buffer was replaced by 2 ml SP buffer ( $0.76 \%$ YPD, 0.7 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.5 ), supplemented with $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Zymolyase T-20 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Cells were spheroplasted for 40 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The spheroplasts were sedimented ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 1,000 \mathrm{xg}$ ) and lysed in B150-TW20. The lysates were cleared by centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 16,000 \mathrm{xg}, \mathrm{RT}$ ).

Immunoprecitpitations were performed using either $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ affinity-purified $\alpha$-Arflp antibodies or affinity-purified rabbit IgGs, $10 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-Chs5p serum or pre-immune serum, 5 $\mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-HA. 11 (Eurogentec, mouse monoclonal), $5 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-Myc (9E10, Sigma, mouse monoclonal), $5 \mu 1 \alpha$-AU5 (Abcam, rabbit polyclonal) or $5 \mu 1 \alpha$-GFP (Torrey Pines, rabbit polyclonal) per 1 ml cleared lysate for 1 hr at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were washed with $\mathrm{B} 150-$ TW20 (the last wash was in B150 buffer), and resuspended in $50 \mu$ Laemmli-buffer. Aliquots were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting.
The co-immunoprecipitations probing the Arflp-Pab1p interactions were performed with $10 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-Arflp serum, $10 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-Coatomer serum or control serum per 1 ml lysate for 1 hr at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In other cases, $7 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-HA. 11 (Eurogentec, mouse monoclonal) or $5 \mu \mathrm{l} \alpha$-myc 9E10 (9E10, Sigma, mouse monoclonal) were added to the lysate. The beads were washed, and resuspended in $50 \mu$ Laemmli-buffer. Aliquots were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting.
For Bfrlp co-immunoprecipitation, the lysate was incubated with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of affinity purified anti-Arflp antibody cross-linked to $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ProteinA magnetic beads (NEB) using DMP (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The antibody-protein interaction was severed by elution with 0.2 M glycin pH 2.5 .

For RNA digestion experiments, the lysates were treated for 35 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ RNase A (Roche) or 500 U RNase-free DNase I and centrifuged (10 min, 14,000 rpm, Eppendorf 5417 R, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) before precipitation.

B150-TW20
20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 6.8
150 mM KAc
$5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$
1\% Tween-20

B150
20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 6.8
150 mM KAc
$5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$

### 2.9 Molecular biological methods

Standard techniques for nucleic acid manipulations were used throughout in this study (Sambrook et al., 1989).

### 2.9.1 Transformation of $\boldsymbol{E}$. coli

Routinely, E. coli cells were transformed chemically. Usually, $20 \mu 1$ chemically competent cells (which were available in the laboratory) were thawed on ice and mixed with $0.3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA (or $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cells with $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a ligation reaction). The cells were heat-shocked for 30 s at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and immediately placed on ice. SOC-medium ( $240 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added to the cells. The mixture was incubated on a shaker for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the cells were plated out on selection plates. In case a plasmid with ampicillin-resistance was transformed, this last incubation step was not necessary.
Alternatively, E. coli cells were transformed by electroporation (Dower et al., 1988). Fifty $\mu 1$ of electrocompetent cells (which were available in the laboratory) were thawed on ice and mixed with DNA. The mixture was pipetted in an electroporation cuvette which had been placed on ice before. The electroporation was performed with $2,500 \mathrm{~V}, 960 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ and $200 \Omega$. After the electroporation pulse, 1 ml of SOC-medium was added immediately to the cuvette. The cell suspension was incubated on a shaker at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30-60 \mathrm{~min}$ before the cells were plated out on selection plates.

### 2.9.2 Plasmid preparation from E. coli

Plasmid preparations were performed according to the alkaline lysis method described by Birnboim and Doly (1979) with minor modifications. Routinely, 1.5 ml of an overnight
culture were harvested ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Cells were resuspended in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ P1-buffer and $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ P2-buffer was added. After incubation for 5 $\min$ at RT, $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer P3 were added. The solution was cleared by centrifugation (10 min, $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The plasmid DNA in the supernatant was precipitated by adding $600 \mu 1$ isopropanol and subsequent centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 14,000$ rpm , Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The resulting pellet was washed with $1 \mathrm{ml} 70 \%$ ethanol and spun again ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The pellet was dried at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 5 min and the DNA was dissolved in $20 \mu \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In case the DNA was to be used for sequencing reactions or larger amounts were needed, the Qiagen plasmid mini kit or the Qiagen HiSpeed plasmid midi kit were used according to the supplier's recommendations.

## P1-buffer

20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0
10 mM EDTA
$100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase

P2-buffer
0.2 M NaOH
$1 \%$ SDS

## P3-buffer

3 M KAc pH 5.5

### 2.9.3 Determination of nucleic acid concentration

The concentration of nucleic acids was determined using a spectrophotometer (Beckman DU 640). The nucleic acids were diluted in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the absorption at 260 nm was determined. It was assumed that an absorption of 1.0 at 260 nm corresponds to $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ double-stranded DNA or $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ single-stranded RNA.

### 2.9.4 Restriction digest of DNA

Plasmid preperations were analyzed by restriction digest and agarose gel-electrophoresis with subsequent ethidium bromide staining. The guidelines provided the supplier's of restriction endonucleases (NEB or Roche) for enzymatic digests were followed. For purification of restriction digest for cloning purposes or gel-elution of DNA fragments, the purifications kits from Qiagen (PCR purification kit/Gel extraction kit) were used according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

### 2.9.5 Cloning of DNA

The DNA fragment for (sub-)cloning was obtained by restriction digest of plasmid DNA or by PCR amplification from genomic yeast DNA. In case of PCR amplification, suitable restriction site sequences were included into the primers. If the gene was to be placed under the control of endogenous regulatory sequences, at least 500 bp upstream the start codon were co-amplified. Purified vector DNA and purified DNA insert were ligated using the rapid ligation kit from Roche following the manufacturer's recommendations. Occasionally, 5'-dephosphorylation of the vector was necessary to prevent excessive religation. Alternatively, TOPO cloning kits (Invitrogen) were used according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Positive clones were identified by restriction digest and verified by DNA-sequencing.

### 2.9.6 Polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR)

To produce DNA fragments for DNA cloning or homologous recombination, polymerase-chain-reaction was used (Mullis et al., 1986). The proof-reading Expand High Fidelity System (Roche) was used for preparative production of DNA. A typical reaction contained $37 \mu \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} 0,5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{x}$ reaction buffer, $5 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $2 \times 1 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ oligonucleotide primer and $1 \mu$ template DNA. Usually, 35 cycles were performed for amplification. The annealing temperature was typically between $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1 \mathrm{~min} / 1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ was allowed for elongation.

### 2.9.7 Reverse-Transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)

For RT-PCR, the beads and the lysates of co-immunoprecipitation experiments were extracted as described by Gonsalvez et al. (2003). Briefly, the beads were extracted with RNA-elution buffer ( 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $1 \%$ SDS) and heating to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Subsequently, RNA was purified by Phenol/Chloroform extraction and precipitated with Pellet Paint (Merck). DNA was digested by RNase free RQ1-DNase (Promega) for 15 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of RNasin (Promega). The RNA was re-extracted, re-precipitated and re-dissolved in $50 \mu 1$ RNase-free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. RT-PCR was performed on $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots using the One-Step RT-PCR-Kit (Qiagen) for 25 or 30 cycles using primers specific for certain mRNAs and the conditions recommended by the manufacturer. $20 \mu$ l of the reactions were analyzed on $3 \%$ Metaphor agarose gels.

### 2.9.8 DNA-sequencing

DNA was sequenced by the dideoxynucleotide method (Sanger et al., 1977) using four fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleotides. The sequencing reaction contained $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ big dye terminator mix V3.1, $2 \mu 15 \mathrm{x}$ BDT-reaction buffer, $0.5 \mu 110 \mathrm{mM}$ oligonucleotideprimer and $150-300 \mathrm{ng}$ plasmid DNA or $5-20 \mathrm{ng}$ PCR-product in a final volume of 10 $\mu$. After denaturation for 20 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 28$ cycles were carried out: $20 \mathrm{~s} 96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~s} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ $\min 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until it was analyzed by capillary electrophoresis by the in house sequencing service (AG Stefan Schuster). The DNAsequence was analyzed using the Seqman II software (DNASTAR).

### 2.9.9 Gylcerol stocks

For the preparation of E. coli glycerol stocks, $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of an overnight culture were mixed with $500 \mu 150 \%$ glycerol and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the preparation of yeast glycerol stocks, yeast cells streaked out as rectangle were grown on appropriate plates. The plates were incubated until a dense lawn of cells was obtained. The cells were transferred with a sterile glass pipette into $800 \mu \mathrm{l} 15 \%$ glycerol and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.9.10 Chromosomal manipulations of yeast cells

To delete or manipulate genes in yeast cells, the methods described by Guldener et al. (1996), Knop et al. (1999), De Antoni and Gallwitz (2000), and Gueldener et al. (2002) were followed. Briefly, preparative PCR was performed on template plasmids with primers having 45 bp 5 '-overhangs homologous to the desired target site in the yeast genome. The PCR-product was transformed directly into yeast cells without further purification. Cells were selected for with the corresponding auxotrophy/resistance markers, correct integrations were confirmed by analytical colony-PCR. Wherever possible, the expression was checked by immunoblotting of total yeast lysates. Occasionally, the chromosomal manipulation had to be sequenced. In this case, the PCR product using the proof-reading Expand PCR system (Roche) on genomic yeast DNA was sequenced to confirm the desired manipulation.

### 2.9.11 Preparation of genomic yeast DNA

Crude yeast DNA was obtained by following the procedure of Hoffman and Winston (1987): Five ml of yeast culture were grown to saturation. The cells were harvested and resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer A. Approximately $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ glass beads and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$
phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol 25:24:1 were added. After vigorous vortexing for 5 $\mathrm{min}, 200 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added. Phase separation was accomplished by centrifugation ( 5 min , $20,000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh reaction tube and $1 \mathrm{ml} 100 \%$ EtOH (RT) was added. The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation for 2 min $(20,000 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting DNA pellet was dried at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 5 min and resuspended in $40 \mu \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Buffer A:<br>10 mM Tris/ HCl pH 8.0<br>100 mM NaCl<br>1 mM EDTA<br>2\% Triton X-100<br>$1 \%$ SDS

### 2.9.12 Yeast transformation

Yeast cells were transformed by a high-efficiency lithium acetate transformation method (Gietz et al., 1995). Cells were grown overnight in liquid culture to logarithmic phase. Best results were obtained with an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.1. $5 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of cells were harvested and washed once in sterile water. The cells were incubated for 5 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 100 mMLiAc . Subsequently, they were resuspended in $360 \mu$ l transformation mix and mixed thoroughly for 1 min . A heat-shock was employed for 40 min at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which the cells were pelleted ( $10 \mathrm{~s}, 13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5417 \mathrm{R}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml sterile water and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots were plated on appropriate selection plates. Colonies obtained were subjected to a second round of selection.
In case a kanamycin resistance cassette was transformed, cells were first incubated in YPD for 3 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before plating on YPD-G418 plates. Fast growing colonies were chosen for the second round of selection. Sometimes, replica plating was necessary to allow for the selection of stable transformants.

## Transformation mix

$240 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \%$ (w/v) PEG (AMW 3.350)
$36 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{M}$ LiAc
$50 \mu 12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ single-stranded salmon sperm DNA
(obtained by heating for 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and fast cooling in an ice/water bath)
$5 \mu$ l of PCR product or $0.25 \mu 1$ plasmid DNA
ad $360 \mu$ l with $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$

### 2.9.13 Analytical PCR of yeast colonies

Analytical PCR of yeast colonies was performed to confirm chromosomal manipulations of yeast cells or to determine the mating type after mating or sporulation. The primers were chosen in a way that in either case a PCR product was obtained and that after agarose gel electrophoresis the altered size of the product (as compared to a wild-type colony) confirmed the chromosomal manipulation. A typical reaction contained $18.5 \mu_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10x reaction buffer, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $2 \mathrm{x} 0.5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligonucleotide-primer, $0.3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Taq DNA-polymerase (Roche) and a small portion of a yeast colony. Usually, the annealing temperature was between $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the elongation time was between 3 $\min$ and 6 min and $35-40$ cylces were used for amplification. Routinely, $20 \mu 1$ of the reaction were analyzed by agarose gel-electrophoresis. For mating type PCRs (Huxley et al., 1990), $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the reaction were resolved on a $1.2 \%$ agarose gel.

### 2.9.14 Two-hybrid assay

The two-hybrid assay was performed with the LexA two-hybrid system (Estojak et al., 1995; Golemis and Khazak, 1997). The yeast reporter strain EGY48 as well as the bait plasmid pEG202, the prey plasmid pJG4-5 and the reporter plasmid pSH18-34 (Golemis et al., 1996) were used. Bait vector with $\triangle N 17-A R F 1-Q 71 L$ and prey vector with GLO3 as a positive control were obtained from Rainer Duden (Eugster et al., 2000).
PAB1 and PUB1 were amplified from genomic yeast DNA by PCR carrying restriction sites for EcoRI and XhoI and cloned into pJG4-5. Constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.
The constructs were co-transformed into the EGY48 reporter strain. Transformants were selected on HC-HIS/-TRP/-URA plates. Four independent transformants were assessed for growth on HC-HIS/-TRP/-URA/-LEU plates containing $2 \%$ galactose and $1 \%$ raffinose. The strains were incubated for 4 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.9.15 Digoxigenin-labelling of an ASH1-antisense-RNA-probe

A PCR was perfomed on genomic DNA with primers MT-A31 and MT-A32. The PCRproduct was purified and an in vitro-transcription using Ambion MEGAscript T7 kit was performed. A ratio of 1:5.6 of Dig-11-UTP:UTP was used. The concentration was determined by absorption at 260 nm . The integrity of the probe was checked by conventional agarose gel-electrophoresis. The probe was divided in $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ aliquots (in DEPC-treated TE), immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.9.16 Northern Blot analysis

Cells were grown overnight to logarithmic phase. Where necessary, cells were shifted for 1 hour to the non-permissive temperature. Cells were harvested, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further analysis. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit from Qiagen using the glass-bead lysis protocol provided by the manufacturer. RNA was precipitated using pellet paint co-precipitant (Merck) and resuspended in a smaller volume of RNA-buffer. The RNA was resolved on low-formaldehyde $1.2 \%$ agarose gels. To cast the gels, 0.6 g agarose were boiled in $43.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After cooling to about $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{ml} 10 \mathrm{x}$ MOPS and $1.5 \mathrm{ml} 37 \%$ formaldehyde ( $\mathrm{pH}>4$ ) were added in the fume-hood. Equal amounts of RNA ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per lane) in up to $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were mixed with $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNA-sample buffer and heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The samples were placed on ice and immediately $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were loaded per lane. The electrophoresis was performed in 1x MOPS at 50 V . After electrophoresis, the gel was washed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min})$ and finally for 15 min in 10x SSC. The RNA was transfered overnight in 10x SSC onto Hybond N+ membrane by capillary blotting as described previously (Sambrook et al., 1989). The RNA was crosslinked to the damp membrane using the Stratalinker 1800 UV Crosslinker in the autocrosslink mode. The membrane was stained with methylene blue stain, washed in water and photographed for documentation. To prepare Northern blot probes, the AlkPhos direct labeling kit (Amersham Bioscience) was used according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, a heat-stable alkaline phosphatase was directly crosslinked to a heat-denatured PCR-product. After hybridization at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the alkaline phosphatase activity was detected using CDP-Star substrate (Amersham Bioscience) and the chemoluminescence was reported on ECL Hyperfilm.

RNA-buffer
10 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.4
1 mM EDTA
$0.2 \%$ SDS
RNA-loading buffer
1 mM EDTA/NaOH pH 8.0
$0.25 \%$ bromphenol blue
$0.25 \%$ xylene cyanol
50\% glycerol
$10 \times$ SSC
150 mM Na-citrate/ NaOH pH 7.0
1.5 M NaCl

10x MOPS
230 mM MOPS/ NaOH pH 7.0
50 mM NaAC
10 mM EDTA
RNA-sample buffer
$5 / 3 \mu 110 x$ MOPS
$3 \mu 137 \%$ formaldehyde
$7 \mu \mathrm{l}$ formamide
$10 / 3 \mu 1$ RNA-loading buffer
Methylene blue stain
$0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaAc} / \mathrm{HAc} \mathrm{pH} 5.5$
$0.04 \%$ methylene blue

For all solutions, DEPC-treated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used and recommendations for working with RNA were followed (Sambrook et al., 1989).

### 2.10 Cell biological methods

### 2.10.1 Actin cytoskeleton staining

Actin cytoskeleton staining was performed essentially as described previously (Adams and Pringle, 1991). Cells were grown overnight to logarithmic phase and fixed directly in liquid medium by addition of $37 \%$ formaldehyde to a final concentration of $4.4 \%$ and incubation for 30 min at RT under gentle agitation. The cells were washed twice with PBS containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 3,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5810 \mathrm{R} ; 30 \mathrm{~s}, 13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf 5417 R). The cell pellet was resuspended in $25 \mu \mathrm{PBS}$ containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA and $5 \mu 1$ of rhodamine-phalloidin were added. After incubation for 1 h at RT in the dark, cells were washed three times and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{PBS}$ containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA. An aliquot was allowed to settle for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$ on polyethylenimine-treated multiwell slides. The slides were washed briefly in PBS, Citifluor was added and the coverslips were sealed with nail-polish. Slides were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The rhodamine fluorescence was observed by epi-fluorescence microscopy using the Cy3 channel on an Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss. Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

### 2.10.2 Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy was performed essentially as described previously (Pringle et al., 1991). Cells were grown overnight to logarithmic phase and
fixed directly in the growth medium by adding $2 \mathrm{ml} 37 \%$ formaldehyde to 18 ml culture and incubation for 1 h at RT under gentle agitation. The cells were washed twice with PBS ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 3,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf 5810 R; $30 \mathrm{~s}, 13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf 5417 R). The cell pellet was resuspended with $1 \mathrm{ml} 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KP} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 7.0,1.2 \mathrm{M}$ sorbitol and $2 \mu \mathrm{l} \beta$ mercaptoethanol were added. After incubation for 5 min at RT, the cells were spheroplasted by addition of $10 \mu 1$ zymolyase T-100 suspension ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and incubation for 15 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were washed twice with $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KP}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 7.0,1.2 \mathrm{M}$ sorbitol and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the same buffer. An aliquot was allowed to settle for $15-30$ min on polyethylenimine-treated multi-well slides. The slides were briefly washed in PBS ( 5 min ). Blocking was carried out by incubation for 30 min in $1 \%$ BSA in PBS or in $5 \%$ horse serum in 100 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$. The primary antibody was diluted in the same solution. After incubation with the primary antibody in a wet chamber for 1 h at RT or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the slides were washed with PBS ( $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \times 5$ min ). The secondary antibody was incubated for 1 h at RT in the dark in a wet chamber, after which the slides were washed again with PBS. Sometimes, DAPI staining was performed at this stage ( 5 min in $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ DAPI). The slides were briefly washed in water, Citifluor was added and slides were sealed with nail-polish. Slides were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Epi-fluorecence microscopy was performed on an Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss. Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

### 2.10.3 Fluorescence microscopy of GFP fusion proteins in living cells

Cells were grown overnight to logarithmic phase in the appropriate selection medium. YPD-medium contained $50 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ adenine to suppress cellular autofluorescence. An aliquot was taken from liquid culture (when necessary briefly washed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and visualized directly under an Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss using the FITC-filter. Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0.

### 2.10.4 In vivo RNA-localization assay

For the visualization of ASH1-RNA localization by GFP particles, the reporter system by Bertrand et al. (1998), was used. Cells were transformed with the plasmids pG14-MS2GFP and YEP195-Lz-MS2-ASH1-3'UTR and grown overnight in HC-Leu/-Ura with 2\% glucose. They were washed and diluted in HC-Leu/-Ura with $2 \%$ raffinose and 3\%
galactose and grown for 4 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon which the cultures were divided and one half was shifted for 3 h to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were immobilized on slides and inspected under the fluorescence microscope (Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss using the FITCfilter). Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0. For the evaluation, only those cells were taken into account which had a clearly visible green spot corresponding to the ASH1GFP reporter particle. At least 100 cells per experiment were counted.

### 2.10.5 Mating of yeast cells

Liquid YPD medium was inoculated with a small amount of cells of both mating types. After incubation for $12-24 \mathrm{~h}$, cells were plated out on appropriate selection plates. In cases in which selection was not possible, cells were singled out and the mating type was determined by analytical colony PCR (Huxley et al., 1990). Quantitative mating assays to measure mating efficiency were carried out as described previously (Santos et al., 1997).

### 2.10.6 Mating type switching

Mating type switching of yeast cells was performed as described previously (Davis, 1997). Cells were transformed with the plasmid pCY204 bearing the HO-endonuclease under its endogenous promoter. The plasmid was subsequently counter-selected for by growth on 5FOA plates. The mating type of colonies obtained was determined by analytical colonyPCR (Huxley et al., 1990).

### 2.10.7 Sporulation of yeast cells

Cells from a stationary liquid culture were harvested and plated on Spo- and Super Spoplates. The plates were incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-10$ days. The sporulation was monitored by examination of a small amount of cells under the light microscope.

### 2.10.8 Tetrade dissection

A small amount of cells was scraped from a plate with a high sporulation efficiency and resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The spore wall was digested by addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ zymolyase T-20 suspension ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for $2-5 \mathrm{~min}$ at RT. Samples were taken during that time and streaked on a YPD plate. The tetrads were dissected using a dissecting scope as described by Sherman and Hicks (1991). The YPD plate was incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until all
colonies reached a size sufficient for replica plating. The genotype was assessed by replica plating on different selection plates and analytical mating type PCR (Huxley et al., 1990).

### 2.10.9 In situ hybridization of ASH1-mRNA

In situ ASH1-RNA hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled ASH1 antisense probe was performed essentially as described previously (Takizawa et al., 1997). Cells were grown overnight to logarithmic phase. When necessary, cells were shifted for 1 hour to the nonpermissive temperature. Cells were directly fixed in liquid medium by adding $2 \mathrm{ml} 37 \%$ formaldehyde to 18 ml culture and incubation for 1 h at RT under gentle agitation. The cells were washed twice in PBS ( $2 \mathrm{~min}, 3,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf $5810 \mathrm{R} ; 30 \mathrm{~s}, 13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$, Eppendorf 5417 R ) and resuspended in $1 \mathrm{ml} 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KP} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 7.0$, 1.2 M sorbitol. $\beta$ mercaptoethanol $(2 \mu \mathrm{l})$ was added. After incubation for 5 min at RT, the cells were spheroplasted by addition of $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of zymolyase T-100 suspension ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 20 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were washed twice with $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH} 7.0,1.2 \mathrm{M}$ sorbitol and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the same buffer. An aliquot was allowed to settle for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$ on polyethylenimine-treated multi-well slides. The slides were washed twice with $50 \%$ formamide, 5 x SSC for 5 min . Slides were put in a chamber wetted with $50 \%$ formamide, 5x SSC. The cells were incubated with hybridization mix without probe for 1 h at RT in the chamber for pre-hybridization. The hybridization mix was replaced by digoxigeninlabelled ASH1-mRNA antisense probe ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in hybridization mix) and the slides were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Slides were rinsed with 0.2 x SSC and incubated with 0.2 x SSC for 1 h at RT in a humid chamber. Blocking solution was applied for 30 min at RT. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ab}}$-fragments of antibodies directed against digoxigenin and covalently coupled to alkaline phosphatase were diluted 1:5.000 in blocking solution and applied to the cells for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slides were rinsed with washing buffer, washed $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$ in washing buffer, and washed $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}$ in detection buffer. The HNPP/Fast Red TR mix (Roche) was applied for 30 min at RT after which slides were washed with water ( $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{min})$. Citifluor was pipetted onto the slides and they were sealed by nail polish. The slides were stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Cy3-filter of the Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss was used. Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0. At least 100 cells were counted for each condition.

Hybridization mix
$50 \%$ formamide
5x SSC
$1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast tRNA
$100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ heparin
1x Denhardt's reagent
0.1\% Tween-20
$0.1 \%$ Triton X-100
5 mM EDTA
Washing buffer
100 mM Tris/ $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5$
150 mM NaCl
$0.05 \%$ Tween-20
Fast Red TR solution
$25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
prepared freshly

Blocking solution
$5 \%$ horse serum
100 mM Tris/ $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5$
150 mM NaCl

Detection buffer<br>100 mM Tris/ $/ \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0$<br>100 mM NaCl<br>10 mM MgCl 2

HNPP/Fast Red TR mix $10 \mu 1$ HNPP ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in DMF) $10 \mu 1$ Fast Red TR solution 1 ml detection buffer prepared freshly
filtered through $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ nylon membrane

### 2.10.10 Analysis of budding pattern and staining of cell wall chitin

Analysis of the budding pattern and staining of cell wall chitin was carried out as described previously (Lord et al., 2002). A stationary yeast liquid culture was diluted and grown for at least 16 h to logarithmic phase. Cells were fixed directly in growth medium by adding 2 $\mathrm{ml} 37 \%$ formaldehyde to 18 ml culture and incubation for 1 h at RT under gentle agitation. Cells were washed twice in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, resuspended in $250 \mu 11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ calcofluor white solution and incubated for 5 min at RT. The cells were washed twice with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and resuspended in $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (up to several months). For analysis of budding pattern, a small aliquot was squashed between slide and coverslip as to bring the bud scars of one cell into one focal plane and to facilitate microscopy. Cells were observed using DAPI filter under the epi-fluorescence microscope (Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope from Zeiss. Pictures were taken by Axiocam MRm using Axiovision software. Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0). Only cells were scored with no more than 4 bud scars.

### 2.10.11 Drop assays

Strains were grown overnight to logarithmic phase. After adjusting to equal cell concentrations, four serial dilutions (1:10) were dropped onto different plates using a
"frogger" stamp (custom-built by the Max Planck workshop). The plates were incubated for two days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless indicated otherwise and photographed for documentation.

## 3 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Differential affinity chromatography to idententify new interactors of Arf1p

To identify new interacting proteins for Arflp, we performed affinity chromatography with dominant active ( $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{Arf1Q} 71 \mathrm{Lp}$ ) and dominant inactive ( $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{Arf1T31Np}$ ) forms of Arflp (Kahn et al., 1995; Christoforidis et al., 1999). We chose an N-terminal truncated form of Arflp for our experiments because the N -terminus contains a myristoylation site and forms an amphipathic helix. The replacement of this region of the proteins by a $\operatorname{His}_{6}{ }^{-}$ tag should minimize non-specific interactions on the affinity column, and it greatly facilitated the purification (Rein et al., 2002). Equal amounts of $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-A r f 1 \mathrm{Q} 71 \mathrm{Lp}$ and $\Delta$ N17-Arf1T31Np were covalently coupled to NHS-agarose (Fig. 8A). Guanine nucleotide exchange reactions were performed on the immobilized proteins in order to ensure that Arf1Q71Lp and Arf1T31Np were bound to GTP and GDP, respectively. Cytosolic extracts from a wild-type yeast strain were passed over the columns. For the elution, the Arf1Q71Lp column was incubated with an excess of GDP and the Arf1T31Np column with an excess of GTP. Although the restricted mutants are predominantly in either the GTP- or the GDP-bound form, an excess of the other nucleotide was able to elicit a conformational change under conditions that favor spontaneous guanine nucleotide exchange. In this way, proteins were released that bound specifically to the active or inactive form of Arflp. An NHS-agarose column, which had been mock treated, served as a negative control.
We validated our approach with immunoblots of eluates from the different columns and antibodies directed against known interactors of Arflp e.g. coatomer, Arflp-GEFs and the Arflp-GAP Glo3p. These proteins behaved as predicted by their biological function (Fig. 8B). Coatomer is the heptameric protein complex that forms together with Arflp the COPI coat. This coat is involved in vesicular transport within the Golgi and from the Golgi to the ER.
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Figure 8: Differential affinity chromatography. Yeast cytosol was incubated with either the Arf1-Q71Lp (Arflp-GTP) or Arfl-T31Np (Arflp-GDP) column. After washing, spontaneous nucleotide exchange was elicited resulting in a conformational change on Arflp and the release of conformation-specific bound proteins. (A) Schematic drawing of the procedure. (B) The eluates (E1-E3) from a differential Arflp affinity chromatography experiment were analyzed by immunoblot. FT is the flow-through of unbound protein after incubation of the cytosol with the Arflp matrix. Beads without Arflp were mock-treated and served as negative control. The Arflp-GEF Gea2p is enriched in the Arflp-GDP column, whereas both the coatomer complex (three subunits are shown) and the Arflp-GAP Glo3p bind predominately to Arflp-GTP.

Eluted proteins were precipitated with trichloracetic acid, then separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue (Fig. 9A). Multiple proteins were eluted from both Arflp columns. While some proteins appeared in eluates from both Arflp-columns, a few proteins were at least predominantly present in fractions from only one of the columns. Bands present in the eluates from only one column were excised and analyzed by mass
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Figure 9: Identification of new interactors of Arflp (A) The eluates from a differential affinity chromatography experiment were TCA precipitated and separated on an SDS gel. Bands that were enriched in eluates from either the Arflp-GDP or Arflp-GTP column were excised and subjected to mass spectrometric analysis. (B) Proteins identified by mass spectrometry.
spectrometry. The in-gel digestions were carried out by Jörn Dengjel. Mass spectrometric analysis of the tryptic digests were performed by Jörn Dengjel and Markus Schirle. Both are present and past members of the AG Rammensee, Interfakultäres Institut für Zellbiologie, Abteilung Immunologie, University of Tübingen.
The results of the analysis are summarized in Figure 9B. Six of the analyzed bands corresponded to known Arflp binding proteins: subunits of the coatomer complex, the ARF-GEFs Gea2p and Sec7p as well as the ARF-GAP Gcs1p. Similar results were obtained using columns with immobilized $\Delta$ N17-Arflp bound to GTP or GDP. Surprisingly, Gcs1p was found in the eluate from the GDP column. However, ARF-GAPs have been shown to fulfill an additional function on recruitment of SNAREs and cargo to
sites of vesicle emergence (Rein et al., 2002). This recruitment does not necessarily require the activated state of Arflp.

Four interactors have not been decribed in conjunction with Arflp before, namely Pablp, Chs5p and the protein products of the unknown ORFs YMR237w and YHR112c. Although it was possible to confirm the interaction of Yhr112p with Arflp by coimmunoprecipitation (Robert Gauss, unpublished data), the significance of this interaction remained obscure. Yhr112p is homologous to Cys3p, a cystathion- $\gamma$-lyase. Apart from the role of Cys3p in metabolism, a certain role in vesicular transport has been indicated (Matiach and Schroder-Kohne, 2001). The role of Yhr112p has not been analyzed further in this study.

As it turned out during subsequent analyis, Pablp provided an unexpected link between vesicular traffic and mRNA transport. This is described in Chapter 3.2. Ymr237p belongs to a family of highly homologous proteins in yeast. This family acts in close conjunction with Chs5p in Arflp-dependent post-Golgi vesicular traffic. The genetic characterization of this family is described in Chapter 3.3, whereas the biochemical analysis follows in Chapter 3.4.

### 3.2 Arf1p provides an unexpected link between vesicular traffic and mRNA transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

### 3.2.1 Results

### 3.2.1.1 Pablp binds to a $\Delta$ N17-Arf1Q71Lp affinity matrix

One of the new bands that we identified by mass spectrometry corresponded to Pablp (Fig. 9A, band 6). Pablp is one of two major polyA-tail binding proteins in yeast. It is localized in the cytoplasm as well as in the nucleus and it is part of the 3 '-end RNA processing complex (cleavage factor I). Four RNA recognition domains (RRM) mediate the interaction with the polyA-tail of the mRNA. To confirm the presence of Pablp, we tested eluates from the affinity columns by immunoblot. Pablp did not bind to the column material, but was detected in fractions from the $\Delta$ N17-Arf1Q71Lp column (Fig. 10A). A small amount of Pab1p was also present in eluates from the $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{Arf1T31Np}$ column. However, Pablp showed a higher affinity towards the activated form of Arflp, since the signal from the Arf1T31Np fractions trailed off quickly, while it persisted throughout the eluates from the Arf1Q71Lp matrix. This was consistent with the signal that we observed


Figure 10: Pab1p binds preferentially to 0 N17Arf1-Q71Lp. (A) Fractions from the columns were blotted and incubated with antibodies against Pab1p. Pab1p is highly enriched in eluates from the Arflp-Q71L column. (B) The flow-throughs from the Arfl-Q71Lp column is depleted of Pab1p.The starting cytosol as well as the flow-through from the 3 columns was analyzed for the presence of Pablp by immunoblot. Pablp was less abundant in the flow-through of the Arflp-GTP column compared to the mock-treated and the Arflp-GDP column.
for coatomer. If the Pab1p-Arf1Q71Lp interaction represents a high affinity contact, one would expect that the cytosol loaded on the column would be at least partially depleted of Pablp. Therefore, the flow-throughs from different columns were analyzed by immunoblot. While the signal from the control and the $\Delta \mathrm{N} 17-\mathrm{Arf1T31Np}$ columns did not alter significantly, the cytosol that had passed through the $\Delta$ N17-Arf1Q71Lp matrix contained substantially less Pablp than the starting material (Fig. 10B).

### 3.2.1.2 $\Delta N 17-A r f 1 Q 71 L p$ interacts with Pablp but not Publp

Pablp is known to bind nonspecifically to many yeast proteins (Gavin et al., 2002). Therefore, to validate the interaction between Arflp and Pab1p, we performed a twohybrid assay.

Table 15: The activated form of $\triangle N 17-A R F 1$ interacts with PAB1 but not with PUB1. The growth cells on plates lacking leucine is indicated. GLO3 served as positive, the empty vectors as negative controls. n. d. $=$ not determined


We used $\triangle N 17-A R F 1 Q 71 L$ as the bait and PAB1, PUB1, and GLO3 as preys. Publp is the other major polyA-binding protein in the cell and, like Pab1p, localizes to the cytoplasm and the nucleus. We used growth on -LEU plates as the indicator for interactions. While PAB1 and $\triangle$ N17-ARF1Q71L showed an interaction in the two-hybrid assay, no growth on -LEU plates could be detected in strains carrying PUB1 in conjunction with $\triangle N 17$ ARF1Q71L. GLO3 served as a positive and the empty vector as a negative control, respectively (Table 15). Eugster et al. (2000) have shown that GLO3 specifically interacts with the active form of $\triangle N 17-A R F 1$ but neither with the wild type nor the inactive mutant.


Figure 11: $A R F 1$ but not $A R F 2$ interacts genetically with PAB1. (A) $\triangle a r f 1$ or $\triangle a r f 2$ haploid strains were crossed with $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s p b 8$ strains. The resulting diploid strains were sporulated and tetrads dissected. The spores were grown for 2 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (B) $A R F 1$ and $P A B 1$ were chromosomally appended with either YFP or CFP. The resulting strains were grown to early $\log$ phase, and serial dilutions were spotted on YPD plates. The plates were incubated at the indicated temperatures.

Pablp is essential for cell viability. However, a suppressor mutant has been identified, $\Delta s p b 8$, which allows for survival in the absence of functional Pablp (Boeck et al., 1998). ARF1 is not essential, because its homolog ARF2, which is $96 \%$ identical, can at least partially substitute for ARF1. Yet, Arflp and Arf2p are not fully redundant (Spang et al., 2001). If Arflp and Pab1p cooperate in an essential pathway, one would predict that a double mutant should show at least an enhanced phenotype compared to the single mutants. Thus, we crossed a $\Delta a r f 1$ strain with a $\Delta p a b l \Delta s p b 8$ strain (Fig. 11A). While the $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s p b 8$ mutant had a somewhat reduced growth rate compared to wild type, the $\Delta a r f 1 \Delta p a b 1 \Delta s p b 8$ spores were very sick and hardly grew at any temperature. In contrast, $\Delta a r f 2$ did not show the same phenotype with $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s p b 8$, most likely because Arf2p is much less abundant in the cell than Arflp and Arflp is still present in $\Delta a r f 2$ strains. In addition, we constructed a strain containing chromosomally tagged Arf1p-YFP and Pab1pCFP, which was cold-sensitive (Fig. 11B). The singly tagged strains do not show this phenotype. Therefore, the cold-sensitivity provides additional evidence for the specific interaction between Arflp and Pablp in vivo. Taken together, these results are consistent with an interaction of Arflp and Pablp in vivo.

### 3.2.1.3 Pablp and Arflp form a complex in vivo

As a further test of binding specificity, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments. First, we appended chromosomally Arflp and Pablp with an HA-tag and a myc-tag, respectively. The resulting strain, YAS238, did not show an altered phenotype when compared to the isogenic wild type (data not shown). Lysates of strain YAS238 were incubated with anti-HA or anti-myc antibodies and Protein G-sepharose. The immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblot with antibodies against coatomer, the HA- and the myc-tag (Fig. 12A and B). As expected, Pablp-myc was co-precipitated with Arflp-HA. This precipitation was dependent on the presence of Arflp-HA. A similar result was obtained when the Pablp-myc was precipitated: Arflp-HA co-sedimented only in the presence of Pablp-myc (Fig. 12B) Unexpectedly, coatomer was also precipitated with Pablp-myc. Since coatomer seemed also to be part of an Arflp-Pablp complex, we repeated the immunoprecipitation with wild type lysate and anti-coatomer antibodies. Indeed, Pab1p was found in the immunoprecipitate but not in the control (data not shown). Moreover, Pablp
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Figure 12: Arflp and Pablp are present in a ribonucleotide particle. (A) and (B) Pab1p and Arflp coimmunoprecipitate. Pablp and Arflp were chromosomally appended with either a myc- or HA-tag. Yeast lysates were prepared from single or double tagged strains and subjected to immunoprecipitation with antimyc or anti-HA antibodies. The precipitates were analyzed by immunoblot. Lanes 1 and 2 correspond to 1.7 \% of the lysate. (C) Pablp-Arflp interaction depends on mRNA. Yeast lysate from a wild-type strain was incubated with RNAse A, DNAse I or mock treated. After the treatment an immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-Arflp serum or a control serum and ProteinA-sepharose. The precipitated proteins were detected by immunoblot. In lane $1,1.7 \%$ of the lysate was loaded. (D) ASH1 mRNA is part of the PablpArflp ribonucleotide particle even in the absence of the SHE machinery. A co-immunoprecipitation experiment was performed with affinity-purified anti-Arflp antibodies. RNA was prepared from the precipitate and subjected to RT-PCR with primer specific for the indicated mRNAs. -RT indicates reactions in the absence of reverse transcriptase. In lanes 1 and $2,1.7 \%$ of the lysate was loaded.
failed to co-immunoprecipitate when antibodies against Sar1p or Sec23p were used (data not shown). Sec23p is the GTPase activating protein of Sarlp, and both are essential for the formation of COPII coated vesicles from the ER. Thus, Pablp does not associate unspecifically with vesicle coat proteins. Taken together, these results provide further evidence for the existence of an in vivo Pablp-Arflp interaction and that coatomer is part of this complex.

### 3.2.1.4 Pablp is associated with Golgi derived COPI-vesicles

The results presented thus far support an in vivo interaction of coatomer, Arflp and Pablp. Such an interaction could take place on the Golgi or on COPI vesicles. Pablp is evenly distributed throughout the cytoplasm (Anderson et al., 1993), making it difficult to assess the place of interaction in vivo. Therefore, we employed an in vitro Golgi budding assay, in which COPI-coated vesicles are formed from Golgi membranes (Spang and Schekman, 1998). Enriched Golgi membranes that were devoid of ER were incubated in the presence of coatomer, Arflp and guanine nucleotide. The generated COPI vesicles were separated from the Golgi membranes by velocity centrifugation. The vesicle peak was collected and re-fractionated based on coated membrane buoyant density. Using this purification scheme, vesicles are highly enriched, because contaminating particles would have to show the same behavior on a sedimentation gradient as well as sharing the same buoyant density, which is very unlikely. When GTP $\gamma$ S was used to generate the COPI coated vesicles, the vesicles peaked in fractions 5 and 6 as judged by the presence of the integral membrane cargo Emp47p and the v-SNARE Bos1p (Fig. 13, GTP $\gamma$ S). Pablp peaked in the same fractions. A strong signal of Pablp was also observed in the load. This was not surprising since we expected Pablp to travel piggyback on the COPI vesicles. Thus, some protein if not most was lost during the flotation process. We did not detect any Sec61p, the translocon at the ER, or Pgk1p, a very abundant cytosolic protein in the vesicle fraction (data not shown). The peak changed in appearance when GTP was used instead of GTP $\gamma$ S. In this case, the coat partially disassembled due to GTP hydrolysis, which also resulted in a partial loss of the Pablp signal in the vesicle fraction. Pablp was not recovered in the vesicle peak in the absence of coatomer and Arflp (data not shown). Thus, Pablp is associated with COPI vesicles generated from Golgi membranes, and this association is lost after the disassembly of the COPI coat.


Figure 13: Pablp association with COPI coated vesicles is dependent on mRNA. COPI vesicles were generated from Golgi membranes in the presence of GTP $\gamma$ S and COPI components. In one experiment RNase $(200 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ was added to the budding reaction. The vesicles were purified over a velocity gradient, and subsequently floated on a Nycodenz gradient. Fractions were collected from the top, separated by SDSPAGE, and analyzed by immunoblot. The arrows indicate the direction of movement of lipid particles within the gradient. Non-membrane associated proteins remain in the load at the bottom of the gradient. The vesicles peak in fractions 5 and 6.

### 3.2.1.5 The Pablp-Arflp complex is dependent on the presence of $m R N A$

Pablp is the major mRNA-binding protein of the cell. Therefore, we wanted to test, if RNA is present in the Pab1p-Arflp complex and if RNA is required for the stability of the complex. To address these questions, we performed an immunoprecipitation with antiArflp antibodies and digested the lysate with either RNase A or DNase I (Fig. 12C). Pab1p was co-immunoprecipitated with anti-Arflp antibodies, and did not bind to non-related IgGs (Fig. 12C). Interestingly, the interaction of Arflp and Pablp was severed upon addition of RNase A. DNA digestion did not have any influence on the stability of the Pablp-Arflp complex. Furthermore, the addition of an excess of purified RNA or DNA did not have any impact on the Pablp-Arflp interaction, indicating that binding is not mediated by a nonspecific association of protein and RNA. Thus, Arflp seems to be part of a ribonucleotide particle. Next, we wondered if Arflp might be part of special 3'-end RNA processing complexes. Therefore, we performed RT-PCRs on anti-Arflp immunoprecipitates (Fig. 12D). We tested eight mRNAs that differ in their abundance and that are either symmetrically or asymmetrically localized. In S. cerevisiae, at least two asymmetrically localized mRNAs have been identified: ASH1 and IST2. They are transported into the bud along actin cables via the SHE machinery and anchored at the bud tip of a growing cell (Long et al., 1997; Munchow et al., 1999; Takizawa et al., 2000) (Fig. 14). The motor protein Myo4p forms a complex with the RNA binding protein She2p via

She3p (Bohl et al., 2000; Long et al., 2000; Takizawa and Vale, 2000). This complex delivers the mRNA to the bud tip where it is anchored.


Figure 14: Model for restriction of mating type switching to mother cells in S. cerevisiae. ASH1 mRNA is transported by the SHE machinery (including the myosin Myo4p/Shelp) along acting cables to the distal bud tip of the daughter cell. Little is known about the anchoring of ASH1 mRNA at this site. Local translation of ASH1 mRNA occurs resulting in an asymmtric Ash1p distribution. Ash1p is a transcriptional repressor of the HO-endonuclease, which is responsible for mating type switching. Thus, even in S. cerevisiae, mother and daughter cells have different cell fates. The mother is able to switch its mating type whereas the daughter cannot (adapted from Lodish et al., 2003).

As shown before, Pablp specifically precipitated with affinity purified anti-Arflp antibodies and not with control IgGs (Fig. 12D). Using this precipitate as template for the RT-PCR for different mRNAs resulted in a positive signal for all tested mRNAs. These signals were specific since no product was detected in the lanes where a precipitate with control IgGs had been used at 25 cycles. Increasing the cycle number to 30 resulted in a signal also in the control for most of the mRNAs except for ASH1. This result indicates that the Arflp-Pablp particles contain a variety of different mRNAs.
Since we also detected the asymmetrically localized ASH1 and IST2 mRNAs in ArflpPablp ribonucleotide particles, we asked, whether interfering with the SHE machinery would also affect the association of the asymmetrically localized ASH1 mRNA with the COPI vesicles. Therefore, we repeated the Arflp immunoprecipitation in strains where either SHE1/MYO4 or SHE3 had been deleted. As observed in the wild type, Pab1p co-
sedimented with Arflp (Fig. 12D, compare lane 4 with lanes 6 and 7). Furthermore, the precipitated mRNA contained ASH1 mRNA. Therefore, the association of the asymmetrically localized mRNA ASH1 with the Arflp-Pab1p complex is independent of its transport by the SHE machinery.

We have established that Pablp is present on COPI vesicles. Furthermore, ASH1 mRNA was detected on the COPI vesicle fraction of a Golgi budding experiment (data not shown). Yet, does this interaction of Pablp and Arflp depend on the presence of mRNA? To address this question, we again performed a Golgi budding experiment (Fig. 13). This time, however, we added RNase during COPI vesicle generation. Treatment of the Golgi membranes with RNase did not affect vesicle generation but the association of Pab1p with the COPI vesicles was completely lost. Therefore, the attachment of Pab1p with Golgiderived COPI vesicles requires the presence of mRNA.

### 3.2.1.6 Asymmetric mRNA localization is disturbed in arf1 mutants

The Arflp-Pablp ribonucleotide particles contain asymmetrically and symmetrically localized mRNA. Thus, COPI vesicles are likely to contain a wide repertoire of mRNAs. These COPI vesicles are required for intra-Golgi as well as Golgi to ER retrograde transport. Because COPI vesicles are transport carrier, it seemed reasonable to look for a link to mRNA transport. One possible role for the mRNA association with the vesicles could be to bring the mRNA to the ER. The ER is a reticulate structure distributed throughout the cell and we found it hard to study the transport of symmetrically localized mRNAs. Therefore, we investigated an asymmetrically distributed mRNA, ASH1, that was also found on COPI vesicles. First, we tested whether deletion of ARF1 interferes with the localization of ASH1 mRNA using a GFP-based mRNA-localization assay (Bertrand et al., 1998). GFP is fused to sequences encoding the viral MS2 coat protein, while an ASH1 mRNA reporter construct contains MS2 binding sites. A nuclear localization signal on the GFP containing plasmid restricts GFP to the nucleus. Only after interaction of the MS2 coat protein with MS2 binding sites can GFP exit the nucleus with ASH1 mRNA. We compared the ASH1 mRNA localization of wild type yeast cells to that in a $\Delta$ arfl strain (Fig. 15). We scored 3 different phenotypes: bud tip (correct localization), bud neck and mother cell. In the wild-type strain over $60 \%$ of cells showed the correct localization of ASH1 mRNA in the bud tip at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast, ASH1 mRNA seemed to be mislocalized in $\Delta a r f 1$ cells at the permissive temperature and even more severely at the restrictive temperature. Only in about $20 \%$ of the $\Delta a r f l$ cells incubated at the restrictive
temperature was the GFP signal confined to the bud tip. The ASH1 mRNA never seemed to reach the bud tip in the remaining $80 \%$ of the cells. The GFP particle was either stuck in the bud neck or was randomly localized in the mother cell. This result indicates that Arflp is required for the correct localization of ASH1 mRNA.


Figure 15: ASH1 mRNA is mislocalized in $\Delta$ arfl mutant cells. An ASH1 mRNA-GFP reporter system was transformed in either wild type or the isogenic $\Delta a r f 1$ strain. Cells were grown to early $\log$ phase at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One half of the cultures were shifted to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. At least 100 cells per strain and temperature were analyzed for the localization of the GFP particle. The scored phenotypes are indicated. The arrowheads point towards the green fluorescent particle.

We extended our results by using temperature-sensitive arf1 alleles in a $\Delta a r f 2$ background generated by Yahara et al. (2001). In these strains, ARF function is only provided by the arfl ts-allele. In addition, we switched our detection method to fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). The strains were grown over-night under permissive conditions, shifted for 1 hour to the restrictive temperature and then prepared for FISH analysis. To score the phenotypes as objectively as possible, double-blind experiments were performed. Strain NYY 0-1 corresponds to the 'wild type' in this experiment, since it contains the wild-type allele of $A R F 1$ in a $\Delta a r f 2$ background, while NYY11-1, NYY17-1 and NYY18-1 represent different point mutants (Fig. 16B). NYY11-1 carries three point mutations one of which is in proximity to the switch 1 domain. The other two mutations are towards the C terminus, which is probably involved in protein-protein interaction. NYY11-1 has a strong defect in Golgi to ER transport. NYY17-1 (mutated in the switch 1 region) seems to be a weaker allele and is a member of a different intragenic complementation group. NYY18-1


Figure 16: Arflp and Pablp are required for ASH1 mRNA localization to the bud tip. (A) Overview of scored phenotypes. (B) List of mutants used in the analysis in (C). (C) The defect in mRNA localization is allele specific. Different mutants in $A R F 1$ were grown to early log-phase at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then shifted for 1 hour to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ASH1 mRNA in the cells was visualized by FISH. At least 100 cells/strain were scored. (D) ASH1 mRNA levels are not sensitive to shift to the restrictive temperature. Different mutants in ARF1 were grown to early log-phase at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then shifted for 1 hour to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the deletion mutants in $A R F 1$ and $P A B 1 S P B 8$ as well as a wild-type strain were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Total RNA was extracted from the different strains and analyzed by Northern blot. The blot was incubated with probes for ASH1 mRNA and ADH1 mRNA. Upon temperature-shift, no significant changes in mRNA levels were observed. (E) Ash1p levels are not altered in different mutants. The strains were transformed with a CEN plasmid encoding C-terminally myc ${ }_{9}$-tagged Ash1p and grown as described in (D). Soluble extracts were prepared and analyzed by immunoblot for the presence of Ash1p-myc and Arflp. The translation of ASH1 mRNA appeared to be unaffected by shift to restrictive temperature. The Arf-signal in the $\Delta a r f 1$ mutant corresponds to Arf2p, which is upregulated in $\operatorname{arfl}$ strains. Arf2p is 10 times less abundant than Arflp in wild-type strains.
is supposed to disturb transport at the Golgi and carries a mutation in the switch 2 region (Fig. 16B). At the restrictive temperature, only about $50 \%$ of the ARF1 wild-type showed correct localization of the ASH1 mRNA (Fig. 16C). However, the mutant NYY17-1 was not defective in mRNA localization and showed about 70\% correctly anchored ASH1 mRNA. In contrast, the mRNA localization in NYY11-1 and NYY18-1 was severely affected. The predominant defect was delocalized mRNA throughout the cell, indicating that these mutants were either deficient in transport or anchoring of the mRNA in the bud tip. These results indicate that the mRNA mislocalization phenotype displayed by the different mutants is due to a specific involvement of Arflp in this process.

### 3.2.1.7 arf1 mutants show no defects in transcription or translation of ASH1

Anchoring of ASH1 mRNA depends at least in part on Ash1 protein translation (Gonzalez et al., 1999). Therefore, the results presented above, although specific for Arflp, could also be interpreted as a defect in transcription, mRNA instability or down regulation of translation of ASH1. In addition, some mutants of components along the secretory pathway led to a defect in ribosome biosynthesis (Mizuta and Warner, 1994). Moreover, Deloche et al. (2004) reported that translation initiation was attenuated very rapidly and protein synthesis was reduced in secretion mutants upon shift to restrictive conditions. To test effects on ASH1 mRNA stability and Ash1p protein synthesis in arf1 and pab1 mutant strains before and after shift to the restrictive temperature, we performed Northern and Western blots (Fig. 16D and E). Yet, no significant variations in ASH1 mRNA levels were detected in arfl ts-mutant strains upon shift to non-permissive conditions or in strains where $A R F 1$ or PAB1 had been deleted (Fig. 16D). Detection of ADH1 mRNA served as internal control. A myc-tagged version of Ash1p is functional and has been used to assess the Ash1p content in cells (Cosma et al., 1999; McBride et al., 2001). Therefore, we used Ash1p-myc expressed from a CEN plasmid (single copy) to determine Ash1p levels in arf1 and pabl mutants. Soluble yeast lysates were analyzed for the presence of Ash1p-myc and Arflp (Fig. 16E). As observed for the mRNA levels, no significant differences in the Ash1p levels were eminent. Thus, it is unlikely, that transcription or translation of ASH1 is disturbed in the arfl mutants and that this would be the cause of the ASH1 mRNA localization defect.

### 3.2.1.8 $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s b p 8, \Delta s c p 160$, and $\Delta b f r 1$ are defective in ASH1 mRNA localization

Next, we wanted to check, if Pablp is also required for asymmetric mRNA localization. Cells of a $\Delta$ pabl $\Delta s b p 8$ strain were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and prepared for FISH as described above. Indeed, a similar phenotype was observed as for a $\Delta$ arfl mutant (Fig. 17A).


Figure 17: Pablp binding proteins display abnormal ASH1 mRNA localization. Cells were grown to early $\log$ phase at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and FISH for ASH1 mRNA was performed. BY4741 is the corresponding wild type for the strains in (A). At least 100 cells/strain were scored. (B) $A R F 1$ and $B F R 1$ interact genetically. Single and double mutants in $A R F 1$ and $B F R 1$ were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Serial dilutions were dropped on plates and incubated at the indicated temperatures for 2 days. (C) Bfrlp interacts with Arflp. An immunoprecipitation from yeast lysate was performed with affinity-purified antibodies against Arflp or control IgGs. Bfrlp was co-precipitated with Arflp.

In both cases about $60 \%$ of the ASH1 mRNA was mislocalized while in the wild-type $60 \%$ of the cells the signal was observed anchored in the bud-tip. Pablp is known to interact with two other proteins, Scp160p and Bfr1p (Lang and Fridovich-Keil, 2000). Moreover, Scp160p has been identified as a multicopy suppressor of a geal-4 $\Delta$ gea 2 mutant and physically interacts with Gea1p (Peyroche and Jackson, 2001). Gea1p and Gea2p are Arflp-GEFs that have overlapping functions in retrograde transport from the Golgi to the ER (Peyroche et al., 1996; Spang et al., 2001). Scp160p is a polysome-associated protein that was shown to be defective in anchoring asymmetrically localized mRNA (Irie et al., 2002). Bfrlp is a protein of unknown function that was found in a screen for resistance against brefeldin A (Jackson and Kepes, 1994). Brefeldin A is an un-competitive inhibitor of Arf-GEFs (Peyroche et al., 1999). Bfr1p is required for the association of Scp160p with polysomes but not with Pab1p (Lang et al., 2001). In addition, we found that $\Delta b f r 1$ and $\Delta a r f 1$ are synthetically lethal at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 17B). Furthermore, Bfrlp coimmunoprecipitates with Arflp (Fig. 17C). Therefore, at least a part of Arflp function might be connected to Scp 160 p and Bfrlp, and it seemed likely that $\Delta b f r l$ might also be deficient in correct mRNA localization. Indeed, $\Delta b f r l$ showed the same phenotype as $\Delta s c p 160$, which was stronger than the one observed for $\Delta a r f 1$ and $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s b p 8$ (Fig. 17A). This is consistent with the probable involvement of Scp160p and Bfrlp in the general translation machinery. In the absence of Arflp, some mRNA could still get to the ER and interact there with Scp160p or Bfr1p. However, upon deletion of BFR1 or SCP160 the translation and thus restriction of mRNA at the ER could be more severely affected.

### 3.2.1.9 Characterization of the cytoskeleton in the arf1 mutants

So far, we presented evidence that Arflp is involved in mRNA transport and that the existence of an Arflp-Pab1p-ASH1 ribonucleotide particle seemed to be independent of the SHE machinery. ASH1 mRNA was mislocalized in ARF mutants as determined by two different methods (Fig. 15 and 16C). However, it is known that mutations in $A R F$ can also affect the actin cytoskeleton and might also have some effect on microtubules. In order to rule out any general defects in cytoskeletal organization or polarity, we examined the microtubules and actin cytoskeleton in the NYY strains at the permissive and restrictive temperature. All strains showed wild-type microtubules at both temperatures as judged by indirect immunofluorescence (Anne Spang, data not shown). In addition, none of the strains was sensitive towards benomyl, a microtubule depolymerizing drug (Anne Spang, data not shown). Next, we examined the actin localization by staining with rhodamine-
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Figure 18: Localization of She $1 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Myo} 4 \mathrm{p}$ and actin in arfl point mutants. (A) The actin cytoskeleton is only disturbed in NYY18-1 at the restrictive temperature. Different mutants in ARF1 were grown to early logphase at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then shifted for 1 hour to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were fixed and the actin cytoskeleton was stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. (B) She1p/Myo4p localizes to the bud tip in arflmutants. MYO4 was chromosomally appended with 2 xGFP. Different mutants in ARF1 were grown to early log-phase at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then shifted for 1 hour to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were examined directly. For the restrictive temperature, a heated stage was mounted onto the microscope to keep the cells at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the observation. (Fluorescence microscopy was perfomed by Anne Spang.)
phalloidin. NYY0-1, NYY11-1 and NYY17-1 did show correctly polarized actin cables and patches at the permissive and the restrictive temperature (Fig. 18A). In contrast, while NYY18-1 behaved like wild type at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after the shift to the non-permissive temperature the actin cables were missing and the actin patches were distributed randomly throughout the cell. Thus, the mRNA localization defect in NYY18-1 might be explained by the aberrant actin cytoskeleton. However, the polarity of the cell was not entirely lost, since bud site selection and budding still seemed to be normal as in the other strains tested (Anne Spang, data not shown). The second arf1 mutant with an ASH1 mRNA localization defect, NYY11-1, behaved like wild type. Thus, the mRNA distribution phenotype in at least NYY11-1 is not caused by general defects in polarity and cytoskeletal organization.

### 3.2.1.10 She $1 p / M y o 4 p$ localizes correctly to the bud tip in arfl mutants

The actin cytoskeleton was visibly disturbed only in NYY18-1 at the restrictive temperature. Nonetheless, more subtle defects might conceivably prevent the SHE machinery from reaching the bud tip in the arf mutants. To test this possibility, we determined the localization of the motor protein She1p/Myo4p in the arfl point mutants. The localization of She1p/Myo4p in the bud tip depends on the presence of She2p and She3p (Kruse et al., 2002). Thus, by checking She1p/Myo4p, we can examine the functionality of the entire SHE machinery. MYO4 was chromosomally appended by 2xGFP in the different NYY strains as described by Kruse et al. (2002). At the permissive temperature as well as after a shift to the restrictive temperature for at least 1 hour, Myo4pGFP localized correctly to the bud tip in the arfl point mutants irrespective to their defect in ASH1 mRNA localization (Fig. 18B). Most importantly, Myo4p-GFP was localized correctly at the bud tip in NYY11-1 and NYY18-1 (Fig. 18B). Our data indicate that the SHE machinery is functional in the arfl mutants and that Arflp is most likely involved in retaining ASH1 mRNA in the bud tip after the SHE proteins fulfilled their duty. These results demonstrate, that the involvement of Arf1p in mRNA localization is independent of the SHE machinery. This is in agreement with our findings that the coatomer-Arflp-Pablp complex contains also symmetrically localized mRNAs that are not a substrate for the SHE machinery and that the deletion of members of the SHE machinery did not sever the ASH1 mRNA interaction with Pablp and Arflp.

### 3.2.1.11 Components of the early secretory pathway are required for ASH1 mRNA localization

We found that mRNA is associated with COPI coated vesicles that are destined to the ER. Therefore, we wondered if vesicular traffic, especially through COPI transport carriers, might play a role in mRNA localization. To this end, we performed FISH with temperature-sensitive mutants in components of the early secretory pathway. Surprisingly, not only sec21-1, a mutant in the $\gamma$-subunit of coatomer was defective but also sec23-1 and sarl-D32G (Fig. 19).


Figure 19: A functional early secretory pathway is necessary for ASH1 mRNA localization. Strains were grown to early $\log$ phase at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ts-strains. In case of the ts-strains, one half of the cultures were shifted to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. RSY248 corresponds to the wild-type strain for the ts-mutants and is isogenic to sec18-1. BY4741 is the corresponding wild type for the deletion strains. At least 100 cells were scored per strain and condition.

Sarlp is the counterpart of Arflp in the generation of COPII vesicles, and Sec23p is the GTPase activating protein for Sarlp (Barlowe, 2000). Furthermore, the NEM-sensitive factor Sec 18p, which is involved in homotypic and heterotypic membrane fusion (Novick et al., 1981; Graham and Emr, 1991), also seemed to be required for the anchoring of ASH1 mRNA because in about $80 \%$ of the cells the FISH signal was mostly distributed all over the cell, and could not be found concentrated in the bud tip. In contrast, two other
mutants in the $\beta$-subunit (Sec27) and $\varepsilon$-subunit (sec28) of coatomer behaved like wild type, indicating that the mRNA localization is a specific event involving coatomer. The phenotype that we detected was not due to a general secretion defect, because mutants in two Arflp interacting proteins, Gga2p (a GGA protein) and Gcs1p (an Arflp-GAP), were not defective in ASH1 mRNA localization. Our results indicate, that restricting ASH1 mRNA to the bud tip requires functional ER-Golgi transport.

### 3.2.1.12 The actin cytoskeleton is not generally disturbed in ER-Golgi transport mutants.

We repeated the actin-phalloidin staining with the temperature-sensitive secretion mutants that were defective in ASH1 mRNA localization (Fig. 20). The mutant strains sec18-1, sec21-1, and sarl-D32G displayed a correctly polarized actin cytoskeleton at the permissive and at the restrictive temperature. In contrast, sec23-1 cells had a disorganized actin cytoskeleton after one hour shift to the restrictive temperature. Thus, the defect in mRNA localization in these mutants (except for $\sec 23-1$ ) is not due to a lack of actin organization in the cell.

Taken together, our results indicate a novel and unexpected role for components of the early secretory pathway in short-range mRNA localization most likely through interaction with Pab1p. This process is independent of the SHE machinery. We have only investigated the localization of the asymmetrically localized mRNA ASH1. However, these results might also reflect a mechanism by which symmetrically localized mRNA is concentrated at the ER.

## $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Figure 20: The actin cytoskeleton is not disturbed as a result of a defect in secretion. Secretion mutants that displayed an ASH1 mRNA localization defect were examined for a functional actin cytoskeleton. The strains were grown to early log-phase at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then shifted for one hour to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were fixed and actin was stained with rhodamine-phalloidin.

### 3.2.2 Discussion

We have used a differential affinity chromatography approach to identify new interactors of the small GTPase Arflp. This approach is valid because known regulators were reidentified. We have identified Pablp as a new interactor of the small GTPase Arf1p. Pab1p interacts predominantly with the GTP bound form of Arflp. In a high-throughput protein complex analysis in yeast, Pab1p was found to be one of the major contaminants (Gavin et al., 2002) indicating that Pablp might interact nonspecifically with many other proteins. Therefore, we confirmed the specificity of interaction by two-hybrid analysis and genetic interaction as well as by mutual co-immunoprecipitation. Furthermore, the interaction between Pablp and Arflp is dependent on the presence of mRNA in the complex. Moreover, we found that Pab1p is associated peripherally with COPI vesicles generated from enriched Golgi membranes. Taken together, these results argue strongly that the Arflp-Pab1p complex is specific. If and how Pab1p is incorporated into the vesicle coat remains elusive at present. Pablp might not become an intrinsic part of the coat but might be peripherally associated with the vesicles through interactions with Arflp and coatomer. COPI vesicles still form upon RNase treatment, which prohibits the Pab1p interaction with the coat.

We tested Arflp immunoprecipitates for the presence of a number of different mRNAs, which varied in their abundance and in their subcellular localization, by RT-PCR. After the immunoprecipitation, essentially all mRNAs were amplified. Thus, no specialized 3'end processing complexes were associated with COPI vesicles. To further investigate the role of Arflp, Pablp and the COPI coat in mRNA transport, we concentrated our efforts in studying the asymmetrically distributed ASH1 mRNA. In wild type cells, ASH1 mRNA is asymmetrically localized to the bud tip of a growing yeast cell. However, when we investigated the role of mutants in $A R F 1$ and $P A B 1$ in asymmetric mRNA distribution, we found that they mislocalize ASH1 mRNA. Furthermore, using enriched COPI vesicles from the Golgi budding assay, we could detect ASH1 mRNA by RT-PCR.
The fact that the ASH1 mRNA mislocalization phenotype in arfl mutants was allele specific indicates that not the whole repertoire of ARF dependent traffic is needed for mRNA localization. Moreover, this finding provides strong evidence that the ASH1 mRNA localization defect is not brought about by a secondary effect. This idea is corroborated by the data showing that some coatomer mutants did show a defect while others did not. Furthermore, the extent of ASH1 mRNA mislocalization was quite variable
in the different mutants of the early secretory pathway. However, other mutants of the early secretory pathway localized ASH1 mRNA correctly to the bud tip. Thus, the asymmetric mRNA distribution phenotype cannot be attributed to a general secretion defect. In addition to mutants in the early secretory pathway, we tested also mutants in late acting components. They either had no effects ( $\Delta g g a 2$ ) or the ASH1 mRNA mislocalization phenotype could be related to a defect in the actin cytoskeleton (secl-1 and sec6-4; Mark Trautwein and Anne Spang, unpublished data). Moreover, the ASH1 mRNA localization defect was not due to defects in the actin cytoskeleton, the microtubules organization or cell polarity. Finally, we ruled out the possibility that ASH1 mRNA stability or translation efficiency were compromised in arf1 mutants. Ribosome biosynthesis and translation efficiency are affected in secretion mutants (Mizuta and Warner, 1994; Deloche et al., 2004). However, we did not observe this effect in arfl and $\Delta p a b 1 \Delta s p b 8$ mutants, which is most likely due to the use of different mutants and different strain backgrounds: the pool of mutants tested in the various studies is non-overlapping. Thus, we are confident that the mRNA localization defect is not due to a secondary effect.

Transport of asymmetrically distributed mRNA into the bud tip was shown to be dependent upon the SHE proteins: She $1 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Myo} 4 \mathrm{p}$ is an unconventional myosin that transports She2p, an RNA binding protein. The interaction between these two proteins is mediated by She3p. The role of She 4 p and She5p/Bnilp in mRNA localization is less well understood, but they seem to be involved in stabilization and polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. They are thought to play a role in anchoring mRNA by a thus far unknown mechanism (Beach and Bloom, 2001). However, Bloom and Beach (1999) speculated that once mRNA reaches the vicinity of its final destination, cytoplasmic flow or passive diffusion may enable mRNA accumulation at that site (the bud tip in yeast). Thus, a mechanism for restricting ASH1 mRNA in the bud is required, which might be independent of the SHE machinery. It further indicates the transport by the SHE machinery is a prerequisite but not sufficient for anchoring ASH1 mRNA at the bud tip. This is in perfect agreement with our finding that the aberrant ASH1 mRNA localization observed could not be correlated with defects in the SHE machinery, because Myo4p-GFP was restricted to the bud tip in arf1 mutants. Furthermore, deletion of SHE1/MYO4 or SHE3 did not disrupt the Arflp-Pablp ribonucleotide particle. Therefore, the defects in ASH1 mRNA localization in the early secretion mutants are independent, and most likely downstream, of the SHE machinery. Our data are suggestive of COPI vesicles acting as short-range mRNA transport and localization vehicles: The asymmetric distribution of the

ASH1 mRNA is brought about by the SHE machinery and does not depend on Arflp and COPI vesicles; they may act only to restrict the mRNA in the bud tip when it is not efficiently anchored. The long-range mRNA transport is dependent on the SHE machinery while the short-range localization might be reliant on COPI vesicles.

We concentrated our efforts on the study of the asymmetrically distributed ASH1 mRNA, because the pathway of ASH1 mRNA localization is well known and more amenable for experiments than symmetrically distributed mRNA. However, since the defects in mRNA localization are independent of the SHE machinery, our observations could also apply to the symmetrically distributed mRNAs. What might be the role then of the early secretory pathway in mRNA localization? We would like to suggest a model in which the mRNA is transported to the ER via the COPI machinery. This mRNA COPI interaction could take place at the Golgi. Pab1p was detected on purified Golgi membranes that we used to generate COPI vesicles in vitro. These vesicles contained proteins (e.g. the ER-Golgi vSNARE Bos1p) that cycle between the Golgi and the ER. Thus, we believe that these COPI vesicles are destined to the ER. Alternatively, the COPI vesicles might be directly loaded on route. This would lead to a fast and efficient way to bring mRNA to the ER. If the mRNA cannot be anchored at the ER and diffuses away, the COPI vesicles destined to the ER might be an efficient transport system for relocation of the mRNA. Thus, COPI vesicles could act as molecular sieve to bring Pab1p containing ribonucleotide particles to the ER. Yet, one other possible explanation for the transport of mRNA on COPI vesicles might be the clearance of the ribosome-free Golgi region from mRNA. Although this might be a likely scenario for mammalian cells, the Golgi in S. cerevisiae is scattered throughout the cell and the single different cisternae are surrounded by ribosomes in the cytoplasm. There is no ribosome-free Golgi region in S. cerevisiae. Still, we cannot exclude the possibility that there might be an ancient mechanism for mRNA clearance. Alternatively, symmetrically and asymmetrically mRNA transport to the ER might result in an enhancement of membrane-bound ribosome turnover at the ER. At least in mammalian cells, it has been shown, that membrane-bound ribosomes do not distinguish between mRNA substrates and therefore can initiate the translation of any protein, regardless of whether it is cytosolic or destined for translocation/secretion (Potter and Nicchitta, 2000). However, when membrane-bound ribosomes were provided with mRNAs encoding model cytosolic proteins, subsequent translation yielded the release of the ribosome-nascent chain complex from the ER to the cytosol (Potter and Nicchitta, 2000; Potter et al., 2001). Furthermore, mRNAs encoding cytosolic proteins are well represented
in the ER membrane-bound polysome fraction (Diehn et al., 2000; Lerner et al., 2003). Nicchitta (2002) proposed a model suggesting that the exchange of ribosomes on the ER membrane is dependent on and driven by the translation of cytosolic proteins by membrane-bound ribosomes. Our data are in agreement with this hypothesis because COPI vesicles could act as carriers for mRNAs to the ER to allow for the ribosome exchange.
The mutant in the COPII component Sarlp could display such a strong defect for two reasons, which might lead to an additive effect: Since COPII vesicles are no longer formed in these mutants, the lipid and protein composition of the ER membrane is changed. As a result, the mRNA complex might 'adhere' less efficiently at the ER membrane. The mRNA complex diffuses away and cannot be brought back since blocking anterograde COPII transport from the ER to the Golgi immediately abrogates retrograde COPI transport from the Golgi to the ER. Hence, one would observe a stronger phenotype than in the retrograde transport mutants. Although we cannot exclude a direct involvement of COPII components in mRNA localization, Pab1p did not interact with Sar1p or Sec23p. Therefore, we favor an indirect role of the COPII components in mRNA transport.

Taken together, our results provide the first evidence for a role of Pablp and COPI vesicles in concentrating mRNA at the ER. Because, we tested only 8 different mRNAs, we cannot exclude that not all mRNAs travel on COPI vesicles. Performing a DNA microarray analysis similar to that was used to identify 22 bud-localized transcripts (Shepard et al., 2003) might prove useful in solving this problem.

### 3.3 Genetic evidence for interaction with a new family of putative cargo receptors at the trans-Golgi in S. cerevisiae

### 3.3.1 Results

### 3.3.1.1 Chs5p and Ymr237p are new interactors of activated Arflp

In Chapter 3.1, we described the identification of new interactors of Arflp by means of a differential Arflp-affinity chromatography approach with mutant proteins restricted to the either GTP or GDP-bound form of Arflp. Out of ten protein bands analyzed, six corresponded to already known interactors of Arflp. Two protein bands corresponded to Chs5p and the protein product of the uncharacterized ORF YMR237w. Both proteins were enriched in the Arflp-GTP affinity eluate. We generated an antiserum against Chs5p and binding to Arflp-GTP was confirmed by immunoblotting of the Arflp affinity eluates (Fig. 21). Attempts to raise antisera against full-length Ymr237p or against peptides derived from Ymr237p were unsuccessful. However, the fact that Ymr237p was clearly enriched in the Arflp-GTP eluate (and therefore chosen for MS analysis) strongly suggests that Ymr237p also interacts predominantly with Arflp-GTP. Thus, Chs5p and Ymr237p were identified as new interactors of activated Arflp.


Figure 21: Chs5p binds to Arflp-GTP. Yeast cytosol was incubated with either Arflp-Q71L (Arflp-GTP) or Arflp-T31N (Arflp-GDP) column material. After washing, spontaneous nucleotide exchange was elicited resulting in a conformational change on Arflp and the release of conformation-specific bound proteins. Eluates (E1-E4) from the Arflp affinity chromatography were analyzed for the presence of Chs5p by immunoblot. Chs5p is highly enriched in eluates from the Arflp-GTP column. Beads without Arflp were mock-treated and served as negative control.

### 3.3.1.2 Chs5p and the Bud7-Chs6 family

Chs5p is a protein that has been implicated in chitin synthesis, cell fusion and mating (Santos et al., 1997). More specifically, it is required for chitin synthase III activity in vivo and probably mediates exit of the chitin synthase Chs3p from the trans-Golgi-network (TGN) (Santos and Snyder, 1997). Chs3p is the enzymatic subunit of chitin synthase III complex, which is responsible for formation of a chitin ring at the mother-bud neck at the time of cytokinesis. After cell division, remnants of the chitin rings are still visible as bud
scars. In addition, Chs5p has been shown to be essential for the polarized localization of the cell fusion factor Fus 1 p to the shmoo tip during mating (Santos and Snyder, 2003). It harbors a Fibronectin type III (FN3) domain, which is found in approximately $2 \%$ of all animal proteins. FN3-like domains are also present in bacterial proteins. Another feature of Chs5p is the breast cancer carboxy-terminal domain (BRCT), which is found within many DNA damage repair and cell cycle checkpoint proteins. This domain presumably serves to mediate homo- and hetero-multimer formation. Besides, Chs5p contains small blocks of homology with Sec16p, a COPII vesicle coat protein at the ER (Espenshade et al., 1995). Therefore, Chs5p has been proposed to reside on the outside of Chs3p-containing vesicles (Santos and Snyder, 1997).
Protein sequence alignments suggest that the proteins Ymr237p, Bud7p, Ykr027p and Chs6p are a family of paralogous proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Fig 22). Ymr237p and Bud7p share $54 \%$ sequence identity while Ykr027p and Chs6p are slightly less similar ( $43 \%$ sequence identity). Both sub-branches of the family have around $25 \%$ sequence identity. Ymr237p is probably the ancestral protein of which Chs6p is derived by gene duplication. Another gene duplication event resulted in Ykr027p and Bud7p. No obvious putative domains within the Bud7-Chs6 family were identified. Orthologous proteins are


Figure 22: Scheme depicting the relationship of the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family. The percentage of protein sequence identity is given.
only found in fungi, indicating a fungi-specific role for this protein family. Bud7p was identified in a screen for mutants defective in bipolar budding pattern of diploid yeast cells (Zahner et al., 1996). BUD7 diploid deletion mutants exhibit an axial-like budding pattern (Ni and Snyder, 2001). Depending on the strain background, the pattern appears also often to be random (Ni and Snyder, 2001). In contrast to Bud7p, virtually nothing is known about Ymr237p. Ykr027p was found in the proteome of highly enriched mitochondria
(Sickmann et al., 2003). However, in a genome-wide GFP-localization approach Ykr027p was localized to the Golgi-apparatus (Huh et al., 2003). Chs6p is required for the anterograde transport of Chs3p from internal endosome-like structures ("chitosomes") to the plasma membrane (Ziman et al., 1998; Valdivia et al., 2002). Hence, both Chs5p and Chs6p are required for the transport of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the plasma membrane. This raises the possibility that Chs5p acts not only together with Chs6p on the same pathway but might fulfill an additional role in conjunction with the other three Bud7-Chs6 family members Alternatively, the other three family members might also be implicated in the transport of Chs3p.

We are going to refer to Ymr237p and Ykr027p as Bch1p and Bch2p (for Bud7-Chs6 homologous proteins 1 and 2), respectively.

### 3.3.1.3 Members of the Bud7-Chs6 family fulfill different functions

In order to study the Bud7-Chs6 family in a systematic manner, we created single deletion strains of the Bud7-Chs6 family members. The single deletion strains were assayed for growth at various temperatures, on different nutrient sources and for growth on plates containing the chitin binding dye calcofluor white (Fig. 23). This dye is toxic to cells with normal chitin levels whereas mutants defective in chitin synthesis or chitin synthase trafficking are unaffected. A deletion of CHS6 was resistant to the chitin binding dye calcofluor white while $\Delta b u d 7, \Delta b c h 1$ or $\Delta b c h 2$ were sensitive similar to the wild-type (Fig. 23A). From all the deletion strains, only the $\Delta c h s 6$ strain was temperature-sensitive at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 23B). In contrast, a $\Delta b c h 1$ strain grew slowly at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was highly sensitive to growth on YMP+ plates (Fig. 23B and C). YMP+ is a rich medium containing elevated levels of ammonium. Deletion of any of the other three members of the Bud7-Chs6 family was no more sensitive than the wild-type towards YMP+. For a $\Delta b c h 2$ strain we did not observe any obvious defect. As reported before, a homozygous diploid $\Delta b u d 7$ strain displayed a random budding pattern (Fig. 23D). The $\Delta b c h 1$ and $\Delta b c h 2$ homozygous diploid deletions budded in a normal bipolar budding pattern. We were unable to assess the budding pattern of a $\Delta c h s 6$ homozygous diploid strain due to extremely low staining with calcofluor white, which is used to visualize the bud scars. Instead, sometimes chitin staining was obtained at protrusion of the cell wall. However, it was possible to score the budding pattern in another strain background (BY4743, Robert Gauss, unpublished observation). There, the CHS6 deletion did not affect the diploid-specific budding pattern.

Another phenotype observed for a $\Delta b u d 7$ deletion was the fast growth on plates buffered at pH 7.5 (Fig. 2E). The fact that phenotypes observed for specific mutants are only associated with one member of the Bud7-Chs6 family demonstrates that the proteins of the Bud7-Chs6 family serve different functions in the cell and are not redundant.


Figure 23: Analysis of single deletion mutants of the Bud7-Chs6 family together with mutants in CHS5 and ARF1. For the drop assays, haploid strains were grown overnight to logarithmic phase in rich medium. After adjusting the cell concentration, serial dilutions (1:10) were dropped onto plates and incubated for two days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless indicated otherwise. (A) Growth on plates containing the toxic chitin-binding dye calcofluor white. (B) Growth at different temperatures. (C) Growth on YMP+ plates. These rich medium plates contain an elevated level of ammonium sulfate. (D) Analysis of the budding pattern. Diploid yeast strains were grown for at least 16 h to logarithmic phase. After fixation with formaldehyde, the cells were stained with calcofluor white to visualize the bud scars under the fluorescent microscope. (E) Growth on minimal medium plates buffered at pH 5.8 and pH 7.5 .

Most strikingly, the $\Delta c h s 5$ deletion strain exhibited all phenotypes observed for the deletion of different members of the Bud7-Chs6 family (Fig. 23), namely resistance to calcofluor white, temperature- and cold-sensitivity, YMP+ sensitive growth, fast growth on plates at pH 7.5 , and a random budding pattern in diploid strains (again not scorable in YPH501 but in BY4743, Robert Gauss, unpublished results; also reported by Santos et al., 1997). While the single deletions of the Bud7-Chs6 family resulted in distinct phenotypes typical for a single gene, the CHS5 deletion combined all the different phenotypes. This indicates that Chs5p is either an upstream regulator or a downstream converging point of Bud7-Chs6 protein family-related pathways.

### 3.3.1.4 Members of the Bud7-Chs6 family genetically interact with each other and with ARF1

Because we identified Chs5p and Bch1p as interactors of Arflp-GTP, we wanted to explore the genetic relationship between ARF1 and the Bud7-Chs6 family or CHS5. We therefore created double deletion strains. An ARF1 deletion restored the calcofluor white sensitivity of both $\Delta c h \mathrm{~s} 5$ and $\Delta c h s 6$ (Fig. 23 B ). The sensitivity to growth on YMP+ plates was abolished for both $\Delta c h s 5$ and $\Delta b c h 1$ (Fig. 23 C ). Interestingly, a single ARF1 deletion itself was growing better than the wild-type strain on YMP+ plates. However, the random budding pattern of a diploid $\Delta b u d 7$ strain was not rescued by an additional ARF1 deletion (data not shown). Thus, we could demonstrate a genetic interaction between ARF1 and at least part of the Bud7-Chs6 family and CHS5.
All single deletions of the Bud7-Chs6 family resulted in viable strains. We wanted to determine whether there was a genetic relationship between the four paralogs of the Bud7Chs6 family themselves. Therefore, we created strains of all possible combinations of double, triple and quadruple deletions. As for the single deletions strains, these combinations were assayed for their growth on different plates (Table 16). The calcofluor white resistance of a CHS6 deletion was not rescued in any deletion combination. However, the temperature-sensitivity was rescued by an additional BCHI deletion demonstrating that the calcofluor white resistance and temperature-sensitivity are separable. The sensitivity of a BCHI deletion towards YMP+ was not changed in any combination. Surprisingly, a quadruple deletion did not show a deleterious phenotype as could have been anticipated for a family null mutant. Unexpectedly, the $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ double deletion resulted in a calcofluor white resistant phenotype which was not altered in a $\Delta c h s 6 \Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h l$ triple deletion (Table 16 and Fig. 23). Furthermore, a double
deletion of $\Delta c h s 6$ and $\Delta b c h 2$ was partially sensitive to YMP+. Taken together, members of the Bud7-Chs6 family interact genetically.

Table 16: Phenotypes of strains with combined deletions of Bud7-Chs6 family members.

|  | Temperature sensitive at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Calcofluor white resistant | Slow growth at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | YMP+ <br> sensitive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta c h s 5$ | + | + | + | + |
| $\Delta c h s 6$ | + | + | - | - |
| $\triangle b u d 7$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta b c h 1$ | - | - | + | + |
| $\Delta b c h 2$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\triangle \mathrm{chs6}$ Ubud7 | + | + | - | - |
| - chs6 Ubch1 | - | + | + | + |
| $\Delta c h s 6$ Ubch2 | + | + | + | +/- |
| $\Delta b u d 7$ Ubch1 | - | + | - | + |
| $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 2$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta b c h 1$ Ubch2 | - | - | + | + |
| $\Delta b u d 7$ Ubch1 $4 b c h 2$ | + | + | + | + |
| -chs6 $4 b c h 1$ Ubch2 | - | + | + | + |
| $\Delta c h s 6 \Delta b u d 7$ dbch2 | + | + | - | - |
| -chs6 $4 b u d 7$ Ubch1 | - | + | - | + |
| -chs6 $4 b u d 7$ Ubch1 $4 b c h 2$ | - | + | + | + |

### 3.3.1.5 The protein expression levels of the Bud7-Chs6 family are tightly regulated

In the single deletion experiments, only $\Delta c h s 6$ was resistant to calcofluor white. This suggested that from the Bud7-Chs6 family only Chs6p is needed for trafficking of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the cell surface. As reported above, a double deletion of $\Delta b u d 7$ $\Delta b c h 1$ surprisingly also resulted in calcofluor white resistance. One explanation for that could be that Chs6p is subtracted from Chs3p trafficking events to compensate the loss of Bud7p and Bch1p by fulfilling part of Bud7p/Bch1p functions under these conditions. Hence, Chs6p overexpression (or possibly also overexpression of the closest homolog Bch2p) should restore calcofluor sensitivity in a $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ strain. Alternatively, Bud7p and Bch1p might both be directly involved in Chs3p transport and therefore
overexpression of Chs6p should not be able to restore calcofluor white sensitivity of a $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ strain.
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Figure 24: The protein expression levels of the Bud7-Chs6 family are tightly regulated. Haploid yeast cells transformed with different plasmids were grown overnight in selective minimal medium to logarithmic phase. After adjusting the cell concentration, serial dilutions (1:10) were dropped onto plates and incubated for two days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise indicated. (A) Rescue of a CHS6 deletion. Wild-type and $\Delta c h s 6$ cells transformed with empty vector or low-copy plasmids expressing members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were analyzed for growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and on calcofluor white plates (B) Rescue of a $\mathrm{BCH1}$ deletion. Wild-type and $\Delta b c h 1$ cells transformed with empty vector or high-copy plasmids expressing members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were analyzed for growth at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and on YMP+ plates.

Surprisingly, however, already the rescue of $\Delta c h s 6$ was problematic. Specifically, the calcofluor white resistance of a $\Delta c h s 6$ strain could neither be rescued by expression of Chs6p from a low- nor a high-copy plasmid (Fig. 24A and data not shown). Yet, in both cases the temperature-sensitivity of the $\Delta c h s \sigma$ strain was rescued which indicated protein expression and provided further evidence for two separable functions of Chs6p. No other member of the Bud7-Chs6 family was competent to restore wild-type growth of $\Delta c h s 6$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similarly, the sensitivity of $\Delta b c h l$ towards YMP + was not rescued by expression of Bch1p from a low copy plasmid. When expressed from a high copy plasmid, both Bud7p and Bch1p were able to remedy the phenotype (Fig. 24B and data not shown). In case of the $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h l$ double deletion, only the sensitivity towards YMP+ (but not the calcofluor white resistance) was rescued by expression of Bud7p or Bch1p from a high copy plasmid (data not shown). These results indicate that simple overexpression is not sufficient to rescue all associated phenotypes. Moreover, the expression of Bud7-Chs6 family members appears to be tightly regulated and needs possibly to be adjusted for each member to a certain level. Alternatively, the expression level of one individual member might depend on other members. Furthermore, Bud7p and Bch1p are more tightly linked than the others since only Bud7p (but not Chs6p or Bch2p) was able to rescue the BCH 1 deletion. The inability of Chs6p to replace Bch1p (and vice versa) under overexpression conditions argues for a direct involvement of Bud7p and Bch1p in the trafficking of Chs3p. Therefore, it is unlikely that Chs6p becomes distracted from Chs3p-trafficking events to compensate loss of Bud7p or Bch1p.

### 3.3.1.6 Transport of the chitin synthase Chs3p

Since Arflp is a protein involved in vesicular transport and at least Chs5p and Chs6p have been implicated in trafficking of vesicular cargo, we investigated the transport of known and putative cargo molecules. Given that not only Chs5p and Chs6p but also Bud7p/Bch1p are required for trafficking and sorting of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the bud neck, we analyzed the localization of Chs3p in vivo with a system developed by Valdivia et al. (2002). In this system, Chs7p (the ER export factor for Chs3p) and Chs3p-GFP are ectopically co-expressed and the localization of Chs3p-GFP is determined by fluorescence microscopy. In wild-type cells, we observed a GFP signal for structures resembling the TGN as well as a more dispersed signal which probably corresponds to chitosomes, similar to the results obtained by Valdivia et al. (2002). Occasionally, Chs3p-GFP was present also at the mother-bud neck (Fig. 25). In $\Delta c h s 5$ and in $\Delta c h s 6$ cells, the GFP signal was
exclusively in structures corresponding to the TGN. This is consistent with the assumption that Chs5p and Chs6p are required for transport of Chs3p from the TGN to the plasma


Figure 25: Transport of the chitin synthase Chs3p. Haploid yeast cells co-transformed with Chs3p-GFP and Chs7p were grown overnight in selective medium to logarithmic phase. GFP was visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Pictures were taken from freshly mounted cells. The GFP signal is localized in structures resembling the trans-Golgi-network. A dispersed signal corresponding to the chitosomes is evident only in wild-type cells.
membrane, or more specifically to the bud neck. Chs3p would be a cargo of special vesicles directed to the mother bud neck. Surprisingly, in a $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ double deletion strain, we observed a mixed population of cells. Few cells looked like wild-type whereas the majority of cells had Chs3p-GFP only in TGN structures. We also stained the deletion strains with calcofluor white in order to assess the chitin levels in the cell wall and to correlate chitin synthesis at the cell wall with Chs3p transport. As expected, in both $\Delta$ chs 5 and $\Delta c h s 6$ cells, the cell wall was stained very poorly. This poor staining was remedied by an additional $A R F 1$ deletion (data not shown). In case of the $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ double deletion, there was only weak staining of the cell wall. Taken together, this indicates that in the $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ double deletion strain either Chs3p trafficking was disturbed or Chs3p was not fully active or a combination of both. This was already denoted by the calcofluor white resistance phenotype of this strain. This result implies that Chs6p acts in concert with Bud7p/Bch1p to localize Chs3p to the cell surface and at least the presence of either Bud7p or Bch1p is required.

### 3.3.1.7 The Bud7-Chs6 family is not required for transport of cell fusion factor Fuslp or of the amino acid permeases Gap1p and Tat $2 p$

It has been reported that Chs 5 p but not Chs 6 p is required for mating and more specifically for polarized localization of the cell fusion factor Fus1p to the shmoo tip during mating
(Santos and Snyder, 2003). It seemed obvious to examine whether any other member of the Bud7-Chs6 family apart from Chs6p would be required for mating and Fus1p trafficking. Although we observed a very dramatic mating efficiency defect for $\Delta c h s 5 \times \Delta c h s 5$, this was not the case for any of the single deletions of the Bud7-Chs6 family. Also, mating of the quadruple deletions resulted only in a minor (if at all) defect in mating efficiency (data not shown). In addition, Fus1p-GFP expressed from a plasmid was correctly localized to the tip of the shmoo and the cell fusion plane in mating cultures of the single deletion strains whereas this was not the case in $\Delta c h s 5$ mating mixtures (data not shown). Therefore, it is likely that Chs5p is also involved in other pathways apart from the Bud7Chs6 family-related pathways.
A $\Delta b c h 1$ strain is sensitive to growth on YMP+ plates. An apparent feature of these plates is the elevated ammonium level. We therefore considered the possibility that Bch1p is involved in nitrogen regulation and might be required for transport of amino acid permeases to the cell surface. The general amino acid permease Gap1p is routed to the cell surface under nitrogen starvation conditions and degraded in the vacuole under nonstarvation conditions (Roberg et al., 1997). The tryptophane permease Tat2p is inversely regulated and degraded in the vacuole in nitrogen-starved cells and localized to the cell surface under nutrient-rich conditions (Beck et al., 1999). We examined the localization of Gap1p-GFP and Tat2p-GFP in both wild-type and $\Delta b c h 1$ strains. However, both Gap1pGFP and Tat2p-GFP were localized to the cell surface in both wild-type and $\Delta b c h 1$ strains under appropriate conditions (data not shown). Hence, Bch1p is not required for trafficking of Gap1p or Tat2p.

### 3.3.1.8 Intracellular localization of the budding pattern landmark proteins Bud8p and Bud9p

A $\Delta b u d 7$ homozygous diploid strain exhibits a random budding pattern unlike the wildtype bipolar budding pattern. Therefore, we wanted to analyze the localization of the putative landmark proteins for bipolar budding pattern, Bud8p and Bud9p. These proteins are thought to interact with the general bud-site selection machinery, which then in turn establishes cell polarity (Casamayor and Snyder, 2002). Bud8p has been reported to mark the distal cell pole and therefore showing a localization at the distal bud tip (Harkins et al., 2001). The localization of Bud9p is either at the bud side of the bud neck, marking the proximal pole as a true landmark protein (Harkins et al., 2001), or it is also at the distal bud tip and possibly acts there as an inhibitor of Bud8p (Taheri et al., 2000). We
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Figure 26: Localization of the bipolar landmark proteins Bud8p and Bud9p is independent of the Bud7-Chs6 family. Diploid wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ yeast strains were grown for at least 16 h to logarithmic phase in either minimal selective medium (ectopic expression) or YPD (chromosomal integrations). YPD medium contained $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ adenine to suppress cellular autofluorescence associated with the ade2 mutation. Cells were washed briefly with water and pictures were taken immediately afterwards. (A) Ectopic expression of GFP-Bud8p and GFP-Bud9p. Cells were directly visualized under the fluorescent microscope. (B) Immunofluorescence of chromosomally tagged Bud8p-6HA and Bud9p-6HA. Cells were fixed in formaldehyde and stained with HA antibodies. The fluorescent dye Cy3 was used for visualization of the proteins. (C) Expression of chromosomally tagged Bud8p-3GFP and Bud9p-3GFP.
investigated the localization of Bud8p and Bud9p in wild-type diploid as well as in a homozygous BUD7 deletion strains. We initially visualized the proteins by ectopic expression of Bud8p-GFP and Bud9p-GFP fusion proteins (Schenkman et al., 2002). For Bud8p, we observed in both wild-type and BUD7 deletion strains a localization at the distal bud tip (Fig. 26A). Furthermore, we detected Bud9p at the bud side of the motherbud neck in both wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains. However, it has been reported that overexpression of Bud8p was able to partially suppress the budding pattern defect of a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain (Ni and Snyder, 2001). We were therefore concerned that the overexpression of GFP-Bud8p would veil a mislocalization of this protein under physiological conditions in a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain. Consequently, we constructed homozygous diploid strains in which Bud8p and Bud9p were C-terminally chromosomally tagged with 6HA in both wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains resulting in endogenous expression levels. The wild-type strains exhibited a bipolar budding pattern indicating that the chromosomal fusions were functional. We performed immunofluorescence on these strains and Bud8p-6HA localized in both wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains again to the distal bud tip (Fig. 26B). To our surprise, Bud9p-6HA localized also to the distal bud tip and to one pole in unbudded cells in both wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains. To resolve this discrepancy, we chromosomally appended Bud8p and Bud9p with 3GFP in order to be able to visualize the proteins directly in living cells at endogenous expression levels. Both Bud8p-3GFP and Bud9p-3GFP homozygous diploid strains showed a bipolar budding pattern indicating functional fusion proteins. Again, Bud8p-3GFP localized to the distal bud tip (Fig. 26C). Additionally, however, a localization at the mother-bud neck junction was observed later in the cell cycle. Bud9p-3GFP localized to the distal bud tip in cells with small and medium size buds and to the mother-bud neck in cells with large buds, similar to the ectopic expression. It seemed that Bud9p-3GFP reached the mother-bud neck earlier than Bud8p-3GFP during the cell cycle. The localization of Bud8p-3GFP and Bud9p-3GFP was indistinguishable from wild-type in $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ cells. Bud8p-6HA and Bud9p-6HA at the mother-bud junction might be less amenable to antibody staining in immunofluorescence. This might be the reason why only the bud tip was stained in these experiments. Most importantly, however, both Bud8p and Bud9p localizations were unaffected by the deletion of BUD7 indicating that Bud7p is not involved in the transport of these bipolar landmark proteins.

### 3.3.1.9 Transport of the inherited protein Rax2p which is required for bipolar budding pattern

Since the bipolar landmark proteins Bud8p and Bud9p were not transported in a Bud7pdependent fashion, we were searching for genes that resulted in a similar phenotype as a BUD7 deletion and might therefore be acting on the same pathway. BUD7 is member of a small class of genes in which mutations exhibit the peculiar axial-like budding pattern phenotype ( Ni and Snyder, 2001). It is characteristic for this class of mutants that they neither bud in a bipolar nor in a true axial fashion, which is the normal budding pattern for haploid strains (Fig. 27). However, the budding pattern is distinct from a random budding pattern, which is the predominant phentotype in many mutants. Apparently, it depends on the strain background to which extent the axial-specific markers, which are present in diploids, can take over when bipolar landmarks are missing (Ni and Snyder, 2001). The axial-like phenotype is shifted to a random budding pattern in strain backgrounds in which the influence of the axial-specific markers in diploids is weak. In our strain background, we observed a random budding pattern for homozygous BUD7 deletions. ISY1, YOR300w, RAX1, RAX2, and BUD7 are so far the only members of the axial-like budding pattern class ( Ni and Snyder, 2001). Isylp is supposedly a protein involved in transcription and localizes to the nucleus. YOR300w overlaps both with the 3 '-end of BUD7 and the promoter of RAX1. Therefore, it is likely that this ORF is not expressed as the effects of a deletion can be readily explained by concomitant deletion of the C-terminus of Bud7p (compare Chapter 3.4) and interference with Rax1p expression. Thus, we wanted to investigate the localization of the remaining candidates, Rax1p and Rax 2 p, in wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains. We constructed strains in which the proteins were chromosomally tagged under their endogenous promoters. Although a RAX2-GFP/RAX2GFP strain exhibited a bipolar budding pattern, the C-terminal fusions of Rax 1 p with 6 HA , 9 Myc or GFP resulted in nonfunctional proteins because the resulting strains budded randomly. Hence, we were unable to include Rax1p in our analysis. Rax2p-GFP was visible in wild-type cells at the bud neck, older bud scars and occasionally in the distal bud tip (Fig. 28A). In a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain, Rax2p-GFP still localized to the bud neck, the distal bud tip and to older bud scars (Fig. 28B). However, the older bud scars were randomly distributed in the $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain. This means the localization of this protein to a cellular structure, namely the bud scars, was correct but the structures themselves were mislocalized.


Figure 27: (A) Axial and bipolar budding patterns in yeast cells. Staining with the calcofluor dye permits visualization of two types of scars on the surface of yeast cells. The scar marking the place where the cell was initially attached to its mother cell (M) is called the birth scar. Smaller scars that originated by cytokinesis of the daughter cells (D) are named bud scars. Examination of the pattern of bud and/or birth scars reveals the budding pattern. The axial budding pattern is typically found in haploid cells, and is characterized by adjacent budding to the birth scar in both mother and daughter cells. Diploid cells follow a bipolar budding pattern in which daughter cells usually bud distally (that is, at the opposite pole to the birth scar), and the mother cell buds at either pole. The birth scar is represented by a curved black line, and subsequent bud scars are represented by curved white lines. (B) Localization of birth and bud scars denotes wild-type and mutant budding patterns (axial, bipolar, etc.) in yeast cells. The bipolar budding pattern naturally occurs in diploid cells. However, it can also be found in haploid cells mutated in any of the genes included in gene set I. Conversely, a diploid cell containing mutations affecting any gene in gene set II exhibits a preference for the axial budding pattern. Random, distal and proximal budding patterns can be consequences of mutation in any of the genes in gene sets III, IV, V or VI, depending on whether the cell is diploid or haploid (adapted from Casamayor and Snyder, 2002)

Since localization of Rax 2 p is dependent on Rax1p (Chen et al., 2000; Fujita et al., 2004), it is likely that also Rax 1 p is correctly localized to the bud scars in $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains.

Both $\Delta \operatorname{rax} 1 / \Delta \operatorname{rax} 1$ and $\Delta \operatorname{rax} 2 / \Delta \operatorname{rax} 2$ also display random budding patterns and Rax1p has
been shown to be required for Bud8p localization (Ni and Snyder, 2001; Fujita et al., 2004). This places them upstream of the bud site selection process. Rax 2 p is correctly localized to bud scars (which are themselves mislocalized) in $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strains. Bud8p and Bud9p are correctly localized in a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain, yet the strain shows a random budding pattern. Our results provide evidence that $B U D 7$ acts in a pathway downstream of RAX2 but upstream of BUD8 and BUD9.
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Figure 28: Localization of the inherited protein Rax 2 p required for maintenance of bipolar budding pattern is independent of the Bud7-Chs6 family. (A) Diploid wild-type and (B) $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ yeast cells expressing two chromosomal versions of Rax 2 p tagged with GFP at the C-terminus were grown in YPD containing 50 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ adenine for at least 16 h to logarithmic phase. Cells were briefly washed in water and pictures were taken immediately afterwards using the fluorescence microscope. To correlate the Rax2p-GFP signal (green) with bud scars, living cells were stained with calcofluor white (blue) and the fluorescence of GFP and calcofluor white was compared (merge). In both wild-type and $\Delta b u d 7$ cells, Rax2p-GFP co-localized with bud scars.

### 3.3.2 Discussion

Using differential Arflp affinity chromatography, we were able to identify Chs5p and Ymr237p as new interactors of Arflp-GTP. Ymr237p (Bch1p) is member of a family of four paralogous proteins in yeast. This family also includes Chs6p, Bud7p and Ykr027p (Bch2p). Both Chs5p and Chs6p have been implicated in the trafficking of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the bud neck (Santos and Snyder, 1997; Ziman et al., 1998). Bud7p is required for bipolar bud-site selection in diploid strains (Zahner et al., 1996; Ni and Snyder, 2001). Little was known about the so far uncharacterized ORFs YMR237w and YKR027w. Orthologs of Bud7-Chs6 family proteins are only found in fungi indicating a fungi-specific role for this protein family. Chs5p has already been proposed to reside on the outside of vesicles containing Chs3p (Santos and Snyder, 1997). Activated Arflp is able to recruit different components from the cytosol to the Golgi membrane to initiate vesicle formation. As Chs5p and Bch1p interacted with activated Arflp, it was tempting to speculate that Arflp would initiate budding of a special kind of post-Golgi vesicles. It is conceivable that Chs5p could be recruited from the cytosol to the Golgi membrane by Arflp. Depending on the cell's needs, different cargoes could be selected for incorporation into a vesicle and one or more members of the Bud7-Chs6 family would mediate this cargo selection process. Chs5p and the Bud7-Chs6 family would then serve at the same time as coat proteins and cargo receptors for a specialized kind of vesicles initiated by Arflp (see also Chapter 3.4).

We examined the phenotypes of different single deletion as well as of multiple deletion strains of the Bud7-Chs6 family in a systematic manner. Except for BCH 2 , we have established testable phenotypes for the different members of the Bud7-Chs6 family as well as for CHS5. Phenotypes we observed for a deletion of one member of the family were not observed for a single of any other member indicating that the proteins serve different functions and are not overlapping at a first glance. In contrast, all phenotypes observed for mutants in the Bud7-Chs6 family were also present in a $\Delta c h s 5$ strain. This indicates that Chs5p is acting upstream the Bud7-Chs6 family or downstream at a converging point. Chs5p has been shown to be required for polarized secretion of Fuslp to the distal shmoo tip during mating (Santos and Snyder, 2003). Although we observed a very dramatic mating efficiency defect in $\Delta c h s 5$ strains, this was not the case in a quadruple deletion of the Bud7-Chs6 family. Furthermore, all single deletions were able to localize Fus1p to the distal shmoo tip during mating whereas this was not the case for $\Delta c h s 5$ cells. This demonstrates an additional role for Chs5p in secretion other than mediating the actions of
the Bud7-Chs6 protein family. These results are consistent with specific functions for each member of the Bud7-Chs6 protein family and a more general function for Chs5p.

It is noteworthy at this point that in case of Fus1p trafficking, the cargo is directed to the distal tip and not to the mother-bud junction. Thus, Chs5p might be responsible for trafficking of cargo to either site; the Bud7-Chs6 family might be required for trafficking only to the mother-bud neck region. Site-specific delivery might be more critical for some cargo proteins than for others.

The calcofluor resistance phenotype of a $\Delta c h s 5$ and a $\Delta c h s 6$ strain was rescued by an additional ARF1 deletion. Valdivia et al. (2002) have proposed, that the chitin synthase Chs3p does no longer reach the plasma membrane in these strains and is instead trapped in an endosomal pool and constantly recycled back to the TGN. An additional ARF1 deletion would then block this recycling step and Chs 3 p would escape the endosomal pool and reach the plasma membrane, although not in a site-specific manner. This explains the restored calcofluor white sensitivity of the double deletion strains and the restored staining of cell wall chitin. Interestingly, in a $\Delta b u d 7$ darfl strain, the putative Bud7p cargo would also reach the plasma membrane but at no specific site and thereby the bipolar budding pattern would not be rescued because in this case it is critical that the Bud7p cargo reaches a specific site.

Although Chs6p is present in $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h l$ cells, Chs 3 p was mislocalized and the cell wall was only poorly stainable for chitin. This implies that both Chs6p and at least one of the proteins Bud7p or Bch1p are directly required for transport of Chs3p. One explanation for this is that only Chs $6 p$ is directly responsible for incorporation of Chs $3 p$. In this case, at least one protein of each sub-branch of the Bud7-Chs6 family could be essential to generate vesicles as such and the specific members could be responsible for the selection of certain cargo. In a $\Delta$ chs6 strain, the protein responsible for incorporation of Chs3p would be missing, however, vesicles could be formed. In single deletion strains of either BUD7 or $\mathrm{BCH1}$, one protein could compensate for the loss of the other. This is suggested by the ability of Bud7p to rescue a BCHI deletion under overexpression conditions. In the $\Delta b u d 7 \Delta b c h 1$ strain, Chs6p is be present, however, because both members of one Bud7Chs6 family sub-branch are missing, no vesicles are formed. This model would predict that CHS6 BCH2 double deletion should exhibit phenotypes associated with a BUD7 or a $B C H 1$ deletions. A $\Delta c h s 6 \Delta b c h 2$ strain is indeed at least partially sensitive to YMP+.

Rax1p has been shown to be required for the delivery of Rax2p through the secretory pathway (Chen et al., 2000; Fujita et al., 2004). Both proteins appear to localize to the
distal bud tip as well as the bud neck and to form rings at these sites. Both Rax1p and Rax 2 p are not required for the establishment but for the maintenance of bipolar budding pattern. They are highly stable and inherited throughout the generations to mark the sites of previous divisions. It has already been proposed that the proteins act upstream of Bud8p and Bud9p and a yet to be identified factor X may accentuate the establishment of Bud8 and Bud9 landmarks (Fujita et al., 2004). The bipolar landmark proteins Bud8p and Bud9p are correctly localized in a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain. Nevertheless, it exhibits a random budding pattern. Moreover, Rax2p, which is not required for the establishment but for the maintenance of the bipolar budding pattern, is localized correctly. This indicates that Rax 2 p alone is not sufficient to maintain the bipolar budding pattern and that therefore another factor must be involved. Furthermore, it strongly argues for BUD7 acting downstream of RAX2.
We would like to propose a refined model for the establishment of bipolar budding pattern and postulate that the yet to be identified fidelity factor X is a cargo of Bud7p-dependent vesicles destined to the bud neck (akin to Chs3p). Both Bud8p and Bud9p are landmark proteins which define the budding site and mediate downstream interaction with the general bud-site selection complex resulting in polarity establishment. At the time of cytokinesis, memory rings of Rax1p and Rax2p would form at the distal bud tip and the mother-bud neck thereby marking these cell poles for the next budding events. The fidelity factor X could be brought to the mother-bud neck in Bud7p-dependent vesicles, much in the same way as the chitin synthase Chs3p is brought to the mother-bud neck by vesicles in a Chs6p-dependent manner. There, the factor X might possibly interact with or modulate Bud8p and/or Bud9p function. The Rax $1 / 2$ memory rings could help to confine this guidance factor at this site. The factor X would then guide the new landmarks to define new budding sites in close proximity of the memory rings. In $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$, $\Delta r a x 1 / \Delta r a x l$ and $\Delta \operatorname{rax} 2 / \Delta \operatorname{tax} 2$ cells, the fidelity factor X would have no or no clear localization and the guidance for the new landmarks would be missing. This would result in a random budding pattern (or an axial-like if the axial cues are partially used) as is observed for the strains mentioned. This model also predicts a random budding pattern (or an axial-like) for $B U D 7$ BUD8 or BUD7 BUD9 double mutant strains, which is indeed the case (Zahner et al., 1996).

In conclusion, we propose that Chs5p in conjunction with the Bud7-Chs6 protein family mediates trafficking of fungi-specific post-Golgi vesicles directed to the mother bud neck.

The chitin synthase Chs3p is one cargo whose incorporation is ensured by Chs6p. A yet to be identified fidelity factor required for the maintenance of bipolar budding pattern might be incorporated by Bud7p and transported to the mother-bud junction. Bch1p would transport a factor required for growth on YMP+ and also Bch2p is likely to transport an unknown factor to this site.

### 3.4 Biochemical analysis of the Bud7-Chs6 cargo receptor protein family in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

### 3.4.1 Results

### 3.4.1.1 Chromosomal epitope tagging of the Bud7-Chs6 family members

In Chapter 3.3, we described the characterization of the Bud7-Chs6 family on a genetic level. The involvement of Chs6p and at least either Bud7p or Bch1p in the trafficking of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the mother-bud neck suggested a possible role of the Bud7Chs6 family as cargo receptors in Arflp-dependent post-Golgi traffic. In order to be able to analyze the functions of Bud7-Chs6 family proteins on a biochemical level, we decided to epitope tag each family member. Antisera raised against the proteins were problematic due to cross-reactivity between the family members. We were unable to raise specific antisera for a single member. To obtain functional fusion proteins at endogenous expression levels, we chromosomally tagged the proteins at the C-terminus with different epitope tags and tested the resulting fusion proteins for their functionality (Table 17). Strains provided by Robert Gauss are indicated in Chapter 2.7.

Table 17: Analysis of the functionality of C-terminal chromosomal epitope tags of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins.

| Chs6p |  | Bud7p |  | Bch1p |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag | Phenotype | Tag | Phenotype | Tag | Phenotype |
| none | - | none | bipolar budding | none | - |
| 9 myc | - | 9 myc | bipolar budding | 3 myc |  |
| Prot A | - | 6HA | bipolar budding | 9 myc | not applicable |
| GST | - |  |  | 3HA | - |
| yEGFP | - |  |  | 2AU5 | - |
| 6 HA | ts, resistant to |  |  | GST | sensitive to |
| 3VSV-6His | calcofluor white |  |  |  | YMP+, slow growth at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | ts, resistant to |  |  | yEGFP | sensitive to |
|  | calcofluor white |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YMP+, slow } \\ & \text { growth at } 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3VSV-6His | sensitive to |
|  |  |  |  |  | YMP+, slow <br> growth at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

A CHS6 deletion renders the yeast cells temperature-sensitive and resistant to calcofluor white (a chitin binding dye). This was also observed for 6HA and 3VSV-6His tags
indicating that these tags lead to non-functional proteins. However, we obtained functional Chs6p fusions for 9 myc , Protein A, GST and GFP. The protein expression level was, however, too low for visualization of the GFP fusion by fluorescence microscopy. Homozygous diploid $\Delta b u d 7$ strains bud in a random fashion unlike wild-type diploid yeast cells which bud in a bipolar manner. Both homozygous diploid strains with Bud7p-6HA and Bud7p-9myc exhibited a bipolar budding pattern suggesting that both of these Bud7p fusions were functional. C-terminal fusions of GST, GFP or 3VSV-6His to Bch1p resulted in strains sensitive to YMP+ and in slow growth at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was the same phenotype observed in BCH 1 deletion strains. In contrast, Bch1p fused to 3myc, 3HA or 2AU5 gave no detectable phenotype. The Bchlp-9myc fusion was impossible to score since this construct uses the K. lactis TRP1 marker. We noticed that the TRP1-gene alone was able to rescue both the slow growth and the sensitivity to YMP+, thereby compromising the assessment of the functionality of the fusion protein. The reason for this behavior is unknown, although not without precedence. It has been reported before that TRP1 and related genes are able to suppress cold-sensitive phenotypes (Hampsey, 1997). However, biochemical data discussed below strongly suggest that also the Bch1p-9myc fusion is functional. A deletion of BCH 2 resulted in no detectable phenotype. Therefore, the functionality of Bch2p fusion was not scorable. In our experiments, we used 9myc (which worked for the other three family members) and also 3HA (which is a rather small tag, minimizing unfavorable effects). In cases in which we employed chromosomally tagged Chs5p, we used Chs5p-6HA. The corresponding strain exhibited no phenotype unlike the CHS5 deletion, indicating that Chs5p-6HA is functional. In conclusion, we successfully constructed a set of strains with functional epitope tagged proteins expressed at endogenous levels.

### 3.4.1.2 A short deletion of the C-terminus renders Bud7-Chs6 family proteins nonfunctional

During the construction of the epitope tagged strains, we obtained two clones of Chs6p9 myc , which were both positive as judged by analytical colony-PCR. To our surprise, one clone behaved like wild-type whereas the other was both temperature-sensitive and calcofluor white resistant, which is identical to the phenotype of a $\Delta c h s 6$ strain. Hence, we sequenced the C-terminus of CHS6 in these strains and found that the non-functional fusion clone had a frame-shift resulting in a stop codon just after that frame-shift. The resulting protein had lost the last 13 amino acids at the C-terminus. To exploit the
importance of the C-terminus, we constructed strains in which a short peptide at the Cterminus of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins is replaced by a 9myc epitope (or the 3HA epitope in case of Bch1p to circumvent the TRP1 marker problem mentioned above) (Fig. 29A). As expected, the CHS6 $6 c-9 m y c$ strain showed the features of a $\Delta c h s 6$ strain, temperature-sensitivity and calcofluor white resistance (Fig. 29B). The BCH1 $\Delta c-3 H A$ strain was sensitive to YMP+ and grew slowly at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, both features are hallmarks of a $\Delta b c h 1$ strain (Fig. 29B). A diploid BUD74c-9myc/BUD74c-9myc strain budded in a random fashion (like a $\Delta b u d 7 / \Delta b u d 7$ strain) (Fig. 29C). The C-terminal deleted proteins


Figure 29: The C-terminus of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins is essential for their function. (A) Protein sequence alignment of the C-terminus of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins. The last 13 amino acids of Chs6p were deleted and replaced by 9 myc . The C-termini of the other three family members were deleted and replaced by epitope tags according to this alignment. A dashed line indicates the site of deletion. (B) Growth of the C-terminal deleted strains as compared to wild-type and full-deletions on different plates and different conditions. Haploid strains were grown overnight in rich medium to logarithmic phase. After adjusting the cell concentrations, serial dilutions (1:10) were dropped on various plates and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days unless otherwise indicated. Note that the CHS6 $4 c-9 m y c$ strain grew even faster than the wild-type on YMP+ plates because of the concomitantly introduced TRP1 marker. (C) Budding pattern of a homozygous diploid BUD74c-9myc strain. Diploid yeast strains were grown for at least 16 h to logarithmic phase. After fixation with formaldehyde, the cells were stained with calcofluor white to visualize the bud scars and were observed under the fluorescence microscope.
were expressed and stable since the proteins were still detected with the same efficiency as the epitope-tagged wild-type versions in lysates (Fig. 30 A-D, compare lane 1 and 3). Only in the case of Bch2p $\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ was the protein level lower, most likely because this strain contained the longest deletion (Fig. 29A). This means that short deletions at the C-termini resulted in the same phenotypes as the corresponding complete deletions. The fact that Cterminal truncated versions of Chs6p with and without epitope tag resulted in the corresponding deletion phenotype indicates that the effects are not just due to altered protein structure imposed by the epitope tag. These experiments imply that short sequences at the C-terminus of these proteins are essential for protein function (and in the case of Bch2p also for protein stability). Because the non-functional proteins were still expressed, the C-terminal deleted strains served as valuable tools in the course of further experiments.

### 3.4.1.3 All Bud7-Chs6 family members interact with Arflp and Chs5p

We reported that Bchlp interacts physically with the activated form of Arflp and that both $\mathrm{BCH1}$ and $\mathrm{CHS6}$ genetically interact with $\mathrm{ARF1}$ (Chapter 3.3). Furthermore, the functions of the Bud7-Chs6 family seemed to be strongly linked to CHS5. Hence, we wanted to study the interactions between Arflp, Chs5p and all members of the Bud7-Chs6 family biochemically. To this end, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments with affinity-purified $\alpha$ Arflp-IgGs in different strains. As shown in Fig. 30 (A-D, lane 5), Chs6p-9myc, Bud7p-9myc, Bch1p-9myc and Bch2p-9myc were co-immmunoprecipitated with $\alpha$ Arflp-IgGs. Neither the deletion of CHS5 (Fig. 30, A-D, lane 7) nor the deletion of the three remaining members of the Bud7-Chs6 family (Fig. 30, A-D, lane 9) had an impact on the precipitation efficiency. However, the short deletion of the C-terminus increased the amount of protein which was precipitated in the case of Chs6p, Bud7p, and Bch1p (Fig. 30, A-C, lane 8). As already mentioned, Bch2p $\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ resulted in lower protein concentration, therefore it was prudent not to draw conclusions from this data. Since Bchlp interacted with Arflp-GTP, it is reasonable to assume that also the other members might preferably interact with the activated Arflp-form. Most of the cellular Arflp is present in the inactive GDP-form: this explains why the recovery of Arflp-GTP associated proteins is so small. In conclusion, all members of the Bud7-Chs6 family interact independently with Arflp and neither their complete C-terminus nor Chs5p are required for this interaction.
We found previously by genetic means that Chs5p is either an upstream regulator or a downstream converging point of the Bud7-Chs6 family (Chapter 3.3). To study the
physical relationship of Chs5p and the Bud7-Chs6 family, we performed coimmunoprecipitations with Chs5p antiserum (Fig. 30). Again, the four proteins of the Bud7-Chs6 family were co-precipitated (Fig. 30, A-D, lane 10). The deletion of the three remaining members of the Bud7-Chs6 family did not change significantly the precipitation efficiency (Fig. 30, A-D, lane 13). However, Chs $6 \mathrm{p} \Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ and Bch1p $\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ were not co-precipitated (Fig. 30, A-B, lane12). The amount of precipitated Bud7p $\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ was only slightly reduced. As observed before, no clear judgment for Bch $2 \mathrm{p} \Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc}$ could be made because of the low protein level. Most importantly, however, these experiments provide evidence that the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family bind independently from each


Figure 30: The Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with Arflp and Chs5p. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed using strains in which the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins were chromosomally tagged with 9 myc. In addition to wild-type and $\Delta c h s 5$, we analyzed strains in which the C-terminus of the proteins was replaced by $9 \mathrm{myc}(\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc})$ and strains in which the remaining members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were deleted $(\Delta \Delta \Delta)$. The lysates were treated with either affinity purified $\alpha$ Arflp-IgGs or $\alpha$ Chs5p antiserum and Protein A-Sepharose. The precipitate was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies directed against the myc epitope. Analysis of myc tagged strains of CHS6, BUD7, BCH , and BCH 2 in (A), (B), (C), and (D), respectively. $1.7 \%$ of the lysates used for immunoprecipitations was loaded in lane 1.
other to Chs5p and that their C-terminus is required for this interaction. Furthermore, the fact that a short deletion of the C-terminus leads to non-functional proteins can now be related to the inability to interact with Chs5p.

### 3.4.1.4 The Bud7-Chs6 family members interact with each other

The co-immunoprecipitation experiments could be interpreted in a way that both Arflp and Chs5p form individual complexes with each member of the Bud7-Chs6 family. However, we had also found genetic interactions within the Bud7-Chs6 family. Furthermore, the protein expression levels within the family seemed tightly regulated (Chapter 3.3). For instance, simple overexpression of Chs6p was not able to restore calcofluor white sensitivity of a $\Delta c h s 6$ strain. In addition, both Chs6p as well as either Bud7p or Bch1p were essential for trafficking of the chitin synthase Chs3p to the plasma membrane in the mother-bud neck. We therefore also considered the possibility that members of the Bud7Chs6 family interact with each other.


Figure 31: The Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with each other. A quadruply tagged strain along with control strains were subjected to immunoprecipitation. The precipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies directed against all four different epitope tags. Precipitation with $\alpha A U 5$ and with $\alpha$ myc-IgGs are shown. $1.7 \%$ of the lysates used for immunoprecipiations were loaded in lanes 1,2 , and 5-7.

To test this possibility, we constructed a quadruply tagged strain. Chs 6 p was fused to GFP, Bud7p appended with 9myc, Bch1p carried a double AU5 epitope and Bch2p was tagged with 3 HA . The resulting strain behaved like the corresponding wild-type strain again indicating that all chromosomal fusions were functional. Again, co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed. When polyclonal AU5 antibodies were used for precipitation, all the three other members of the Bud7-Chs6 family could be detected (Fig. 31, lane 3). This was not the case in control strains lacking the AU5 tag (Fig. 31, lane 4). A similar result was obtained when Bud7p-9myc was precipitated with monoclonal myc antibodies (Fig. 31). Again, Bch2p, Chs6p and Bch1p were detected in the precipitate by the use of their epitope tags (Fig. 31, lane 7). The signals were absent when control lysates lacking the myc-epitope were used (Fig. 31, lane 8 and 9). Similar results were obtained for co-immunoprecipitations with monoclonal HA antibodies and polyclonal GFP antibodies (data not shown). The intensities of the signals of the precipitations suggest that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins form complexes with variable stoichiometries. In conlcusion, these experiments demonstrate that the four members of the Bud7-Chs6 family are not only able to interact with Arf1p and Chs5p but also with each other.

### 3.4.1.5 Analysis of native complexes by Blue Native PAGE

We have established previously that apart from Chs6p, the presence of either Bud7p or Bch1p is required for transport of Chs3p to the plasma membrane. We had therefore proposed that the Bud7-Chs6 family might act as cargo receptors. For the p24 cargo receptor family, it has been shown that their members are able to form homo- and heteromers and that the oligomerization state might contribute to cargo sorting function (Gommel et al., 1999). Because the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were able to interact not only with Arflp and Chs5p but also with each other, we wondered if a similar mode of action might also apply to the Bud7-Chs6 family.
One suitable way to look at native protein complexes is Blue Native PAGE (Schagger, 2001). This technique is used to separate native protein complexes according to their apparent molecular weight. In order to study the native complexes of the Bud7-Chs6 family, we prepared lysates from different strains in which the individual members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were tagged with 9myc. These lysates were subjected to Blue Native PAGE and analyzed by immunoblot (Fig. 32). The strongest signal for Chs6p was detected in a form migrating with an apparent molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa which could represent either the monomeric or dimeric form of Chs6p (Fig. 32A). In addition,

Chs6p was present in a complex of high apparent molecular weight of around 400-500 kDa , visible as a smear. Upon deletion of CHS5, all Chs6p was converted to the low molecular weight species. A similar result was obtained when the C-terminus was deleted. Yet, a high molecular weight smear persisted that migrated faster than in wild-type. However, deletion of the three other Bud7-Chs6 family members resulted in a behavior comparable to that from wild-type lysate. A similar pattern was observed for Bch2p (Fig. 32B). Bch2p was present in both a high- and low molecular weight forms. The deletion of
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Figure 32: The members of the Bud7-Chs6 family form lower and higher molecular weight complexes. Lysates were prepared from different strains in which the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins were chromosomally tagged with 9 myc . In addition to wild-type and $\Delta c h s 5$, we analyzed strains in which the C-terminus of the proteins was replaced by $9 \mathrm{myc}(\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc})$ and strains in which the remaining members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were deleted $(\Delta \Delta \Delta)$. The lysates were separated by Blue-Native-PAGE and the protein complexes were blotted onto a PVDF-membrane which was decorated with antibodies directed against the myc epitope. Analysis of myc tagged strains of CHS6, BCH2, BUD7, and $\mathrm{BCH1}$ are shown in (A), (B), (C) and (D), respectively.

CHS5 converted all Bch2p to the low molecular weight species. Because of the low protein level in the $\mathrm{BCH} 2 \Delta c-9 m y c$ strain, no protein signal was detected. Triple deletion of the remaining Bud7-Chs6 family members did not change mobilities as compared to wild-type lysates. Bud7p was present in low-, medium and high molecular weight species (Fig. 32C).

Only the deletion of CHS5 had a major impact on electrophoretic mobility. In this case, Bud7p was converted to a fast migrating species. Bch1p, in contrast, displayed different characteristics (Fig. 32D). Here, in wild-type cells and in cells in which the other members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were deleted, only a fast migrating species was evident. Surprisingly, this was altered upon deletion of CHS5 which decreased electrophoretic mobility. This was even reinforced in a $B C H 1 \Delta c-9 m y c$ strain in which Bch1p migrated also in a high-molecular weight complex.
In conclusion, Chs6p, Bud7p, and Bch2p are present in low-molecular weight forms and high-molecular weight complexes at steady-state in cells grown under standard conditions. The electrophoretic mobility of these high molecular weight forms is consistent with a complex of at least three additional members of the Bud7-Chs6 family. The unaltered mobilities in triple deletion strains are an indication that the complexes do not represent obligate hetero-multimers. This is dramatically changed in $\Delta c h s 5$ strains, in which Chs 6 p , Bud7p, and Bch 2 p are only present in low-molecular weight species indicating that Chs5p is required for complex formation or stability. The inability of a single member of Chs6p, Bud7p, and Bch2p to bind to Chs5p does not prevent complex formation and interation with other proteins as is evident from analysis of the C-terminal deleted strains.
In contrast to that, most of Bch1p is present in a low-molecular weight form. This indicates that most of Bch1p is not part of a multimeric complex in wild-type cells under standard conditions. However, deletion of CHS5 or the C-terminus of Bch1p drive complex formation. This was particularly noticeable in the C-terminal deletion. These complexes looked very reminiscent to those of Bud7p. Strikingly, the complexes of the Bud7-Chs6 family had different molecular weights reflecting the possibility that the members might interact with different cargo molecules.

### 3.4.1.6 Intracellular distribution of the Bud7-Chs6 family is dependent on Chs 5 p

We wanted to determine if the interactions we detected biochemically would be also reflected in the intracellular distribution of the Bud7-Chs6 family. It has been reported that activated Arflp resides on Golgi membranes (Stearns et al., 1990b). Furthermore, it has been shown that Chs5p is associated with the TGN (Santos and Snyder, 1997). As the whole Bud7-Chs6 family is able to interact with Chs5p and (activated) Arflp, we expected the Bud7-Chs6 family also to localize to the Golgi. To investigate the localization of the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family, we performed immunofluorescence on strains in which the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were tagged with 9myc. For comparison, these
strains also contained a chromosomal SEC7-GFP, which was used as a marker of the TGN. As expected, both Chs6p and Bud7p localized to the TGN (co-localization with Sec7pGFP) as well as to punctate structures in wild-type cells (Fig. 33). In contrast, Bch1p exhibited a very diffuse staining throughout the cytoplasm and only Bch 2 p was exclusively localized to the TGN. Whereas Chs6p, Bud7p and Bch2p appeared to be expressed to about the same level, Bch1p was expressed noticeably higher. This was evident from immunofluorescence (the exposure time was much shorter for Bch1p) as well as from earlier results of immoblots of the lysates (data not shown). However, all members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were at least partially localized to the TGN (Fig. 33, merge).


Figure 33: All Bud7-Chs6 family members are at least partially localized to the TGN. The Bud7-Chs6 family members were chromosomally tagged with 9myc and Sec 7 p with GFP. Strains were grown overnight in rich medium in logarithmic phase. Cells were fixed in formaldehyde and stained with anti-myc and antiGFP antibodies. The fluorescent dye Cy3 was used for visualization of myc-tagged proteins (first column), whereas $\operatorname{Sec} 7 \mathrm{p}$ was visualized by fluorescein (second column). The merge of the two fluorecence channels is shown in the third column.

Since the interaction of the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family with Chs5p is essential for protein function, we analyzed the distribution in suitable deletion strains. The deletion of CHS5 had a dramatic impact on both expression levels as well as localization of the Bud7Chs6 family members. In $\Delta c h s 5$ strains, Bud7p is up- and Bch1p downregulated, both evident in immunofluorescence (Fig. 34A, first column) and immunoblots (Fig. 30B and C, lanes 1 and 2). Chs6p, Bud7p and Bch2p lost their partial or complete TGN-localization and showed a diffuse staining. As expected, the deletion of the C-terminus of the Bud7Chs6 family proteins had a similar effect like the CHS5 deletion for Chs6p, Bud7p and Bch 2 p (Fig. 34A, second column). The impact on the localization of Bch 1 p was generally hard to score because of the already very diffuse staining of this protein in wild-type cells. These experiments provide further evidence that Chs 5 p as well as the C -terminus of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins is required for either recruitment to or stabilization of the Bud7-Chs6 family at the TGN. Localization to the TGN might therefore be a prerequisite for protein function.

We next investigated the influence of the Bud7-Chs6 family on the localization of each other by performing immunofluorescence in triple deletion strains (Fig. 34A, third column). The most dramatic effect was observed for Chs6p, which showed a punctate staining. The TGN-localization was not completely lost for Bud7p and Bch 2 p retained TGN-staining. Generally, the localization of the Bud7-Chs6 family members was less dependent on each other than on Chs5p and the C-terminus of the proteins.

In contrast, the Bud7-Chs6 family has no effect on the localization of Chs5p. In Fig. 34B, we show an immunofluorescence of Chs5p-6HA cells. Chs5p displayed a localization corresponding to the TGN. The deletion of the whole Bud7-Chs6 family did not affect the localization of Chs5p to any greater extent (Fig. 34B). This demonstrates that Chs5p localizes to the TGN independently of the Bud7-Chs6 family.



Chs5p-6HA Chs5p-6HA
$\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$
Figure 34: Changes in intracellular distribution of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins and Chs5p as determined by immunofluorescence. The strains were grown overnight in rich medium into logarithmic phase. Cells were fixed in formaldehyde and incubated with HA or myc antibodies. The fluorescent dye Cy 3 coupled to a secondary antibody was used for visualization of the proteins. The same exposure time as in Figure 33 was used for each protein within one row. (A) Immunofluorescence of CHS5-deleted strains in which the Bud7Chs6 family proteins were chromosomally tagged with 9 myc (first column). The second column shows strains in which the C-terminus of the proteins were replaced by $9 \mathrm{myc}(\Delta \mathrm{c}-9 \mathrm{myc})$. The third column shows strains in which the remaining members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were deleted ( $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ ). (B) Chs5p-6HA staining in wild-type cells and in cells in which the whole Bud7-Chs6 family was deleted $(\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta)$.

### 3.4.1.7 Vesicle association

The Bud7-Chs6 family members and the p24 family members have been proposed to act as cargo receptors (Chapter 3.3; Belden and Barlowe, 1996). The latter ones are cycling between ER and Golgi and are an integral part of COPI- and COPII- coated vesicles. A direct interaction with cargo proteins was demonstrated in later studies (Muniz et al., 2000). All members of the Bud7-Chs6 family are soluble proteins but for each of them a membrane-associated pool exists and they are all at least partially localized at the TGN. Therefore, a "cycling" could be achieved by membrane association and dissociation events. Similar to the p24-family, the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins are able to associate into higher molecular weight complexes. An important consideration is whether the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins become associated with vesicles. To address this issue, we employed a Golgi-budding assay. This in vitro assay has been used successfully in the studies of COPIcoated vesicle generation (Spang and Schekman, 1998). Enriched Golgi membranes were incubated with cytosol, GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ and an energy regeneration system. By this procedure, COPI-coated and other vesicles were formed. These vesicles can be separated from the Golgi membranes by a sedimentation centrifugation. The vesicle peak was collected and further enriched by a flotation based on coated membrane buoyant density. Using this purification scheme, vesicles were highly enriched, because contaminating particles would have to show the same behavior on a sedimentation gradient as well as sharing the same buoyant density. The result of such an experiment is shown in Fig. 35. Golgi membranes and cytosol from a strain containing only tagged members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were used. The fractions of the flotation step were analyzed by immunoblot. As observed before, this procedure resulted in COPI-coated vesicles which are marked by the vesicular transmembrane cargo Emp47 peaking in fractions $4-7$. Bud7p-9myc and Bch2p-3HA were detected in fractions $3-5$. This is a strong indication for vesicle association since only proteins associated with lipids are able to float in this gradient during the centrifugation. Most of the signal remained in the load of the gradient, which is expected for peripherally associated proteins. For Chs6p-GFP and Bch1p-2AU5, we obtained a signal only in the fractions corresponding to the load of the gradient (data not shown). However, this might be due to detection problems and does not formally exclude the possibility that these proteins were associated with vesicles. This experiment demonstrates that at least Bud7p and Bch2p, both members of different sub-branches of the Bud7-Chs6 family, are associated with vesicles derived from Golgi membranes. Moreover, these vesicles are distinct from COPI-coated vesicles, which peak in denser fractions.


Figure 35: Bud7p and Bch2p are present on Golgi derived vesicles. Golgi membranes and cytosol were prepared from a strain in which all members of the Bud7-Chs6 were chromosomally tagged with different epitopes. Vesicles were generated from these Golgi membranes in the presence of GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ and cytosol. The vesicles were separated from the Golgi membranes and subsequently further purified by flotation on a Nycodenz gradient. Fractions were collected from the top, separated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblot. The arrows indicate the direction of movement of lipid particles within the gradient. Nonmembrane associated proteins remain in the load at the bottom of the gradient. The COPI-vesicle cargo protein Emp47p peaks in fractions $4-7$, whereas both Bud7p and Bch2p peak in fractions $3-5$. For comparison, Golgi membranes derived from wild-type and a strain in which the whole Bud7-Chs6 family is deleted was also loaded on the gel.

### 3.4.2 Discussion

The finding that Chs5p and Bch1p both interacted with the activated form of Arflp was the starting point for a series of experiments investigating the function of the Bud7-Chs6 family both on a genetic as well as a biochemical level. We showed that ARF1 genetically interacts with members of the Bud7-Chs6 family and also with CHS5. CHS5 acts as an upstream regulator or a downstream converging point of the Bud7-Chs6 family as determined by genetics. We had proposed that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins are involved in Arflp-dependent post-Golgi transport steps of fungi-specific cargo molecules like the chitin synthase Chs3p to the mother-bud neck (Chapter 3.3). In this chapter, we tried to corroborate the notion that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins act as cargo receptors .

To study the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins biochemically we decided to construct a set of strains in which the Bud7-Chs6 family members are chromosomally tagged. This was necessary because raising antisera against individual members of the Bud7-Chs6 family were unsuccessful since the antisera cross-reacted due to the high similarity of these proteins (unpublished observation). We paid particular attention not to interfere with the physiological function of the proteins. Therefore, all protein fusions were tagged at the Cterminus on the chromosome in order to keep endogenous protein expression levels. Moreover, all protein fusions strains were tested intensively for functionality and compared to wild-type and deletion strains.

In the course of these experiments we realized that a short deletion at the C-terminus of the proteins renders the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins non-functional. This was of particular interest since the proteins were still present at wild-type levels (except for Bch2p $\Delta \mathrm{c}$ ). The strains exhibited the same phenotype as the complete deletion independent of an additional epitope tag. This implies that a short sequence at the C-terminus is required for protein function (and in the case of $\operatorname{Bch} 2 \mathrm{p} \Delta \mathrm{c}$ also for protein stability).

We were able to show a physical interaction of all Bud7-Chs6 family members with Arflp by co-immunoprecipitation experiments. At least for Bch1p, it was shown that it predominantly interacts with Arflp-GTP. Based on this fact, it is a reasonable assumption that also the other members of the Bud7-Chs6 family might interact with activated Arflp, which is localizes to the Golgi apparatus (Stearns et al., 1990b). This is consistent with the observation that all Bud7-Chs6 family members are at least partially localized to the TGN. The interaction of one specific member of the Bud7-Chs6 family with Arf1p was independent on the presence of Chs 5 p or the any other family members. In addition, the C terminal sequence was not required for binding to Arflp. On the contrary, the interaction
with Arflp was even more pronounced in this case. These results would point to a regulatory function of the C-terminal sequence. Alternatively, the amount of precipitable protein might have been increased because some other protein-protein interaction was abolished.
All Bud7-Chs6 family members interacted physically with Chs5p. The interaction of one specific Bud7-Chs6 family member was again not dependent on the presence of any other member. Most importantly, the C-terminuis was required for binding to Chs5p, which was clearly evident for at least Chs6p and Bch1p. The fact that strains with short deletions of the C-terminus behaved like the complete deletion can now be related to the inability to interact with Chs5p. A CHS5 deletion combines all the phenotypes so far described for the single deletion of the Bud7-Chs6 family. This means that Chs5p binding is essential for mediating the function of each single Bud7-Chs6 family member.
We already realized during the genetic analysis of the Bud7-Chs6 family that some genes are more tightly linked than others. For instance, Bud7p and Bch1p were able to substitute for each other under overexpression conditions whereas this was not the case for Chs6p and Bch2p. In addition, a CHS6 deletion was calcofluor white resistant, a phenotype we did not observe for the single deletions of $\mathrm{BUD7} 7$ and BCH 1 . Unexpectedly, the double deletion of BUD7 $\mathrm{BCH1}$ was also calcofluor resistant. We therefore considered the possibility that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins would act in (facultative) pairs: Bud7pBch1p would be one pair and Chs6p-Bch2p would be the other pair. These two pairs correspond to the two sub-branches of the Bud7-Chs6 family. Hence, we tested for a physical interaction of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins which each other. Coimmunoprecipiation experiments suggested that all four members are able to physically interact with each other. These results are consistent with data obtained by Blue Native PAGE analysis which showed that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins exist in high molecular weight complexes. Whether in all cases all four proteins are involved remains unclear. One possibility might be that a complex is formed containing at least one member of each subfamily. This would mean that not in all complexes all members of the Bud7-Chs6 family are taking part. Rather, the precise constitution of the complex could be adjusted to the cell's needs.

Arflp and Chs5p have been shown to localize to the Golgi-apparatus. We were able to show by immunofluorescence that Chs5p is still localized to the TGN in the absence of the whole Bud7-Chs6 family. This was not unexpected since Chs5p is also involved in other trafficking events apart from Bud7-Chs6 family related pathways: for instance, the
polarized localization of the cell fusion factor Fus1p during mating (Chapter 3.3; Santos and Snyder, 2003). Based on the results from the co-immunoprecipitation, one could expect that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins would localize to the TGN. However, this was not the case. Bch2p was the only member with an exclusive TGN localization and, in contrast, Bch1p showed a very diffuse staining. Chs6p and Bud7p localized to the TGN but additionally displayed a punctate staining. This punctate staining might correspond to e.g. chitosomes, a specialized endosomal compartment, through which the chitin synthase Chs3p is traveling in a Chs6p dependent manner. This might be an indication that Chs6p and Bud7p are also needed for a second transport step at this site of the cell or that the Bch1p and Bch2p have a faster dynamics. However, all four Bud7-Chs6 family members exhibited at least partial TGN localization. Upon deletion of CHS5 or deletion of the Cterminus required for binding to Chs5p, the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins lost their localization at the TGN (although not evident for Bch1p). This demonstrates that Chs5p is required for recruitment and/or stabilization of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins at the TGN. These results are consistent with the analysis of protein complexes by Blue Native PAGE. In wild-type cells, the Chs 6 p, Bud7p and Bch2p are present in low- and high molecular weight forms. The high molecular weight form might correspond to a "priming complex" forming at the TGN. Deletion of CHS5 converts the high-molecular weight species to lowmolecular weight species. This would indicate that Chs5p is needed for the formation or stability of this priming complex. Deletion of the C-terminus results in preservation or a slight shift in the high-molecular weight species (Bud7p and Chs6p). Although the ability to interact with Chs5p is lost, other members interacting with the C-terminal deleted form might help to stabilize the priming complex.

Bch 1 p seems to be an exception - every family has its black sheep. Whereas Chs6p, Bud7p and Bch 2 p are expressed to about the same level, Bch1p is expressed to much higher extent. The intracellular distribution also differs; it is the only member of the Bud7-Chs6 family showing a very diffuse staining. Also, most of Bchlp is not part of a "priming complex" as determined by Blue Native PAGE but is present as low-molecular weight form. Surprisingly, this changes upon deletion of CHS5 or the C-terminus of Bch1p. This could mean that Bchlp is for the most part under the conditions tested not involved in generating vesicles but only included according to the cell's needs. A deletion of CHS5 might provoke compensation of the cell and the distribution and interaction of the Bud7Chs6 family proteins might be changed accordingly. Alternatively, Chs5p might have a negatively regulatory role for the incorporation of Bch1p into complexes.

We also tested if the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins would become part of vesicles derived from the Golgi-apparatus. We were able to show a vesicle association for at least Bud7p and Bch2p. The corresponding vesicles have a lower density than COPI-coated vesicles, which were visualized by the cargo molecule Emp47p. We did not detect Bch1p or Chs6p on these vesicles. However, this might be a detection problem and does not exclude that these proteins are also vesicle-associated. Alternatively, they could associate with other kinds of vesicles that were not revealed in this specific experiment. Further experiments are required to resolve this issue.
We speculate that activated Arflp is recruiting Chs5p and the Bud7-Chs6 family members to the TGN. There, Chs5p is needed for stabilization of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins. Such a complex would be consistent with the priming complex model proposed by Springer et al. (1999), in which coat proteins and cargo receptors are together in one complex. It has already been proposed that Chs5p might act as a coat protein (Santos and Snyder, 1997). This would happen for Bud7-Chs6 family-dependent (like Chs3p) and independent vesicular traffic (like Fus1p). In case Chs5p is the coat protein, a deletion of CHS5 would result in no vesicle production. The Bud7-Chs6 family proteins might serve as cargo receptors. Thus, in the absence of one specific member of the Bud7-Chs6 family vesicles would be formed but certain cargo molecules like the chitin synthase Chs3p would not be incorporated. These cargo receptors complexes could be of a modular nature. Depending on the cell's needs, different amounts of cargo receptors would be recruited for vesicle formation. It is conceivable that one protein of the Bud7-Chs6 family is not sufficient but it might require the assistance of other members of the Bud7-Chs6 family members to efficiently recruit cargo molecules. This is of course speculative and other modes of actions of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins are also conceivable.
We proposed that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins act as cargo receptors. This notion is corroborated by the following observations: (i) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with Arflp. In case of Bch1p, it was shown that it predominantly interacts with activated Arflp. Arflp is itself required for the formation of different post-Golgi vesicles. (ii) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with Chs5p which has been suggested to reside on the outside of vesicles. The interaction with Chs5p is required for protein funtion. Chs5p also interacts with activated Arf1p. (iii) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins at least partially localize to the TGN. At least Bud7p and Bch2p become associated with vesicles derived from Golgi membranes. (iv) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with each other. They are able to form higher molecular weight complexes, a feature which is also observed for the
p24 family of cargo receptors (v) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins seem to be required for trafficking of specific cargo molecules. It has been shown that Chs6p is required for trafficking of Chs3p but not of the closely related Chs1p (Ziman et al., 1998). (vi) the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins exist in membrane-bound and soluble pools. A "cycling" as cargo receptors could be achieved by membrane association and dissociation events. The coatomer complex (recruited by Arflp) as well as Sec24p (recruited by Sarlp) are examples of soluble cargo receptors for COPI and COPII vesicles, respectively (vii) the knockout of the whole Bud7-Chs6 family does not display a deleterious phenotype. Similarly, the complete knockout of the whole p24 family in yeast displays a rather subtle phenotype (Springer et al., 2000).

This work described here implicates the members of the Bud7-Chs6 family as vesicluar cargo receptors. Valuable tools have been generated that will facilitate more extensive exploration of the molecular involvement of Chs5p and the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins in vesicle generation and cargo-recruitment in the future.

## 4 Summary

The small GTPase Arf1 is a crucial regulator of vesicle formation at many steps of the secretory pathway in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae as well as in higher eukaryotes. Currently best understood is the role of Arf1 in the formation of COPI-coated vesicles at different levels of the Golgi apparatus. In addition, there is growing evidence of Arf1 being involved in actin cytoskeleton rearrangements as well as in lipid metabolism. The variety of already known regulators and effectors of Arf1 is, however, still insufficient to explain the multiple functions of the same molecule at different cellular locations. Furthermore, it is likely that new Arf1-dependent pathways await discovery in both yeast and mammals. In this study, a differential affinity chromatography approach was used to identify new interactors of Arflp from Saccharomyces cerevisiae cytosol. One of the new interactors of activated Arflp that was identified was the polyA-binding protein Pablp, which binds to the polyA-tail of mRNA. Pab1p was found to associate with purified COPI-coated vesicles generated from Golgi membranes in vitro. The stability of the Arflp-Pablp complex depends on the presence of mRNA. Both symmetrically distributed mRNAs as well as the asymmetrically distributed ASH1 mRNA are found in association with Arflp. Remarkably, Arflp and Pab1p are both required to restrict ASH1 mRNA to the bud tip. Arflp and coatomer play an unexpected role in localizing mRNA independent and downstream of the SHE machinery. Hereby acts the SHE machinery in long-range mRNA transport while COPI vesicles could act as short-range localization vehicles. The ER-Golgi shuttle might be involved in concentrating mRNA at the ER.

Other interactors of activated Arf1p identified were Chs5p and Bch1p, a member of the Bud7-Chs6 family. In this study, I showed that all members of this new and previously uncharacterized fungi-specific protein family physically interact with Arflp and Chs5p. Moreover, the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins interact with one another and form higher molecular weight complexes. In addition, they are all at least partially localized to the trans-Golgi network. Most importantly, at least Bud7p and Bch2p are found on vesicles generated from Golgi membranes in vitro. The Bud7-Chs6 family is required for trafficking of specific cargo molecules like the chitin synthase Chs3p from the trans-Golginetwork to the mother-bud neck and plays a role in bud-site selection. These functions of the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins converge in Chs5p. Finally, I propose that the Bud7-Chs6 family proteins act as cargo receptors and are involved in Arflp-dependent post-Golgi transport steps of fungi-specific cargo molecules directed to the mother-bud neck.

## 5 Zusammenfassung

Die kleine GTPase Arf1 ist ein entscheidender Regulator bei der Vesikelbildung an vielen Stellen des sekretorischen Wegs in der Hefe S. cerevisiae und ebenso in höheren Eukaryoten. Momentan ist die Funktion von Arf1 bei der Bildung von COPI-Vesikeln am besten charakterisiert. Es gibt aber auch immer mehr Hinweise darauf, dass Arf1 an der Umbildung des Actin-Cytoskeletts beteiligt ist und ebenso den Lipid-Metabolismus beeinflusst. Die große Vielfalt an schon bekannten Interaktoren von Arfl ist jedoch immer noch nicht ausreichend, um die multiplen Funktionen ein und desselben Moleküls an verschiedenen zellulären Orten zu erklären. Es ist außerdem wahrscheinlich, dass weitere Arf1-abhängige Wege in Hefen und höheren Eukaryoten noch gar nicht entdeckt wurden.
In dieser Arbeit wurde eine differenzielle Affinitätschromatographie durchgeführt, um neue cytosolische Interaktoren von Arflp aus $S$. cerevsiae zu identifizieren. Einer der neu gefundenen Interaktoren von aktiviertem Arflp war das PolyA-bindende Protein Pablp. Es wurde gefunden, dass Pab1p mit aufgereinigten COPI-Vesikeln assoziiert ist, die aus Golgi Membranen in vitro hergestellt wurden. Die Stabilität des Arflp-Pab1p Komplexes war ab hängig von mRNA. Sowohl symmetrische mRNAs als auch die asymmetrisch verteilte ASH1 mRNA waren mit Arflp assoziiert. Bemerkenswerterweise waren sowohl Arflp als auch Pablp nötig, um ASH1 mRNA auf die Knospungspitze zu beschränken. Arflp und Coatomer spielen eine unerwartete Rolle in der Lokalisierung von mRNA. Diese ist unabhängig von der SHE Maschinerie und ihr nachgeschaltet. Die SHE Maschinerie agiert hier als Langstrecken-Transportsystem, während COPI-Vesikel kurze Strecken überbrücken könnten. Der ER-Golgi Pendelverkehr könnte daran beteiligt sein, mRNA am ER zu konzentrieren.

Weitere neue Interaktoren waren Chs5p und Bch1p, ein Mitglied der Bud7-Chs6 Familie. In dieser Arbeit wurde gezeigt, dass alle Mitglieder dieser pilzspezifischen Proteinfamilie physikalisch mit Arflp und Chs5p wechselwirken. Darüber hinaus interagieren die Proteine der Bud7-Chs6 Familie miteinander und bilden höhermolekulare Komplexe. Alle sind zumindest teilweise am trans-Golgi Netzwerk lokalisiert und außerdem sind Bud7p und Bch2p auf Vesikeln zu finden. Die Bud7-Chs6 Familie ist nötig für den Transport von spezieller Proteinfracht wie etwa der Chitin Synthase Chs3p vom trans-Golgi-Netzwerk zum Knospungshals und spielt eine Rolle bei der Auswahl der Knospungsstellen. Diese Funktionen der Bud7-Chs6 Familie konvergieren in Chs5p. Es wird vorgeschlagen, dass die Mitglieder der Bud7-Chs6 Familie als neuartige Fracht-Rezeptoren fungieren und beteiligt sind an Arflp-abhängigen post-Golgi Transportschritten hin zum Knospungshals.
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## 7 Abbreviations

Ac
AP
AP
ATP
BNP
bp
BSA
CFP
COP
DAPI
DEAE
DMF
DMP
DMPC
DMSO
DNA
DNase
dNTPs
DTT
E. coli

ECL
EDTA
ER
EtOH
FITC
5-FOA
GAP
GDP
GEF
GFP
GMP-PNP
GPI

Acetate
Adaptor protein
Alkaline phosphatase
Adenosine-5'-triphosphate
Blue Native PAGE
Base pairs
Bovine serum albumine
Cyan fluorescent protein
Coat protein
4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride
Diethylaminoethane
N,N-Dimethyleformamide
Dimethylpimelimidate
L- $\alpha$-Dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine
Dimethylsulfoxide
Deoxyribose nucleic acid
Deoxyribonuclease
Deoxyribonucleotides
DL-Dithiothreitol
Escherichia coli
Enhanced chemoluminesence
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
Endoplasmic Reticulum
Ethanol
Fluoresceine-isothiocyanate
5-Fluoro orotic acid
GTPase activating protein
Guanosine-5'-diphosphate
Guanine nucleotide exchange factor
Green fluorescent protein
Guanosine-5'-( $\beta, \gamma$-imido)triphosphate
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol

| GTP | Guanosine-5'-triphosphate |
| :---: | :---: |
| GTPase | GTP hydrolase |
| GTP $\gamma$ S | Guanosine-5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate) |
| HEPES | N -[2-Hydroxyethyl]piperazine- $\mathrm{N}^{〔}$-[2-ethanesulfonic acid] |
| HRP | Horseradish peroxidase |
| IPTG | Isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside |
| K. lactis | Kluyveromyces lactis |
| K $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Potassium phosphate buffer |
| LB | Luria-Bertani |
| LDL | Low-density lipoprotein |
| MALDI | Matrix-assisted Laserionization/Desorption |
| MetOH | Methanol |
| MHC | Major Histocompatibility Complex |
| MIIC | MHC class II compartment |
| MOPS | 3-(N-Morpholino)propanesulfonic acid |
| mRNA | Messenger RNA |
| MS | Mass spectrometry |
| MVB | Multi-vesicular body |
| NEM | N -Ethylmaleimide |
| NHS | N-Hydroxysuccinimide |
| Ni-NTA | Nickel-Nitrilotetraessigsäure |
| NSF | NEM-sensitive fusion factor |
| OD | Optical density |
| ORF | Open reading frame |
| PA | Phosphatidic acid |
| PAGE | Polyacrylamide-Gel-Electrophoresis |
| PBS | Phosphate-buffered saline |
| PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| PEG | Polyethylene glycol |
| PIP2 | Phosphatidylinositol-bisphosphate |
| PLD | Phospholipase D |
| PMSF | Phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride |
| PVDF | Polyvinylidenfluoride |


| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| :--- | :--- |
| RNase | Ribonuclease |
| RT | Room temperature |
| RT-PCR | Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| S. cerevisiae | Saccharomyces cerevisiae |
| S. pombe | Saccharomyces pombe |
| SDS | Sodium Dodecylsulfate |
| SNARE | soluble NSF attachment receptor |
| TBS | Tris-buffered saline |
| TCA | Trichloro acetic acid |
| TEMED | N,N,N‘,N‘-Tetramethylethylenediamin |
| TGN | trans-Golgi-network |
| Tris | Tris(hydroxymethylaminomethane) |
| tRNA | transfer RNA |
| t-SNARE | target-SNARE |
| UTP | Uridine-5'-Triphosphate |
| v-SNARE | vesicle-SNARE |
| YFP | Yellow fluorescent protein |

According to the suggestions of the IUPAC-IUB-commission for biological nomeclature (1984), the One-Letter- or Three-Letter code was used for amino acids .
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